

Middlesex University Research Repository

An open access repository of

Middlesex University research

<http://eprints.mdx.ac.uk>

Kett, Stephen ORCID logoORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0987-5001>, Pathak, Ayush, Turillazzi, Stefano, Cavalieri, Duccio and Marvasi, Massimiliano (2021) Antifungals, arthropods and antifungal resistance prevention: lessons from ecological interactions. Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, 288 (1944) , 20202716. pp. 1-7. ISSN 0962-8452 [Article] (doi:10.1098/rspb.2020.2716)

Final accepted version (with author's formatting)

This version is available at: <https://eprints.mdx.ac.uk/32128/>

Copyright:

Middlesex University Research Repository makes the University's research available electronically.

Copyright and moral rights to this work are retained by the author and/or other copyright owners unless otherwise stated. The work is supplied on the understanding that any use for commercial gain is strictly forbidden. A copy may be downloaded for personal, non-commercial, research or study without prior permission and without charge.

Works, including theses and research projects, may not be reproduced in any format or medium, or extensive quotations taken from them, or their content changed in any way, without first obtaining permission in writing from the copyright holder(s). They may not be sold or exploited commercially in any format or medium without the prior written permission of the copyright holder(s).

Full bibliographic details must be given when referring to, or quoting from full items including the author's name, the title of the work, publication details where relevant (place, publisher, date), pagination, and for theses or dissertations the awarding institution, the degree type awarded, and the date of the award.

If you believe that any material held in the repository infringes copyright law, please contact the Repository Team at Middlesex University via the following email address:

eprints@mdx.ac.uk

The item will be removed from the repository while any claim is being investigated.

See also repository copyright: re-use policy: <http://eprints.mdx.ac.uk/policies.html#copy>

1 **Antifungals, arthropods and antifungal resistance prevention: lessons from ecological**
2 **interactions**

3

4 Steve Kett¹, Ayush Pathak², Stefano Turillazzi^{3,4}, Duccio Cavalieri³, Massimiliano Marvasi^{3*}

5

6 ¹ Department of Natural Sciences, Middlesex University London, London. UK.

7 ² Institute of Integrative Biology. Department of Environmental Systems Science. ETH Zürich.
8 Switzerland.

9 ³ Department of Biology. University of Florence, Italy.

10 ⁴ Insect Pharma Entomotherapy S.r.l.

11 * Corresponding author: massimiliano.marvasi@unifi.it

12

13 **Abstract**

14 Arthropods can produce a wide range of antifungal compounds including specialist proteins, cuticular
15 products, venoms and haemolymphs. In spite of this, many arthropod taxa, particularly eusocial
16 insects, make use of additional antifungal compounds derived from their mutualistic association with
17 microbes. Because multiple taxa have evolved such mutualisms it must be assumed that, under certain
18 ecological circumstances, natural selection has favoured them over those relying upon endogenous
19 antifungal compound production. Further, such associations have been shown to persist versus
20 specific pathogenic fungal antagonists for more than 50 million years, suggesting that compounds
21 employed have retained efficacy in spite of the pathogens' capacity to develop resistance. We provide
22 a brief overview of antifungal compounds in the arthropods' armoury, proposing a conceptual model
23 to suggest why their use remains so successful. Fundamental concepts embedded within such a model
24 may suggest strategies by which to reduce the rise of antifungal resistance within the clinical milieu.

25

26

27

28

29 **1. Introduction**

30 Resistance to antifungal compounds is constantly rising to the point at which it is a critical factor in
31 determining medical policy (Wiederhold 2017). To cope with this crisis, during the last 30 years
32 several techniques have been employed in order to find new antifungals, including genome mining,
33 synthetic biology, and exploring alternative microbial sources, such as marine microbes, and
34 underrepresented taxa (Chevrette et al. 2019 and references within). Despite such efforts,
35 identification and development of new antifungals has showed limited success. During the last
36 decades research has demonstrated that animal taxa such as the Arthropoda have been using
37 antifungals for millions of years. Arthropods produce endogenous antimicrobial compounds or can
38 make use of those produced by bacterial mutualists (Shanchez-Contreras and Vlisidou 2008).
39 Arthropods are highly speciose, occupy many trophic levels within a wide range of heterogeneous
40 ecosystems and offer a wide array of molecules and interactions for research.

41
42 *As microorganisms and arthropods co-evolved, production of antifungals was influential in defining*
43 *ecological roles and interactions (Heine et al. 2018). Their secondary metabolites served to enable*
44 *competition with other arthropods, to resist pathogens and, ultimately, to support growth and*
45 *reproduction (Rohlf's and Churchill 2011). Thus, the tight regulatory control of antifungal metabolite*
46 *formation in some model fungi represents an evolved chemical defence system favoured by selection*
47 *not only against parasites but also animal antagonists (Rohlf's and Churchill 2011). The main use of*

48 such antifungals is to improve fitness but how they achieve their effects has not been fully resolved.
49 This is particularly true in case of the apparent lack of development of antifungal resistances by
50 parasitic antagonists.

51 We propose a conceptual strategy of antifungal use in arthropods employing two main resources:
52 endogenous antifungal peptides and antifungal-producing bacteria. Strategic knowledge acquired via
53 observing these natural systems may offer insights by which to combat not only antifungal resistance
54 but also to prevent development of resistances against antimicrobials in general (Hokken et al. 2019).
55 Current analysis of arthropods and their mutualists offers many specific examples to indicate that such
56 interactions provide an immense reservoir of potential antifungal compounds, but, more importantly,

57 these systems have much to teach us about fine regulation and long-term strategic employment of
58 such important molecules (Figure 1).

59

60 **2. Setting the scene: Arthropods use both endogenously and microbially-produced antifungals**

61 This section reviews arthropod and associated microbial antifungal production to contextualise
62 conceptual models put forward in this paper.

63

64 **2.1 Production of endogenous antifungals by arthropods**

65 [Arthropods secrete a wide array of secondary metabolites via their exocrine glands.](#) Some of these
66 secretions are used to communicate with conspecifics (sex, social, etc), others serve as food for
67 developing offspring whilst yet others are used to defend single individuals or their societies from
68 enemies and pathogens, including entomopathogenic fungi and Microsporidia (Schultz and Brady
69 2008; Mylonakis et al. 2016).

