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Abstract

Copper alloys objects can deteriorate their conservation state through irreversible corrosion.

Since in the cultural heritage field every artefact is unique and any loss irreplaceable, solutions for conservation are needed.

Hence, there is the necessity to stop the corrosion process with a suitable cleaning and conservation process to avoid further degradation processes without changing its morphological aspect.

Chelating solutions are commonly used in chemical cleaning, mainly sodium salts of ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA). However, it is resistant to water purification procedures and is not biodegradable.

The goal of this study was to see if applying an ecologically friendly chelating agent as an alternative to EDTA cleaning procedures for cultural heritage was suitable.

In this study were chosen six natural-based chelators that could be a new green non-toxic alternative to EDTA in corrosion-inhibiting properties. They were tested for cleaning copper artefacts exposed to atmospheric environment in polluted areas. The study considered four amino acids, a glucoheptonate (CSA) and an industrial green chelator (GLDA). The effectiveness was tested on corrosion copper compounds and on laboratory corroded copper sheets. Finally, the cleaning efficacy was tested on four Roman coins and a modern copper painting. To define the cleaning efficacy, surface analytical investigations have been carried out by means ICP-OES, UV-VIS, µ-Raman, spectro-colorimetry, XRD and FTIR. Among the amino acids, alanine was the most effective, showing an unaltered noble patina and a good effective copper recovery from corrosion patinas.
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1. Introduction

Archaeological artefacts bear witness of human art and creativity used both to produce copper artefacts for daily use and invaluable artworks. Furthermore, they reveal the level of technological expertise and the sophisticated feeling for shape and chromatic effect. A large component of this cultural heritage consists of material cultural assets that are often exposed to harmful long-term effects of environmental pollution, inappropriate handling, and intrinsic chemical–physical instability.

In Cu based alloys the degradation is essentially because of a redox electrochemical reaction that takes place as a consequence of the interaction between the material and the environment. This causes alterations of the chemical–physical properties of the material itself in different ways as a function of the nobility of the metal or of the metallic alloy. The corrosion phenomena may be divided into dry corrosion and wet corrosion with dependence on the environmental conditions. Different forms of attack may affect the metallic artefacts and may be distinguished in two categories: uniform corrosion that proceeds on the overall surface and the more dangerous localised corrosion. Atmospheric corrosion shows generally a uniform character; it occurs when a layer of electrolyte is present on a structure (rain, humidity, etc.). The aggressiveness of the atmosphere is increased by the presence of pollutants such as sulphur dioxides, powder particles, and hygroscopic salts. Then the corrosion of a metallic artefact depends on temperature, pH, oxygen content, amount of salt, etc.

As a result, the alteration products of copper alloys are different in composition and structure and include various species: oxides as cuprite (\(\text{Cu}_2\text{O}\)) and tenorite (\(\text{CuO}\)); sulphides as chalcocite (\(\text{Cu}_2\text{S}\)) and covellite (\(\text{CuS}\)) and basic sulphates as brochantite (\(\text{Cu}_4(\text{SO}_4)(\text{OH})_6\)) and antlerite (\(\text{Cu}_3(\text{SO}_4)(\text{OH})_4\)) in urban atmosphere; chlorides and hydroxychlorides as atacamite (\(\text{Cu}_2\text{Cl}(\text{OH})_3\)); basic green carbonates as malachite (\(\text{Cu}_2(\text{CO}_3)(\text{OH})_2\)) and azurite (\(\text{Cu}_3(\text{CO}_3)_2(\text{OH})_2\)) and oxalates as \(\text{Cu}(<\text{COO})_2\cdot\text{nH}_2\text{O}\).

The copper-based artefacts, such as bronzes, sometimes can show resistance to degradation because they are able to develop a layer of chemical compounds called patina that has a barrier action against further degradation. When patina is stable it does not change the appearance of the artefact, on the contrary it makes it unique and unrepeatable. In these cases, patinas are called “noble patinas”, because they give an additional aesthetic value as a symbol of the passage of time. Furthermore, some of
noble patinas can be passivating layers, protecting the artwork from further corrosion (Gettens 1970).

