

23 Vahab.taghavi@gmail.com

24 5. Helen Allan

25 h.allan@mdx.ac.uk

26 6. Shahintaj Aramesh

27 Sh.aramesh123@yahoo.com

28

29 **Number of words (Text): 2090**

30 **Number of words (Abstract): 196**

31 **Number of Tables: 3**

32

33 **Abstract**

34 **Aims:** The aim of this study was to compare the complications of pregnancy, childbirth and
35 neonatal in women with different forms of polycystic ovary syndrome (PCOS) with healthy
36 women.

37 **Methods:** A prospective study from the beginning to the end of pregnancy for 41 pregnant
38 women with PCOS (case) and 49 healthy pregnant women (control) was completed. Based on
39 the presence or absence of menstrual dysfunction (M), hyperandrogenism (HA) and polycystic
40 ovaries (PCO) on ultrasound, the PCOS (case) group were divided into three phenotypes (HA +
41 PCO (n=22), M + PCO (n=9), HA + M + PCO (n=10).

42 **Result:** Pre-eclampsia, gestational diabetes and lower birth weight among newborns were
43 significantly higher in the PCOS case group compared to the control group especially in the
44 phenotype HA + M + PCO (P<0.05). High BMI ($\beta=2.40$; P=0.03) was the strongest predictor of
45 pre-eclampsia in patients with PCOS. High androgen levels (free androgen index) ($\beta=13.71$,
46 3.02; P<0.05), was the strongest predictor of developing diabetes during pregnancy and reduced
47 birth weight baby, respectively.

48 **Conclusion:** The results of the present study suggest that PCOS is a risk factor for adverse
49 pregnancy and neonatal outcomes including gestational diabetes, pre-eclampsia and reduced
50 weight babies.

51 **Keywords:** polycystic ovary syndrome, pregnancy complications, neonatal complications,
52 phenotype.

53

54 **Introduction**

55 Polycystic ovary syndrome (PCOS) is a common and complex endocrine disorder that affects
56 women of reproductive age (1). The Rotterdam criteria suggest that are three detectable
57 phenotypes in women presenting with PCOS symptoms: anovulation/menstrual irregularities
58 with polycystic ovary with ultrasound (M + PCO), hyperandrogenism with polycystic ovary with
59 ultrasound (HA + PCO) and hyperandrogenism with anovulation/menstrual irregularities and
60 polycystic ovary (M + HA + PCO). The prevalence of PCOS in the studies was estimated 2.2-
61 26% **in developed countries** (2-5). Complications associated with polycystic ovary syndrome can
62 occur across the life span for women (6). In this study, we considered complications and
63 outcomes associated with pregnancy, childbirth and neonatal period. Prospective and
64 retrospective studies have been reported PCOS as a risk factor for increased incidence of
65 pregnancy complications (7-9). Pregnancy complications in the first trimester in women with
66 PCOS include hyperemesis gravidarum, abortion and fetal abnormalities (10-12).

67

68 Pregnant women with PCOS are at increased risk of gestational diabetes as pregnancy is one of
69 the predisposing factors to increased insulin resistance that may result in gestational diabetes
70 during pregnancy. In addition, insulin resistance is higher in women with PCOS who are
71 overweight (25-70% of women with PCOS has insulin resistance). Further potential risks include
72 gestational diabetes, preeclampsia, gestational hypertension, premature birth, mortality and an
73 increased risk of hospitalization in the intensive care unit for newborns in pregnant patients with
74 PCOS (13). In the only study to assess pregnancy and neonatal outcomes in women with PCOS
75 with different phenotypes (n=97) compared to healthy pregnant women (n=73), Palomba et al.
76 reported significant differences in the prevalence of abortion, gestational hypertension,

77 gestational diabetes, pre-delivery bleeding between the phenotypes of PCOS and control groups,
78 respectively. In Palomba et al.(14) study, there were no significant differences between groups in
79 terms of incidence of fetal malformations, placental abruption and Apgar score. And in a meta-
80 analysis, Qin et al.(15), suggested that the effects of pregnancy and neonatal outcomes among
81 phenotypes of PCOS are unknown and requires further studies in this regard.

82 Given the prevalence of PCOS in Iran (1.7-6.14%) and the lack of adequate information on
83 pregnancy and neonatal outcomes in women with different phenotypes of PCOS, this study
84 aimed to evaluate the results of pregnancy, childbirth and neonatal outcomes in women with
85 different PCOS phenotypes compared to healthy pregnant women.

86

87 **Methods**

88 **Design and data collection**

89 The present study is a prospective cohort study using convenience sampling. In this study
90 exposure was having PCOS and not exposure was no PCOS for investigate how adverse obstetric
91 outcomes vary. Therefore, the exposure group included women with PCOS referred to an
92 infertility clinic in Shahid Beheshti hospital in Kashan, Isfahan, Iran from April 2014 to April
93 2016. This is the only referral clinic in Kashan. The non exposure group comprised healthy
94 women who had been referred to this clinic because of male factor infertility. After presenting
95 the purpose of the study to suitable participants who met the inclusion criteria, a written consent
96 was obtained from each volunteer who were asked to complete the three measures.