70 It has been long established that insect outer cuticle forms the first barrier to fungal infection, having
71 either a fungicidal or fungistatic action (Koidsumi 1957, Ortiz et al. 2013). This thin layer is produced
72 by cuticular glands and is composed of a complex mixture of lipids, including abundant straight-
73 chain and methyl-branched, saturated and unsaturated hydrocarbons acting as a primary defence
74 against fungi (Pedrini et al. 2013). Cuticle-degrading enzymes and enzyme-resistant cuticles both
75 evidence the significance of an ongoing arms race between insects and entomopathogenic fungi
76 (Zhang et al 2012, Pedrini et al. 2015).

77 Most exocrine glands with a known specific defensive function are those of social insects (Wilson and
78 Holldobler 2005). Many ants [species'](#) metapleural gland secretions (for example) inhibit not only the
79 growth of various bacteria but also that of some fungi, including entomopathogenic ones (Beattie
80 1985; Veal, Stokes, and Daggard 1992; Rothberg et al. 2011). Various compounds such as 3-
81 hydroxydecanoic acid, indoleacetic acid and phenylacetic acid secreted by the leafcutter ant,
82 *Acromyrmex octospinosus*, metapleural glands are effective against the parasitic fungus *Escovopsis*
83 but also against their mutualistic fungus (*Leucoagaricus gongilophorus*) (Nascimento et al. 1996; Bot
84 et al. 2002). Hymenopteran venoms can contain antibacterial and antifungal compounds such as

85 melectin and halictines (Slaninova et al. 2011). For example, ponerins from the venom of the
86 ponerine ant *Pachicondyla gueldi*, can be active against bacteria and yeasts (Orivel et al. 2001),
87 unidentified toxins in the venom of the paper wasp *Polistes flavus* are active against *Candida* and
88 *Aspergillus niger* (Prajapati and Upadhyay 2016), while the venom of *Apis mellifera* and of a sweat
89 bee is active against *Candida* (Ferrell et al. 2015; Lee 2016). The termite *Pseudacanthotermes*
90 *spiniger* (Silva et al. 2003) produces a compound called termicin, to defend their colonies from
91 pathogenic fungi whilst a small antimicrobial peptide within royal jelly (Jelleine-I) presents potent
92 *in vitro* and *in vivo* antifungal activity (Jia et al. 2018).

93 Antifungal substances are also produced in the haemolymph of non-social insects when induced by a
94 fungal infection. Drosomycin has been extracted from the haemolymph of the fly *Drosophila*
95 *melanogaster* (Zhang et al. 2009), while the spined soldier bug *Podisus maculiventris* (Hemiptera)
96 produces thanatin (Sinha et al. 2017). The haemolymphs of various Lepidoptera contain antifungal
97 substances such as the gallerimycin from *Galleria mellonella* (Schuhmann et al. 2003).

98 Arthropods other than insects are known to produce antifungal active substances especially in venom,
99 for example tenecin is an anti-microbial peptide (AMP) found in the venom of the Brazilian yellow
100 scorpion *Tityus serrulatus* (Santussi et al. 2017) and joruin is produced in the haemolymph of the
101 Amazonian pink toe spider *Avicularia juruensis* (Ayroza et al. 2012).

102

103 **2.2 Arthropod-associated bacteria and symbiotically-produced antifungals**

104 Arthropods' exosymbiotic and endosymbiotic bacteria form co-evolutionary associations ranging
105 from facultative to obligate mutualisms (Chen et al. 2017; Sanchez-Contreras and Vlisidou 2008).
106 They can fulfil a variety of roles including improving nutrient acquisition, facilitating development of
107 resistance to plant secondary metabolites and assisting chemical pollutant and pesticide detoxification
108 (Boucias et al. 2018). [Some bacterial symbionts](#) can also produce antifungals evolved to limit
109 replication of the arthropods' fungal antagonists (Holmes et al. 2016).

110

111 Fungus farming termites of sub-family *Macrotermitinae* and fungus farming ants of genus
112 *Acromyrmex* exemplify such microorganism-insect associations. Both cultivate specific fungi as

113 colony food source and their bacterial mutualists produce antifungals to protect the cultivar. Some 30
114 million years ago *Macrotermitinae* termites (of which there are some 350 species) evolved the
115 cultivation of basidiomycetes within the genus *Termitomyces* as their primary food source (Otani et
116 al. 2014; Lever et al. 2015; Aanen et al. 2002). *Termitomyces* is subject to parasitism by opportunistic
117 fungi belonging to the genus *Pseudoxylaria* spp. and competition from fungi such as *Trichoderma* or
118 *Beauveria* (Um et al. 2013; Otani et al. 2019; Katariya et al. 2017; Katariya, Ramesh, and Borges
119 2018). It is likely that the *Macrotermitinae* employ multiple strategies to control such antagonists and
120 production of antifungals is one of them (Katariya et al. 2017; Um et al. 2013). So far, seven
121 prokaryotic phyla have been identified in the *Macrotermitinae*'s gut flora (Otani et al. 2014). Among
122 them *Bacillus* strains are dominant and can produce antifungals. An initial liquid chromatography and
123 mass spectrometry (LC/MS) analysis of an extract of the *Bacillus* strains cultures revealed a major
124 secondary metabolite: bacillaene, a polyene polyketide, common to all strains, which inhibits the
125 growth of *Pseudoxylaria*, *Trichoderma*, *Corioloropsis*, *Umbelopsis* and *Fusarium* in a dose-dependent
126 manner (Um et al. 2013). Fungus-growing termites also support *Streptomyces* which produce the
127 antifungal natalamycin (Kim et al. 2014). The *Streptomyces* strain associated with fungus-growing
128 termites also produces additional antibiotics: microtermolides A and B (Carr et al. 2012).