Copper and high-Cu alloys are sensitive to exposure to atmospheric agents: the presence in the environment of aggressive agents, such as hydrogen sulphide (H\textsubscript{2}S), carbonyl sulphide (COS) and sulphur dioxide (SO\textsubscript{2}), even in small quantities, combined with the presence of high relative humidity (RH), can quickly cause an alteration of the surface. The interaction with polluted atmosphere can generate, with time, strong corrosion phenomena which, if not stopped, can lead to the destruction of the whole artefact.

The most common copper compounds in outdoors bronzes are oxides, including cuprite (Cu\textsubscript{2}O) and tenorite (CuO), and sulphates, especially brochantite (Cu\textsubscript{4}SO\textsubscript{4}(OH)\textsubscript{6}). Tenorite and cuprite are the first products to form. Copper salts, usually observed on copper artworks, are formed from the interaction of Cu-oxides and environmental pollutants (Brambilla 2011). Brochantite and other sulphates darken over time, weighing on the aesthetic aspect of the artwork and are generally considered non-noble patinas and they should be removed (Bassini 2012; Brambilla 2011; Rahmouni et al. 2004; Walker 1980).

To preserve an artefact and pass it on to future generations, a cleaning intervention is then required in order to remove the dangerous products and save the artefact (Petiti et al., 2020). The aim of cleaning an artefact is to preserve the noble patinas and to remove non-noble ones. It is therefore necessary to use methods that allow a continuous control and gradualness of the cleaning intervention, to act only on the layers that must be removed (Parisi et al., 2017). Usually, the cleaning is performed mainly through mechanical and chemical methods, and it is precisely on the latter that this work focuses.

Chemical cleaning mainly involves the use of chelating solutions. Among these, sodium salts of ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA-Na\textsubscript{2} and EDTA-Na\textsubscript{4}) are the most used to remove copper and bronze corrosion patinas (-Xu 2007). Although it is not harmful to people, in recent decades several studies have pointed out that EDTA is not very biodegradable and is resistant to water purification treatments (Masoudi et al., 2020). This is because, EDTA can be involved in the mobility of heavy and radioactive ions and contribute to eutrophication of water. Amino acids have recently been introduced as natural and environmentally friendly chelating agents in a variety of applications for their selective and reversible metal chelating/coordination properties.
(Dolev et al., 2020) and their use in cultural heritage conservation for cleaning treatments has been investigated applied to the stone materials (Macchia et al. 2011). Moreover, a biodegradable chelating and cost-effective agent, Dissolvine GL, has been commercialised as a green alternative to EDTA. The product contains L-glutamic acid (from sugar, molasses, corn or rice), which is produced biochemically to yield the pure L isomer. The amino acid is then modified using a proprietary process to produce GLDA (glutamic acid diacetic acid).

The main purpose of the study is introduced an effective and natural cleaning agent for removing copper corrosion patinas. The concept of green was born in recent decades and is based on the research and use of materials and methods that are more respectful to the environment and, at the same time, not harmful to the operators (Koodynska 2011). In the field of cultural heritage conservation, in addition to these two key factors, it is necessary that green products also respect the artworks and its integrity (Dermaj et al. 2015; Faraldi et al. 2017; Faraldi et al. 2014; Macchia et al. 2011; Mezzi et al. 2012). Chemical compounds were then selected based on the following parameters: the complexation capacity on copper ions, optimal pH for complexation and previous test in the conservation of cultural heritage field (Macchia et al. 2011; Miličević & Raos 2006; Varvara et al. 2011; Varvara et al. 2009; Varvara et al. 2009).

In light of the above considerations, this work is aimed to employ natural based chelators for cleaning copper and bronze artefacts. Different chemical compounds, such as amino acids, were tested in laboratory on artificially corroded metal and on original artefacts. The innovative aim of the study is the application of amino acids for the cleaning of bronze artifacts respect to the current systems, The choice to use amino acids and no protein–metal interactions was to have the maximum solubility of the chelate and to control the zwitterionic form with pH, and, at the same time, obtain the maximum chelating power.