129 A similar association occurs in leafcutter ant, *Acromyrmex* spp. colonies. *Acromyrmex* cultivate a
130 fungal mutualist, *Leucoagaricus gongylophorus* as their sole source of nutrition and support
131 *Pseudonocardia* bacteria within their metapleural glands (Heine et al. 2018; Holmes et al. 2016). The
132 *L. gongylophorus* cultivar is parasitized by another fungus: *Escovopsis* (Schultz and Brady 2008; Yek,
133 Boomsma, and Poulsen 2012). The *Pseudonocardia* synthesize different variants of the broad-
134 spectrum polyene antifungal nystatin P1 to control *Escovopsis* (Holmes et al. 2016). In addition,
135 *Pseudonocardia* associated with the attines *Apterostigma dentigerum* and *Trachymyrmex cornetzi*
136 have recently been found to produce novel cyclic depsipeptide compounds called gerumycins A-C,
137 (Holmes et al. 2016). The gerumycins are slightly smaller versions of dentigerumycin, a cyclic
138 depsipeptide that, at micromolar concentrations, also selectively inhibits *Escovopsis* (Sit et al. 2015)
139 without affecting the ants' fungal cultivar (Oh et al. 2009). In contrast, purified gerumycin A did not
140 exhibit significant antifungal activity *in vitro* up to 1 mM against a dentigerumycin-sensitive strain,

141 and phenotypic screening of the gerumycin-producing bacteria against *Escovopsis* did not display
142 marked activity, indicating that dentigerumycin is at least three orders of magnitude more potent than
143 the gerumycins at suppressing *Escovopsis* (Sit et al. 2015). Such differences in potency may form the
144 basis of a strategy inhibiting development of resistance wherein different antifungal variants may be
145 effective against different species of *Escovopsis* and do not act as general purpose antifungals (Baym,
146 Stone, and Kishony 2016).

147 *Streptomyces* are commonly found in insect microbiomes: southern pine beetle (*Dendroctonus*
148 *frontalis*) exhibits mutualism with *Streptomyces*, strains of which produce a number of secondary
149 metabolites including frontalamide A, frontalamide B, and mycangimycin (Scott et al. 2008; Blodgett
150 et al. 2010). Mycangimycin inhibits the beetles' antagonistic fungus *Ophiostoma minus* and has
151 potent inhibitory activity against *Plasmodium falciparum*, whilst frontalamides have general
152 antifungal activity (Scott et al. 2008; Blodgett et al. 2010; Baniecki, Wirth, and Clardy 2007).
153 *Streptomyces* spp. are also associated with the solitary wasps, *Sceliphron caementarium*, and
154 *Chalybion californicum*, providing antibacterial and antifungal chemical protection to their larvae via
155 production of streptochlorin, and a variety of piericidin analogues (Poulsen et al. 2011). The
156 antifungal compound sceliphrolactam was isolated from *Streptomyces* associated with the mud dauber
157 wasp *Sceliphron caementarium* (Poulsen et al. 2011). The compound is a polyene macrocyclic lactam
158 displaying antifungal activity against amphotericin B-resistant *Candida albicans* (Oh et al. 2011).

159 Screening for novel antimicrobials produced by actinobacteria, revealed a kanchanamycin-producing
160 actinomycete with antifungal activity isolated from the head of *Lasius fuliginosus* L. (Ye et al. 2017).
161 Similarly, another actinomycete, isolated from the head of the Japanese carpenter ant *Camponotus*
162 *japonicas* exhibits specific antifungal activity against the plant-pathogens *Phytophthora infestans* and
163 *Corynespora cassiicola* (Bai et al. 2016; Bowen et al. 2018; Izbiańska et al. 2019). Even
164 entomopathogenic fungi can produce antifungal peptides to combat their own fungal antagonists;
165 conidial cell walls of the insect pathogen fungus, *Beauveria bassiana*, express and release an
166 antifungal peptide (BbAFP1) into surrounding microenvironments, inhibiting growth of other,
167 competing fungi (Tong et al. 2020).

168

169 **3. An antifungals arms race: mix to evolve, evolve to mix.**

170 Complex organisms' main defence against pathogens is their immune system. Antifungal molecules
171 are integral components of the innate immune system in many taxa. Mammalian antifungal peptides
172 such as defensins, protegrins, histatins, lactoferricins as well as antifungal peptides produced by birds,
173 amphibians and insects all play pivotal roles in fighting fungal pathogens (Neelabh, Singh, and Rani
174 2016; Hegedüs and Marx 2013).

175 This being so, it begs a question; if such organisms have evolved to produce their own antifungal
176 compounds why have some arthropods, notably those associated with specific fungal mutualists,
177 evolved further mutualisms with bacteria that provide their hosts with additional antifungal
178 compounds? The answer may lie in the development of resistances by their fungal antagonists.
179 Antifungal compounds, mainly peptides or proteins have been proposed as a primitive mechanism of
180 immunology (Hegedüs and Marx 2013) and there are no doubts about their potency, but small
181 changes in fungal antagonists' epitope can inhibit or eliminate their efficacy. Thus, in such cases, how
182 does participation in such mutualistic associations avoid development of antifungal resistances, whilst
183 possession of integral antifungal peptides alone does not?

184

185 Attine ants (tribe: Attini) provide a useful model by which to examine these questions. To counter the
186 threat of pathogenic infection of their garden fungus, the attines have multiple strategies including a
187 tripartite mutualistic relationship within which they host antibiotic-producing bacteria on their bodies
188 (Barke et al. 2010). Many of these bacteria have coevolved with their hosts, producing antifungals to
189 inhibit parasitic fungi (*Escovopsis* spp. and allied taxa) whilst in return, the ants feed them via unique
190 exocrine glands within elaborate cuticular crypts that also offer the bacteria their favoured
191 microclimate (Currie et al. 2006). For the attine:cultivar association to have persisted for 50 million
192 years in the face of *Escovopsis* parasitism, it suggests that any resistance *Escovopsis* evolves to
193 antifungals employed against it must be countered by a similar flexibility in antifungal innovation on
194 the part of the multi-partite mutualists. It is this flexibility, essential in a fast moving, co-evolutionary
195 conflict between mutualists and parasite, that the bacteria provide. In the example of the attine
196 cultivar, comparing molecular structures of different gerumycins and dentigerumycin variations

197 produced by different *Pseudonocardia* associated with two different attine genera (Sit et al. 2015)
198 suggests pathways via which closely related symbiotic bacteria acquire the capacity to produce novel
199 molecules with new functions. Their analysis revealed very different biosynthetic architectures and
200 they posit these result from chromosomal incorporation of disparate plasmid-borne genomic islands,
201 acquired via horizontal gene transfer, leading to bacterial biosynthesis of varying antifungal
202 molecules with virtually identical core structures (Sit et al. 2015). In this example each effective core
203 forms a foundation for several different antifungal variants with different efficacies. Thus natural
204 selection favours a combination of enhanced genetic variants available for rapid evolutionary
205 selection to retard the development of antifungal resistances (Bergstrom, Lo, and Lipsitch 2004;
206 Baym, Stone, and Kishony 2016). We speculate that in order to synthesise an effective variability of
207 mixed antifungals, both on short and on long evolutionary timescales, bacteria are better weapons
208 compared with the relatively slow genetic variation/selection rates possible within arthropods.
209 Nevertheless, perhaps further emphasising the magnitude of microbial challenge insects face, their
210 endogenous antifungal peptides already display a remarkable evolutionary plasticity, originating from
211 gene duplication, subsequent diversification, and *de novo* creation from non-coding sequences
212 (Mylonakis et al. 2016). Horizontal gene transfer is relatively rare in metazoa (Nakabachi 2015) so
213 specific antifungal peptide families have been identified clustered within single insect orders and
214 restricted taxonomic groups, reflecting specific evolutionary adaptation (Mylonakis et al. 2016).
215 Therefore, the antifungal peptides are less plastic when compared the antifungals synthesized from
216 bacterial antifungal gene clusters. In addition, bacterial mutualists, with plastic haploid genomes, offer
217 faster mutation rates and frequent employment of horizontal gene transfer, whilst, by comparison, *n*-
218 ploid arthropod reproduction/selection is slower in securing and expressing effective changes.
219 This is particularly the case in eusocial arthropods such as attines ants. Comprising up to several
220 million individuals harvesting vegetation to feed their cultivars, such colonies might be classed as
221 ‘super-organisms’ (Hölldobler and Edward 2009) peculiarly vulnerable to the threat parasitic fungi
222 present. Workers spend much of their time foraging implying continual contact with genetically-
223 varied spores of fungal strains pathogenic to their mutualistic fungus cultivar (Poulsen et al. 2002). In
224 this scenario, *Escovopsis* strains are potentially variable via recruitment (Poulsen et al. 2010) as well

225 as via their innate ability to offer genetic differentiation (De Mana et al. 2016). Their cultivar is
226 genetically homogenous (Kooij et al. 2015) and the colony is long-lived, so potentially parasitic fungi
227 have years to adapt to it. The colony is slow to reproduce, although one colony may survive many
228 years and can produce many alates a year, it may require five years or more before it is capable of
229 their production and can never gain the equivalent benefits of multiple offspring/multiple generation
230 breeding strategies that short-lived insects enjoy (Keller and Genoud 1997). Other factors are also
231 influential: multi-mated queens notwithstanding, workers possess relative high genetic homogeneity
232 (Holzer, Keller, and Chapuisat 2009), limiting the range of endogenous antifungals any one colony
233 can produce whilst living underground in humid, fungus-friendly environments encourages invasion
234 by other competing/parasitic fungi (Pie, Rosengaus, and Traniello 2004).

235 Thus, such eusocial insect colonies experience many of the disadvantages of a long-lived complex
236 organism's long-term interactions with pathogens without the benefit of its more advanced, adaptive,
237 'memory-driven' immune system (Gross et al. 2009). Bacteria and fungi have been antagonists for
238 millennia and have evolved sophisticated compound spectra by which to inhibit/destroy each other so
239 it is entirely understandable that some eusocial insects, depending upon long-term mutualistic
240 relationships with fungi, would exploit antifungal-producing bacteria as a form of colonial/'super-
241 organismal' 'immune system' (Penick et al. 2018). Thus, in lieu of rapid reproduction providing
242 continual variation in immunity or a system of adaptive immunity, the attines (and others of their
243 eusocial ilk) exploit bacteria as anti-pathogenic defence systems to the extent that they are dependent
244 upon them.

245 The virtue of these mutualistic bacteria, with *Pseudonocardia* prominent amongst them, is that they
246 are genetically-specialised to offer continual production of varied self-similar but non-repeating
247 antifungal compound assemblages (Pathak, Kett, and Marvasi 2019). By so doing they produce
248 stochastically-varying anti-fungal conditions to which parasitic fungi cannot respond with sufficient
249 rapidity to 'outwit'; a 'Red Queen environment' to keep them evolutionarily outmanoeuvred.

250 It is therefore important to find out whether single-drug-resistance steps would be selected for or
251 against in a multidrug environment. We speculate that mixtures of bacterial antifungal variants would
252 help ants' antifungal peptides retain their efficacy, delaying the parasite's antifungal resistance. The