2. Results and discussion

2.1. Laboratory Tests

Results of ICP/OES analysis, concerning tests on powdered copper compounds, are reported in percentage of copper recovered from each chelator and for each extraction
times (Figure 4S4). The concentrations obtained by instrumental measurements have
been normalised, taking into consideration the maximum concentration of copper
present in each test tube (1000 ppb), corresponding to 100% of extracted copper.

In the case of cuprite (Figure S41a), EDTA-Na$_2$ is the chelator that showed the
highest capacity of copper removal in the long term. Alanine, on the other hand, is the
chelator that shows greater difficulty in chelation. Methionine and cysteine show an
extraction capacity which decreases over time, due to the formation of a precipitate,
blue and yellow respectively.

A greater extraction difficulty by chelators is observed with tenorite (Figure
S41b). Methionine, cysteine, and CSA extract the most copper from this compound. As
in the previous case, the two amino acids mentioned above have an extraction capacity
that decreases over time due to the formation of a precipitate blue for methionine and
blue/grey for cysteine.

Finally, copper extraction from brochantite seems to be favoured for all
chelators (Figure 4eS4e). Despite this, methionine and cysteine form a precipitate, blue
and yellow respectively, which does not allow to reach 100% of the extracting capacity.
Furthermore, CSA, compared to the others, has a slower action, reaching extraction of
the whole copper after 24 hours.

Two natural based chelators were chosen for the next step according to:
- the effectiveness in the removal of non-noble patinas preferring chelators
  with greater effectiveness in the complexation of copper from brochantite;
- the lowest efficacy in removing noble patinas choosing chelating agents
  with less extraction capacity with cuprite and tenorite;
- the effectiveness especially in the first 30 min (in a conservation process a
  solution is never kept in contact with the metal surface for long times);
- the absence of secondary chemistry (the chelator is not suitable for
  conservation purposes).

Based on these considerations, GLDA and alanine were chosen as natural based
chelating agents for subsequent tests, together with EDTA-NA$_2$/4 always taken as
reference.

2.2 Tests on artificial corroded plates

Once cleaning tests were carried out, the concentration of copper collected from
the cellulose poultices allowed us to evaluate the effectiveness of each chelator in
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cleaning the plates. In the cleaning of LI plates with a Cu oxide *patina* (tenorite) all the chelators showed difficulty in extraction. We note that, in the short term, alanine extracts less copper oxide, although with increasing time it achieves results like those of EDTA-$\text{Na}_2/4$. GLDA, on the other hand, is the most effective of all in the removal of tenorite. In the cleaning of plates LII and LIII, made up of non-noble *patinas* of sulphates, all the chelating agents showed a good extraction capacity. Alanine seems to be the one which best extracts sulphates, especially in the short term, but in the long term there are few differences between the three chelators (Figure S52).

Cleaning tests have been also evaluated through spectro-colorimetric analysis and the results made it possible to underline how all chelators allow the patina removal without restoring the surface to its original appearance. In the case of LI plates, we note that no chelators led to a noticeable variation in the spectra (Figure S65a). This is linked to the fact that the three chelators, as also highlighted from the previous data, show a difficulty in extracting tenorite. Despite this, it seems that EDTA and especially GLDA have produced a greater variation of spectra, probably since they extract copper from tenorite better than alanine. In the case of LII (Figure S5bS6b) and LIII (Figure S5cS6c) plates it is noted that EDTA and GLDA involve a variation in the spectral range 600-700 nm region that characterizes the original copper surface. In fact, EDTA and especially GLDA do not act uniformly thereby creating areas in which there is a greater interaction with the original surface. Cleaning with alanine, instead, led to an increase in reflectance for all wavelengths, without however altering the spectrum curve. This means that alanine acted homogeneously over the entire surface of the plate, without approaching the original copper.

### 2.3 Test on artefacts

The $\mu$-Raman spectra allowed the mineralogical characterization of the patinas for both coins (Table S2) and painting (Table S3).

Cleaning of M1 coin was performed with all three chelators (Figure S4bS7b). However, GLDA and EDTA removed part of the passivating noble *patina* of the artefacts. This result is due both for the high ability of the two chelators to extract copper and because of the patinas were quite thin. The low thickness is probably due to the artificial treatment previously hypothesised. Based on the results obtained, M1, M2
and M3 have been cleaned only with alanine, which has shown greater inalterability of noble patinas (Figure S1b-S7b).