253 first important assumption is that antibiotic interactions can change with the acquisition of particular
254 mutations (leading to resistance) (Baym, Stone, and Kishony 2016). In Figure 2, three models are
255 proposed. In the Induced Synergy Model (Figure 2 A) ants' antifungal peptides act in synergy with
256 the bacterial antifungal mixtures. In this model the parasite may develop an antifungal peptide-
257 resistance allele which, whilst conferring resistance to the antifungal peptide, also changes its
258 interaction with the bacterial antifungal mixture, making the resistant parasite more sensitive to the
259 overall treatment. This principle has been established in other contexts, such as in *Escherichia coli*
260 and cell lung cancer lines resistant to chemotherapeutics (Wood et al. 2014). [Efficacy persistence of](#)
261 [bacterial antifungal mixtures is greater than that of individual compounds, so that complexes of](#)
262 [antifungal peptides isolated from maggots of *Calliphoridae* flies prevent development of resistance](#)
263 [better than their individual component small molecules and peptides \(Chernysh, Gordya, and](#)
264 [Suborova 2015\)](#). The second model (Figure 2 B) shows the collateral sensitivity which occurs without
265 co-application of the bacterial antifungal and the antifungal peptide. Mutant alleles conferring
266 resistance to antifungal peptides induce susceptibility to the bacterial antifungal (Baym, Stone, and
267 Kishony 2016). In the third model (Figure 2, C), [the two molecules interact](#), and the sensitive
268 microorganisms can grow at high concentrations of bacterial antifungal when [the](#) peptide antifungal is
269 also present. However, the efficacy of [bacterial](#) antifungals is reduced due to the evolution of
270 resistance to co-applied antifungal peptide. In all these contexts cycling of bacterial antifungal
271 mixtures may prevent the parasite's escape towards resistance. Thus, the rapidity of bacterial
272 antibiotic evolutionary rate does not solely rely on antibiotic cycling. Cycling utilises
273 a recurring series of antibiotics, but antibiotic production by *Pseudonocardia* (or other
274 microorganisms) is unlikely to exhibit a cyclic development, rather it produces unpredictable, non-
275 repetitive compound variants over time (Pathak, Kett, and Marvasi 2019).

276 [This interaction may be considered a Chase Red Queen \(CRQ\) scenario, in which local directional](#)
277 [selection drives coevolutionary chases between exploiter \(bacteria\) and victim \(arthropods' fungal](#)
278 [parasites\) phenotypes \(Brockhurst et al. 2014\)](#). CRQ dynamics generally occur when interactions
279 [have a complex genetic basis](#); in this case the acquisition, exchange and recombination of genes
280 related to antifungal synthesis by bacteria. This results in a chase in multiple ways. In the attine-

281 cultivar scenario both the pathogen and host cover the same role: hosts are under selection to increase
282 phenotypic distance through de novo evolution of novelty, while exploiters are under selection to
283 reduce phenotypic distance (Brockhurst et al. 2014). In the attine-cultivar the CRQ imposes a
284 coevolution process comprising a continual series of selective sweeps, which reduce genetic diversity
285 within populations but that drive divergence between populations. The extent to which this operates in
286 arthropod-bacterial mutualisms should be clarified in further experiments assessing genetic diversity
287 of the microbiome across nests and metagenomics and metatassonomic diversity (Lozupone et al.
288 2007). Sustained cycles of coevolutionary chase may occur through phenotype space whereby the
289 direction and intensity of selection vary according to the relative locations of the species in phenotype
290 space (Brockhurst et al. 2014).

291

292

293 CAPTIONS

294 **Figure 1. Mechanisms preventing development of antifungal resistance.** In this example, ants can
295 release a range of both endogenous and bacterial antifungals.

296 Bacteria can exploit genetic changes resulting from horizontal gene transfer, gene rearrangement,
297 mutation and haploidy plus rapid reproduction to produce quickly changing antifungal mixtures.

298 Ants do not reproduce as fast as bacteria, have much lower population numbers and more
299 homogenous genes. They can, however, produce a range of antimicrobial peptides (AMPs) with
300 antifungal activity to act as an effective first defence.

301

302 **Figure 2. Strategies for preventing development of antifungal resistance.** The models are
303 particular cases from those proposed by Baym et al. (2016). In this context the two key players are
304 AMPs produced by insects and antifungals produced by bacteria. (A) In a synergistic antagonistic
305 interaction acquisition of resistance makes the mutant more sensitive to the combination of the
306 antimicrobial peptide and bacterial antifungal. (B) In the collateral sensitivity hypothesis, which
307 occurs without co-application, acquired resistance to AMPs induce susceptibility of the bacterial
308 antifungal thus allowing selection against resistance. (C) In a suppressive interaction strategy, due to

309 **molecular interaction** of the bacterial antifungals and antifungal peptides, efficacy of bacterial
310 antifungals is reduced as the resistance to antimicrobial peptide evolves. Figure modified from Baym
311 et al. (2016).

312

313

314 REFERENCES

315

316 Aanen, D. K., Eggleton, P., Rouland-Lefèvre, C., Guldborg-Frøslev, T., Rosendahl, S., and Boomsma,
317 J. J. (2002). The evolution of fungus-growing termites and their mutualistic fungal symbionts.
318 *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 99, 14887-14892.

319 Ayroza, G., Ferreira, I. L., Sayegh, R. S., Tashima, A. K., and da Silva Junior, P. I. (2012). Juruin: an
320 antifungal peptide from the venom of the Amazonian Pink Toe spider, *Avicularia juruensis*,
321 which contains the inhibitory cystine knot motif. *Front. Microbiol.* 3, 324.

322 Bai, L., Liu, C., Guo, L., Piao, C., Li, Z., Li, J., et al. (2016). *Streptomyces formicae* sp. nov., a novel
323 actinomycete isolated from the head of *Camponotus japonicus* Mayr. *Antonie van Leeuwenhoek*
324 109, 253–261.

325 Baniecki, M. L., Wirth, D. F., and Clardy, J. (2007). High-throughput *Plasmodium falciparum* growth
326 assay for malaria drug discovery. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 51, 716.

327 Barke, J., Seipke, R. F., Grünschow, S., Heavens, D., Drou, N., Bibb, M. J., et al. (2010). A mixed
328 community of actinomycetes produce multiple antibiotics for the fungus farming ant
329 *Acromyrmex octospinosus*. *BMC Biol.* 8, 109.

330 Baym, M., Stone, L. K., and Kishony, R. (2016). Multidrug evolutionary strategies to reverse
331 antibiotic resistance. *Science* 351, aad3292.

332 Beattie, A. J. (1985). The evolutionary ecology of ant–plant mutualisms. Cambridge: Cambridge
333 University Press.

334 Bergstrom, C. T., Lo, M., and Lipsitch, M. (2004). Ecological theory suggests that antimicrobial
335 cycling will not reduce antimicrobial resistance in hospitals. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 101,
336 13285–13290.