Cleaning of the Cu-painting has been carried out not only with the three chelators (alanine, EDTA and GLDA) but also with deionised water, as the patina was not very coherent in some areas (Figure S8a). However, good results have not been obtained with water, except with the addition of a surfactant, as the patina is formed by insoluble compounds. For this reason, a further test has been carried out after washing the entire work with deionised water. GLDA in the first cleaning test produced a shiny and metallic surface. This means that GLDA reach the original surface of artefacts. Consequently, only the tests with alanine and EDTA were repeated after washing with deionised water (Figure S8b). In both cases, we can notice that chelators effectively remove the patina, but in an inhomogeneous way. Despite this, alanine allows for a less glossy surface, due to the greater inalterability of the patina.

3. Experimental

Chemical compounds were selected based on the following parameters: the complexation capacity on copper ions, optimal pH for complexation and previous test in the conservation of cultural heritage field (Macchia et al. 2011; Miličević & Raos 2006; Varvara et al. 2011; Varvara et al. 2009; Varvara et al. 2009). Chelating agents with an ideal pH lower than 5 and higher than 9 have been discarded since this pH could damage copper and copper alloys. Four amino acids consisting of different functional groups have been chosen: alanine (ALA), cysteine (CYS), methionine (MET), and lysine (LYS). Moreover, two new natural based chelators were also tested: GLDA and CSA (sodium glucoheptonate) (Koodynska 2011; Mehlretter et al. 1953). These compounds were compared with a disodium and tetrasodium EDTA solution, commonly used in the conservation and, for this, taken as reference. Every chelating agent was tested to a concentration of 0.13M (Macchia et al. 2011). Solutions were used at a spontaneous pH, except for GLDA, brought to pH 9 with acetic acid. In fact, considering the stability curve of GLDA complexes, the chelator has an excellent copper complexation just to pH 9 (Begum et al. 2012).

The study focused on the most common corrosion products in polluted environment: cuprite, tenorite and brochantite minerals (Bassini 2012; Brambilla 2011; Rahmouni et al. 2004; Walker 1980).
The best natural based solution (the lowest and the highest efficiencies in the extraction of copper from noble patinas and non-noble ones respectively) was identified through three consecutive steps.

More information about experimental section is found in supplementary materials.

4. Conclusions

The results achieved have provided important information on the complexing capacity of some natural based products against copper and copper alloys corrosion.

Alanine has shown excellent effectiveness against non-noble patinas, while preserving the noble ones. Thus, the use of Alanine as a green chelant in conservation applications looks to be a promising green alternative.

As Alanine has been shown to be easily degradable, it is suggested that it be considered as an alternative chelating agent for stabilizing copper corrosion products in the cultural heritage preservation. Furthermore, our findings demonstrate that in the presence of various cupriferous materials Alanine is equivalent to EDTA in terms of chelating effectiveness.

The present study is therefore a first step to begin further studies to increase its use for the archaeological artefacts conservation.
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Copper alloys objects can deteriorate their conservation state through irreversible corrosion. Hence, there is need to block the corrosion action with a suitable cleaning and conservation process to avoid further degradation processes without changing its morphological aspect. In this study, six natural based chelators were tested for cleaning copper artefacts exposed to atmospheric environment in polluted areas. The study considered four amino acids, a glucoheptonate (CSA) and an industrial green chelator (GLDA). The effectiveness was tested on corrosion copper compounds and on laboratory corroded copper sheets. Finally, the cleaning efficacy was tested on four Roman coins and a modern copper painting. To define the cleaning efficacy, surface analytical investigations have been carried out by means ICP-OES, UV-VIS, μ-Raman, spectro-colorimetry, XRD and FTIR. Among the amino acids, alanine was the most effective, showing unalteration of the noble patina and a good effective copper recovery from corrosion patinas.
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**Materials and Methods Experimental**

Chelating agents with an ideal pH lower than 5 and higher than 9 have been discarded since this pH could damage copper and copper alloys. Four amino acids consisting of different functional groups have been chosen: alanine (ALA), cysteine (CYS), methionine (MET), and lysine (LYS). Moreover, two new natural based chelators were also tested: GLDA and CSA (sodium glucoheptonate) (Koodynska 2011; Mehltretter et al. 1953). These compounds were compared with a disodium and tetrasodium EDTA solution, commonly used in the conservation and, for this, taken as reference. Every chelating agent was tested to a concentration of 0.13M (Macchia et al. 2011). Solutions were used at a spontaneous pH, except for GLDA, brought to pH 9 with acetic acid. In fact, considering the stability curve of GLDA complexes, the chelator has an excellent copper complexation just to pH 9 (Begum et al. 2012).

The study focused on the most common corrosion products in polluted environment: cuprite, tenorite and brochantite minerals (Bassini 2012; Brambilla 2011; Rahmouni et al. 2004; Walker 1980).

The best natural based solution (the lowest and the highest efficiencies in the extraction of copper from noble patinas and non-noble ones respectively) was identified through three consecutive steps.

**Reagents and equipments**

The following chemicals were used in this work: EDTA-Na$_2$ (Sigma-Aldrich); EDTA-Na$_4$ (Sigma-Aldrich); alanine (Alfa Aesar); cysteine (Alfa Aesar); methionine (Alfa Aesar); lysine (Carlo Erba); Dissolvine GLPDS (Eigenmann & Veronelli); Dissolvine CSA (Specialities); copper (I) oxide (Carlo Erba); copper (II) oxide (Carlo Erba);
copper (II) sulfate (Carlo Erba); copper (II) sulfate pentahydrate (Sigma-Aldrich); 
sodium hidroxide (Alfa Aesar); ammonium sulfate (Alfa Aesar); ammonium chloride  
(Alfa Aesar); sodium nitrate (Alfa Aesar); hydrogen nitrate (Sigma-Aldrich); sodium  
acetate (Alfa Aesar); sodium formate (Alfa Aesar).

The following equipments were used for the analysis: diffractometer Siemen  
5000 model, with Cu Kα radiation filtered with Ni (λ = 0.154056); termostatic bath  
Dubnoff M428-BD; ICP/OES spectrometer Icap 6300 Duo spectrum; micro-Raman  
spectrometer Renishaw 2000 equipped with a 785 laser; FTIR spectrometer Perkin  
Elmen Spotlight Spectrum 400 FT-IR-NIR microscope; UV-VIS spectrophotometer  
Mattler toledo UV5Bio; spectro colorimeter 3nh Y3060.

In this study were used also: cellulose acetate filter (Minisart); powdered  
Cellulose (Arbocel BC1000).

**Laboratory Tests**

In laboratory, extraction effectiveness of different chelators with the three powdered 
copper compounds (cuprite, tenorite and brochantite) was defined. To obtain powdered  
brochantite it has been necessary to synthesize it, according to the synthesis proposed  
by Vilminot et al. (2005). A powder sample has been analysed by XRD, which certified  
the actual synthesis of brochantite.

The procedures carried out for the extractive tests can be summarised as follows:  
each copper compound has been weighed and putted in a glass flask; equal volumes of  
extracting solutions have been added (C_{Cu}=100 ppm for every test). The extraction tests  
have been performed in a thermostatic bath with continuous stirring 25°C and 200  
strokes. An aliquot of 0.1 ml has been extracted from each flask at different times (30,  
120, 300, 1440 min), introduced in a test tube after filtration trough 0.2 µm cellulose
acetate filter, and diluted 1/10 with deionised water. The resulting solutions have been analysed by ICP / OES.

**Tests on laboratory corroded plates**

To simulate a degraded bronze object, three types of patinas have been reproduced on copper plates, previously polished, whose dimensions are 2.5x5x0.05 cm (Chiavari et al. 2009). As well as the procedure performed by Brambilla L., 2011 e Chiavari C. et al., 2009, the plates were not treated in any other way before being subjected to chemical patination (Brambilla 2011; Chiavari et al. 2009; Vilminot et al. 2005). Plates have been divided into three groups (LI, LII, LIII), depending on the simulated patina.

- In LI plates, tenorite patina has been reproduced with the method proposed by Zheng et al. (2014). Plates have been immersed in 4 M NaOH aqueous solution for two days, in atmospheric environment. The same procedure has been applied twice, renewing the alkaline solution.