337 Blodgett, J. A. V, Oh, D.-C., Cao, S., Currie, C. R., Kolter, R., and Clardy, J. (2010). Common
338 biosynthetic origins for polycyclic tetramate macrolactams from phylogenetically diverse
339 bacteria. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U.S.A.* 107, 11692.

340 Bot, A. N. M., Ortius-Lechner, D., Finster, K., Maile, R., and Boomsma, J. J. (2002). Variable
341 sensitivity of fungi and bacteria to compounds produced by the metapleural glands of leaf-
342 cutting ants. *Insectes Soc.* 49, 363–370.

343 Boucias, D.G., Zhou, Y., Huang, S. and Keyhani, N.O. (2018) Microbiota in insect fungal pathology.
344 *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* 102, 5873–5888.

345 Bowen, K. L., Hagan, A. K., Pegues, M., Jones, J., and Miller, H. B. (2018). Epidemics and yield
346 losses due to *Corynespora cassiicola* on cotton. *Plant Dis.* 102, 2494–2499.

347 Brockhurst, M. A., Chapman, T., King, K. C., Mank, J. E., Paterson, S., and Hurst, G. D. (2014).
348 Running with the Red Queen: the role of biotic conflicts in evolution. *Proceedings. Biological*
349 *Sci.* 281, 20141382.

350 Carr, G., Poulsen, M., Klassen, J. L., Hou, Y., Wyche, T. P., Bugni, T. S., et al. (2012).
351 Microtermolides A and B from termite-associated *Streptomyces* sp. and structural revision of
352 vinylamycin. *Org. Lett.* 14, 2822–2825.

353 Chen, R., Wang, Z., Chen, J., Jiang, L.-Y., and Qiao, G.-X. (2017). Insect-bacteria parallel evolution
354 in multiple-co-obligate-aphid association: a case in *Lachninae* (Hemiptera: Aphididae). *Sci. Rep.*
355 7, 10204.

356 Chernysh, S., Gordya, N., and Suborova, T. (2015). Insect antimicrobial peptide complexes prevent
357 resistance development in bacteria. *PLoS One* 10, e0130788.

358 Chevrette, M. G., Carlson, C. M., Ortega, H. E., Thomas, C., Ananiev, G. E., Barns, K. J., et al.
359 (2019). The antimicrobial potential of *Streptomyces* from insect microbiomes. *Nat. Commun.* 10,
360 516.

361 [Currie, C.R., Poulsen, M., Mendenhall, J., Boomsma, J.J., Billen, J. \(2006\). Coevolved crypts and](#)
362 [exocrine glands support mutualistic bacteria in fungus-growing ants. *Science.* 6, 81-3.](#)

363 De Mana, T. J. B., Stajich, J. E., Kubicek, C. P., Teiling, C., Chenthamara, K., Atanasova, L., et al.
364 (2016). Small genome of the fungus *Escovopsis weberi*, a specialized disease agent of ant

365 agriculture. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 113, 3567-3572.

366 Ferrell, J. R., Bogovich, E. R., Lee, N. R., Gray, R. L., and Pappas, D. D. (2015). Studies of air, water,
367 and ethanol vapor atmospheric pressure plasmas for antimicrobial applications. *Biointerphases*
368 10, 021001.

369 Gross, R., Vavre, F., Heddi, A., Hurst, G. D. D., Zchori-Fein, E., and Bourtzis, K. (2009). Immunity
370 and symbiosis. *Mol. Microbiol.* 73, 751–759.

371 Hegedüs, N., and Marx, F. (2013). Antifungal proteins: More than antimicrobials? *Fungal Biol. Rev.*
372 26, 132–145.

373 Heine, D., Holmes, N. A., Worsley, S. F., Santos, A. C. A., Innocent, T. M., Scherlach, K., et al.
374 (2018). Chemical warfare between leafcutter ant symbionts and a co-evolved pathogen. *Nat.*
375 *Commun.* 9, 2201–2208.

376 Hokken, M. W. J., Zwaan, B. J., Melchers, W. J. G., and Verweij, P. E. (2019). Facilitators of
377 adaptation and antifungal resistance mechanisms in clinically relevant fungi. *Fungal Genet.*
378 *Biol.* 132, 103254.

379 Hölldobler, B., and Edward, O. W. (2009). The superorganism: The beauty, elegance, and strangeness
380 of insect societies. W.W. Norton. UK: WW Norton & Co.

381 Holmes, N. A., Innocent, T. M., Heine, D., Bassam, M. A., Worsley, S. F., Trottmann, F., et al.
382 (2016). Genome analysis of two *Pseudonocardia* phylotypes associated with *Acromyrmex*
383 leafcutter ants reveals their biosynthetic potential. *Front. Microbiol.* 7, 2073.

384 Holzer, B., Keller, L., and Chapuisat, M. (2009). Genetic clusters and sex-biased gene flow in a
385 unicolonial *Formica* ant. *BMC Evol. Biol.* 9, 69.

386 Izbiańska, K., Floryszak-Wieczorek, J., Gajewska, J., Gzyl, J., Jelonek, T., and Arasimowicz-Jelonek,
387 M. (2019). Switchable nitroproteome states of *Phytophthora infestans* biology and pathobiology.
388 *Front. Microbiol.* 10,1516.

389 Jia, F., Wang, J., Peng, J., Zhao, P., Kong, Z., Wang, K., et al. (2018). The in vitro, in vivo antifungal
390 activity and the action mode of jelleine-I against *Candida* species. *Amino Acids* 50, 229–239.

391 Katariya, L., Ramesh, P. B., and Borges, R. M. (2018). Dynamic environments of fungus-farming
392 termite mounds exert growth-modulating effects on fungal crop parasites. *Environ. Microbiol.*

393 20, 971-979.

394 Katariya, L., Ramesh, P. B., Gopalappa, T., and Borges, R. M. (2017). Sex and diversity: The
395 mutualistic and parasitic fungi of a fungus-growing termite differ in genetic diversity and
396 reproductive strategy. *Fungal Ecol.* 26, 20-27.

397 Keller, L., and Genoud, M. (1997). Extraordinary lifespans in ants: a test of evolutionary theories of
398 ageing. *Nature* 389, 958–960.