- In LII plates, corrosion of atmospheric environment has been simulated with the method proposed by Marušić et al. (2011). Plates have been immersed in 15 mM of CuSO$_4$ aqueous solution for 2 weeks at room temperature.

- In LIII plates, burial environment corrosion has been simulated with the method proposed by Angelini et al. (2007). Plates have been buried in 60g of ground (30g above and 30g below) for 1 months. The soil has been subjected to hydration with the solution proposed by Brambilla (2011), by simulating the acid rain.

Obtained patinas have been characterised through micro-Raman and micro-FTIR spectroscopy.
All the treated plates have been subsequently subjected to cleaning tests by using the two best natural based chelators of laboratory tests and EDTA-Na$_2$/4 (as the reference). The cleaning has been carried out putting in contact plates with 5 g of cellulose pulp, wet with 25 ml of extracting solution. Each cellulose poultice has been in contact with the surface for different extraction times: 10, 20, 60, 120 min. After the removing, every poultice has been introduced in test tube containing 10 ml of deionised water. The resulting solutions have been analysed by UV-VIS spectrophotometry, to know the concentration of copper extracted during cleaning tests. After each cleaning test, artificial corroded plates have been washed with deionised water. To identify the concentration of extracted copper during cleaning test has been necessary to obtain calibration curves for each chelator. For this, four standards (50, 100, 150, 200 ppm) have been performed from a solution of 1000 ppm of Cu. To evaluate the cleaning effect, colorimetric measurements have been also performed, before and after the treatment, on 10 different areas of the plates and data have been averaged.

**Tests on artworks**

For cleaning tests, bronze Roman coins of different types belonging to a private collection (Figure S1a) and a copper painting (Figure S2) have been selected. The copper artefact, belonging to the private collection of the YOCOCU Organization and entitled "Tramonto estivo" is attributable to the second half of the 20th century. The author is unknown (illegible signature). The presence of non-corroded areas made it possible to hypothesize that the artwork had a copper-like appearance. Patinas of both have been characterised by µ-Raman spectroscopy.

Cleaning tests on the artefacts have been carried out by means of cellulose pulp wet with extracting solution and with the help of tampons, combining chemical and
mechanical methods. After each cleaning test, the surface has been washed with deionised water. The effectiveness of the cleaning has been evaluated according with the conservators consideration.

Raman characterisation

Tests on artificial corroded plates

Artificial corrosion led to the formation of insoluble corrosion patinas, extending over the entire surface of plates (Figure S3). μ-Raman spectroscopy has allowed to characterise the patinas of LI and LII plates (Table S1). In LI plate, tenorite was found, in LII plate chalcantite and chalcocyanite, μ-FTIR spectroscopy highlighted the presence of brochantite on LIII patina (Figure S4). The compounds found in every type of artificial corroded plate reflect the anions and cations present in the solutions used to induce artificial corrosion.

Test on artifacts

In the M1 coin a red cuprite patina and a green one of malachite and copper acetate were found. In the M2 coin and in the M3 coin a blue-green patina consisting of atacamite, tenorite and copper acetate (Table S2). The presence of copper acetate has allowed us to hypothesize that coins were artificially treated, as this corrosion product does not form in natural environments.

The characterisation of the painting made it possible to discover that it had a patina of tenorite, calcite, pyrite, and carbonaceous particulate (Table S3).
Tables