399 Kim, K. H., Ramadhar, T. R., Beemelmans, C., Cao, S., Poulsen, M., Currie, C. R., et al. (2014).
400 Natalamycin A, an ansamycin from a termite-associated *Streptomyces* sp. *Chem. Sci.* 5, 4333–
401 4338.

402 Koidsumi, K. (1957). Antifungal action of cuticular lipids in insects. *J. Insect Physiol.* 1, 40–51.

403 Kooij, P. W., Poulsen, M., Schiøtt, M., and Boomsma, J. J. (2015). Somatic incompatibility and
404 genetic structure of fungal crops in sympatric *Atta colombica* and *Acromyrmex echinator* leaf-
405 cutting ants. *Fungal Ecol.* 18, 10-17.

406 Lee, S. B. (2016). Antifungal activity of bee venom and sweet bee venom against clinically isolated
407 *Candida albicans*. *J. pharmacopuncture* 19, 45–50.

408 Lever, M. A., Torti, A., Eickenbusch, P., Michaud, A. B., Šantl-Temkiv, T., and Jørgensen, B. B.
409 (2015). A modular method for the extraction of DNA and RNA, and the separation of DNA
410 pools from diverse environmental sample types. *Front. Microbiol.* 6, 476.

411 Lozupone, C. A., Hamady, M., Kelley, S. T., and Knight, R. (2007). Quantitative and qualitative beta
412 diversity measures lead to different insights into factors that structure microbial communities.
413 *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 73, 1576–1585.

414 Mylonakis, E., Podsiadlowski, L., Muhammed, M., and Vilcinskis, A. (2016). Diversity, evolution
415 and medical applications of insect antimicrobial peptides. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Series B, Biol.*
416 *Sci.* 371, 20150290.

417 Nakabachi, A. (2015). Horizontal gene transfers in insects. *Curr. Opin. insect Sci.* 7, 24–29.

418 Nascimento, R. R. Do, Schoeters, E., Morgan, E. D., Billen, J., and Stradling, D. J. (1996). Chemistry
419 of metapleural gland secretions of three attine ants, *Atta sexdens rubropilosa*, *Atta cephalotes*,
420 and *Acromyrmex octospinosus* (Hymenoptera: Formicidae). *J. Chem. Ecol.* 22, 987–1000.

421 Neelabh, Singh, K., and Rani, J. (2016). Sequential and structural aspects of antifungal peptides from
422 animals, bacteria and fungi based on bioinformatics Tools. *Probiotics Antimicrob. Proteins* 8,
423 85–101.

424 Oh, D.-C., Poulsen, M., Currie, C. R., and Clardy, J. (2009). Dentigerumycin: a bacterial mediator of
425 an ant-fungus symbiosis. *Nat. Chem. Biol.* 5, 391–393.

426 Oh, D.-C., Poulsen, M., Currie, C. R., and Clardy, J. (2011). Sceliphrolactam, a polyene macrocyclic
427 lactam from a wasp-associated *Streptomyces* sp. *Org. Lett.* 13, 752–755.

428 Orivel, J., Redeker, V., Caer, J. P. Le, Krier, F., Revol-Junelles, A. M., Longeon, A., et al. (2001).
429 Ponericins, new antibacterial and insecticidal peptides from the venom of the ant *Pachycondyla*
430 *goeldii*. *J. Biol. Chem.* 276, 17823–17829.

431 Ortiz-Urquiza, A., and Keyhani, N.O. (2013) Action on the surface: Entomopathogenic fungi versus
432 the insect cuticle. *Insects* 4, 357-374.

433 Otani, S., Challinor, V. L., Kreuzenbeck, N. B., Kildgaard, S., Christensen, S. K., Larsen, L. L. M., et
434 al. (2019). Disease-free monoculture farming by fungus-growing termites. *Sci. Rep.* 9, 8819.

435 Otani, S., Mikaelyan, A., Nobre, T., Hansen, L. H., Koné, N. A., Sørensen, S. J., et al. (2014).
436 Identifying the core microbial community in the gut of fungus-growing termites. *Mol. Ecol.* 23,
437 4631–4644.

438 Pathak, A., Kett, S., and Marvasi, M. (2019). Resisting antimicrobial resistance: Lessons from fungus
439 farming ants. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* 34, 974-976.

440 Pedrini, N., Ortiz-Urquiza, A., Huarte-Bonnet, C., Zhang, S., and Keyhani, N. O. (2013). Targeting of
441 insect epicuticular lipids by the entomopathogenic fungus *Beauveria bassiana*: hydrocarbon
442 oxidation within the context of a host-pathogen interaction. *Front. Microbiol.* 4, 24.

443 Pedrini, N., Ortiz-Urquiza, A., Huarte-Bonnet, C., Fan, Y., Juárez, M., and Keyhani N.O. (2015).
444 Components of a host–pathogen arms race. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 112, E3651-E3660.

445 Penick, C. A., Halawani, O., Pearson, B., Mathews, S., López-Urbe, M. M., Dunn, R. R., et al.
446 (2018). External immunity in ant societies: Sociality and colony size do not predict investment
447 in antimicrobials. *R. Soc. Open Sci.* 5, 171332.

448 Pie, M. R., Rosengaus, R. B., and Traniello, J. F. (2004). Nest architecture, activity pattern, worker

449 density and the dynamics of disease transmission in social insects. *J. Theor. Biol.* 226, 45–51.

450 Poulsen, M., Bot, A. N. M., Currie, C. R., and Boomsma, J. J. (2002). Mutualistic bacteria and a
451 possible trade-off between alternative defence mechanisms in *Acromyrmex* leaf-cutting ants.
452 *Insectes Soc.* 49, 15-19.

453 Poulsen, M., Cafaro, M. J., Erhardt, D. P., Little, A. E. F., Gerardo, N. M., Tebbets, B., et al. (2010).
454 Variation in *Pseudonocardia* antibiotic defence helps govern parasite-induced morbidity in
455 *Acromyrmex* leaf-cutting ants. *Environ. Microbiol. Rep.* 2, 534-540.

456 Poulsen, M., Oh, D.-C., Clardy, J., and Currie, C. R. (2011). Chemical analyses of wasp-associated
457 *Streptomyces* bacteria reveal a prolific potential for natural products discovery. *PLoS One* 6,
458 e16763.

459 Prajapati, K. K., and Upadhyay, R. K. (2016). Antimicrobial activity of purified toxins from Yellow
460 Wasp *Polistes flavus* (Vespidae) against certain bacteria and fungi. *J. Biosci. Med.* 4, 95-100.

461 Rohlf, M., and Churchill, A. C. L. (2011). Fungal secondary metabolites as modulators of
462 interactions with insects and other arthropods. *Fungal Genet. Biol.* 48, 23-34.

463 Rothberg, J. M., Hinz, W., Rearick, T. M., Schultz, J., Mileski, W., Davey, M., et al. (2011). An
464 integrated semiconductor device enabling non-optical genome sequencing. *Nature* 475, 348-352.

465 Santussi, W. M., Bordon, K. C. F., Alves, A. P. N. R., Cologna, C. T., Said, S., and Arantes, E. C.
466 (2017). Antifungal activity against filamentous fungi of Ts1, a multifunctional toxin from *Tityus*
467 *serrulatus* scorpion venom. *Front. Microbiol.* 8, 984.

468 Schuhmann, B., Seitz, V., Vilcinskas, A., and Podsiadlowski, L. (2003). Cloning and expression of
469 gallerimycin, an antifungal peptide expressed in immune response of greater wax moth larvae,
470 *Galleria mellonella*. *Arch. Insect Biochem. Physiol.* 53, 125–133.

471 Schultz, T. R., and Brady, S. G. (2008). Major evolutionary transitions in ant agriculture. *Proc. Natl.*
472 *Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 105, 5435–5440.

473 Scott, J. J., Oh, D.-C., Yuceer, M. C., Klepzig, K. D., Clardy, J., and Currie, C. R. (2008). Bacterial
474 protection of beetle-fungus mutualism. *Science* 322, 63.

475 Sanchez-Contreras, M., and Vlisidou, I. (2008). The diversity of insect-bacteria interactions and its
476 applications for disease control. *Biotechnol. Genet. Eng. Rev.* 25, 203–243.

477 Silva, P. Da, Jouvencal, L., Lamberty, M., Bulet, P., Caille, A., and Vovelle, F. (2003). Solution
478 structure of termicin, an antimicrobial peptide from the termite *Pseudacanthotermes spiniger*.
479 *Protein Sci.* 12, 438–446.

480 Sinha, S., Zheng, L., Mu, Y., Ng, W. J., and Bhattacharjya, S. (2017). Structure and interactions of a
481 host defense antimicrobial peptide thanatin in lipopolysaccharide micelles reveal mechanism of
482 bacterial cell agglutination. *Sci. Rep.* 7, 17795–17796.

483 Sit, C. S., Ruzzini, A. C., Arnam, E. B. Van, Ramadhar, T. R., Currie, C. R., and Clardy, J. (2015).
484 Variable genetic architectures produce virtually identical molecules in bacterial symbionts of
485 fungus-growing ants. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U.S.A.* 112, 13150.

486 Slaninová, J., Putnova, H., Borovickova, L., Sacha, P., Cerovsky, V., Monincova, L., et al. (2011).
487 The antifungal effect of peptides from hymenoptera venom and their analogs. *Cent. Eur. J. Biol.*
488 6, 150–159.

489 Tong, S., Li, M., Keyhani, N.O., Liu, Y., Yuan, M., Lin, D., Jin, D., Li, X., Pei, Y., and Fan, Y.
490 (2020). Characterization of a fungal competition factor: Production of a conidial cell-wall
491 associated antifungal peptide. *Plos Pathogens* 16:e1008518

492 Um, S., Fraimout, A., Sapountzis, P., Oh, D. C., and Poulsen, M. (2013). The fungus-growing termite
493 *Macrotermes natalensis* harbors bacillaene-producing *Bacillus* sp. that inhibit potentially
494 antagonistic fungi. *Sci. Rep.* 3, 3250.

495 Veal, D. A., Stokes, H. W., and Daggard, G. (1992). “Genetic exchange in natural microbial
496 communities,” in *Advances in Microbial Ecology*, ed. K. C. Marshall (Boston, MA: Springer
497 US), pp. 383–430.

498 Wiederhold, N. P. (2017). Antifungal resistance: current trends and future strategies to combat. *Infect.*
499 *Drug Resist.* 10, 249–259.

500 Wilson, E. O., and Holldobler, B. (2005). Eusociality: origin and consequences. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.*
501 *U. S. A.* 102, 13367–13371.

502 Wood, K. B., Wood, K. C., Nishida, S., and Cluzel, P. (2014). Uncovering scaling laws to infer
503 multidrug response of resistant microbes and cancer cells. *Cell Rep.* 6, 1073–1084.

504 Ye, L., Zhao, S., Li, Y., Jiang, S., Zhao, Y., Li, J., et al. (2017). *Streptomyces lasiicapitis* sp. nov., an

505 actinomycete that produces kanchanamycin, isolated from the head of an ant (*Lasius fuliginosus*
506 L.). *Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol.* 67, 1529–1534.

507 Yek, S. H., Boomsma, J. J., and Poulsen, M. (2012). Towards a better understanding of the evolution
508 of specialized parasites of fungus-growing ant crops. *Psyche* 239392.

509 Zhang, H., Liu, J., Li, C. R., Momen, B., Kohanski, R. A., and Pick, L. (2009). Deletion of
510 *Drosophila* insulin-like peptides causes growth defects and metabolic abnormalities. *Proc. Natl.*
511 *Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 106, 19617–19622.

512 Zhang, S., Widemann, E., Bernard, G., Lesot, A., Pinot, F., Pedrini, N., and Keyhani N.O. (2012).
513 CYP52X1, representing new cytochrome P450 subfamily, displays fatty acid hydroxylase
514 activity and contributes to virulence and growth on insect cuticular substrates in
515 entomopathogenic fungus *Beauveria bassiana*. *J. Biol. Chem.* 287, 13477

516

517