Table S1. Results of micro-Raman spectroscopy. Summary of the bands collected, of the compounds found and of the references (literature and RUFF databases) used for identification.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plate</th>
<th>Raman bands (cm(^{-1}))</th>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LI</td>
<td>131.4, 149.2, 298.5, 518.6</td>
<td>Tenorite</td>
<td>R050098</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LII</td>
<td>129, 210.9, 453.7, 606.1, 982.2, 1013.6, 1045.3, 1205.3</td>
<td>Chalcanthite, Chalcocyanite</td>
<td>R050354, Buzgar et al. (2009) (chalco-cyanite)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table S2. Results of micro-Raman spectroscopy on coins. Summary of the bands collected, of the compounds found and of the references (literature and RUFF databases) used for identification.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Coin</th>
<th>Raman bands (cm⁻¹)</th>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M1A</td>
<td>112.8, 149.6, 300.3, 520.6, 622</td>
<td>Cuprite</td>
<td>R050384</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M1B</td>
<td>151.2, 169.8, 177.7, 215.5, 268, 354.2, 431.7, 534, 1051.8, 1084.4, 1488.8, 1576.6</td>
<td>Malachite, Copper (II) acetate</td>
<td>R050531; Quiles F. et al. (1998) (acetate)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M2A</td>
<td>110.5, 154.6, 181.9, 211.3, 2137, 517.9, 625.8, 830.8, 923.2, 973.6, 1206.7, 1416, 1532, 1633</td>
<td>Atacamite, Tenorite, Copper (II) acetate</td>
<td>R050098, R060071; Marucci G. et al. (2018) e Quiles F. et al. (1998) (acetate)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M2B</td>
<td>114.7, 156.7, 175.6, 215.5, 358.3, 524.2, 828.7, 921, 969.5, 1200.4, 1427, 1628</td>
<td>Atacamite, Tenorite, Copper (II) acetate</td>
<td>R050098, R060071; Marucci G. et al. (2018) e Quiles F. et al. (1998) (acetate)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M3</td>
<td>110.5, 156.7, 177.7, 215.5, 385.6, 522.1, 923.2, 975.7, 990.4, 1206.7, 1418, 1532.2, 1633</td>
<td>Atacamite, Tenorite, Copper (II) acetate</td>
<td>R050098, R060071; Marucci G. et al. (2018) e Quiles F. et al. (1998) (acetate)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table S3. Results of micro-Raman spectroscopy on coins. Summary of the bands collected, of the compounds found and of the references (literature and RUFF databases) used for identification.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Painting area</th>
<th>Raman bands (cm(^{-1}))</th>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Q1</td>
<td>146.6, 172.9, 195.3, 284.0, 333.6, 520.6, 627.1, 844.7</td>
<td>Tenorite, Chalcocite</td>
<td>R060071; R120090</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q2</td>
<td>144.3, 163.5, 185.4, 515.7, 630.2, 332.9, 331.1, 358.7, 534.6, 801.8, 860.4, 1310.8, 1566.2</td>
<td>Tenorite, Pyrite, Carbon particle</td>
<td>R060071; R050190; Stitch S. et al. (1998) (particle)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q3</td>
<td>281.9, 318.7, 335.2, 342.5, 366.2, 531.1, 752.6, 839.3, 854.4, 1347.9, 1606.5</td>
<td>Pyrite, Chalcocite, Carbon particle</td>
<td>R050190; R120090; Stitch S. et al. (1998) (particle)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figures

Figure S1. “Tramonto estivo”, belonging to the private collection of the YOCOCU organization. The painting represents a kneeling woman in high relief.
Figure S2. Results of the artificial corrosion of copper plates. Tenorite patina, atmospheric environment corrosion and burial degradation have been simulated respectively on LI, LII and LIII plates.

Figure S3. Spectrum collected through micro-FTIR spectroscopy on LIII plates. Brochantite has been identified.

Figure S4. The histograms represent the percentages of copper extracted from cuprite (a), tenorite (b), and brochantite (c) by chelators in the different extraction times (2.5<SD<10).
Figure S5. Concentration of copper extracted, through cellulose pulp wet with different chelators, during cleaning test of LI (a), LII (b) and LIII (c). Every test has been performed in different times (1.5<SD<5).

Figure S64. Spectra collected with spectro-colorimeter on LI (a), LII (b) and LIII (c) plates. Graphs show variations in surfaces reflectance before degradation (COPPER), after degradation (CHELATOR_0) and after cleaning tests (CHELATOR_POST). Alanine, EDTA-Na2/4 and GLDA are the chelators used.
Figure S75. Coins before (a) and after (b) cleaning treatment.

Figure S8. Cleaning tests on “Tramonto estivo” using deionized water (with and without surfactant), EDTANa2/4, GLDA and alanine (a); cleaning tests on “Tramonto estivo” after washing the painting with deionized water using EDTA-Na2/4 and alanine (b).