97 Inclusion criteria were Desire to participate in the study, being 15–40 years of age, Married,
98 Absence of non-classic adrenal hyperplasia, thyroid dysfunction, hyperprolactinemia,
99 Non-smoking, No problems in speaking or listening, Iranian, First pregnancy, Spontaneous
100 pregnancy, Not having uterus malformations, Not having chronic diseases, Having two of the
101 following Rotterdam diagnostic criteria:

102 1) Polycystic ovaries visualized on ultrasound scan (presence of 12 follicles or more in one or
103 both ovaries and/or increased ovarian volume i.e., >10 ml),

104 2) clinical signs of hyperandrogenism (hirsutism score based on hirsutism score greater than 7 or
105 obvious acne) ,
106 3) having an interval between menstrual periods >35 days and/or amenorrhea, defined as the
107 absence of vaginal bleeding for at least 6 months (i.e. 199 days).

108 According to Palomba et al.(14), P1:46.2%, P2:85.5%, $\alpha=0.05$ and $\beta=0.20$, sample size was
109 estimated at 40 couples per group.

110 Hormonal profiles were sought in both groups before pregnancy. The participants were followed
111 from 7 weeks (6-10 weeks) of pregnancy until after delivery. The pregnancy visit intervals were
112 according to Iran Ministry of Health guideline.

113

114 **Measures**

1151. Menstrual history: women were asked about the interval of two menstrual cycles in the last 12
116 months; their menstrual cycles were classified as following: <21 days, 21-34-34-60, >199 days
117 and irregular.

1182. BMI: this variable was estimated by dividing each patient's weight by height² (Kg/m²).

1193. Hirsutism: hirsutism scoring was based on the Gallway scale (1961). Hutch et al.(16) modified
120 this scoring system and limited it to 9 androgen sensitive areas each area based on the growth of
121 terminal hair scored from 0-4 (17). A score of 7 or more indicated hirsutism .

1224. Acne: Global Acne Grading Scale (GAGS) was assessed to measure acne. This scale considers
123 six areas of the face, chest and upper back to measure the level of involvement, distribution,
124 density and pilosebaceous units. Each of the six areas scores from 0-4 with the most severe
125 lesion in each area determining the score of that area; the score of each region is multiplied by
126 the factor score. The factor score is calculated according to the area involved: forehead: 2; left

127 and right cheek: 2; nose: 1; chin: 1; chest and upper back: 3. The total score is obtained by
128 multiplying the factor score by total score of involved area (18).

1295. Evaluation of cervical incompetence: transvaginal ultrasound from 16-24 weeks' gestation was
130 performed by a gynecologist. The mean cervical length from 16-24 weeks of pregnancy is 25
131 mm. Cervical length < 25 mm does not indicate cervical incompetence but it is a risk factor for
132 adverse pregnancy outcomes. Cervical incompetence indicates preterm delivery due to passive
133 dilation of the uterine cervix. Cervical length < 25 mm is an indication for cerclage placement in
134 a population of pregnant women with a history of preterm delivery. In this study we considered
1356. cervical length <25 mm as cervical incompetence and cervical length >25 mm as not having
136 cervical incompetence (19).

1377. Pregnancy-Unique Quantification of Emesis/Nausea (PUQE) Index: The three PUQE questions
138 each have a rating from 1–5, thus the composite sum ranged from 3–15. A score between 3–6
139 points was defined as mild, 7–12 points as moderate and scores ≥ 13 points was classified as
140 severe nausea and vomiting. Reliability and validity of the questionnaire is approved (20).

141

142 **Laboratory measure**

143 An overnight 8-12 hours fasting venous blood sample was obtained from each patient. Serum
144 total testosterone (TT), sex hormone-binding globulin (SHBG), follicle-stimulating hormone
145 (FSH), and luteinizing hormone (LH), thyroid stimulating hormone (TSH) and prolactin (PRL)
146 were concomitantly assessed in all participants by ELISA (DRG Instruments GmbH, Marburg,
147 Germany). TT and SHBG were used to calculate the free androgen index (FAI). FAI was
148 estimated as $TT \text{ (nmol/l)}/SHBG \text{ (nmol/l)} \times 100$. Except for amenorrhoeic women, all laboratory
149 determinations were performed in the early follicular phase (3-day menstruation) of the cycle. In

150 amenorrhoeic women, after roll out of pregnancy the all laboratory determinations were
151 performed.

152 What does this mean? Because amenorrhea may be related to pregnancy that the hormonal
153 profile will be different with non pregnancy.

154

155 **Data analysis**

156 In the present study, we used descriptive and analytic statistics using SPSS 21. Data are
157 presented as mean (standard deviation) for quantitative variable and n (%) for qualitative
158 variable. The normality of the distributions was tested using the Kolmogrov-Smirnov test. In
159 order to make comparison between groups, a *t*-test was used for quantitative and Mann-Whitney
160 test for ordinal variables. For comparison between phenotypes of PCOS, the ANOVA test was
161 used for quantitative and Kruskal-Wallis test for ordinal variables. Linear regression (for neonate
162 weight) and logistic regression (for preeclampsia and diabetes) were used to determine the most
163 important predictors.

164 Univariate and stepwise multiple logistic regression analysis were used to evaluated risk factors
165 associated with above outcomes (significant differences related to these outcome between
166 different phenotypes of PCOS). The analysis of risk factors was concluded in two steps. All the
167 socioeconomic and characteristics of patients presented in Table 1 were tested one by one in
168 separate, univariate analysis. Secondly, all statistically significant variables in the univariate
169 analysis were tested using multivariable logistic regression analysis. Significant variable were
170 entered in a stepwise manner. Results from the final model are presented as odd ratio with 95%
171 confidence interval. The information entered to the regression models was limited to women

172 with PCOS (significant differences related to these outcomes between different phenotypes of
173 PCOS). A significance level of 0.05 was acceptable.

174

175 **Ethics**

176 The ethics committee of Kashan University of Medical Sciences approved the present study. All
177 women gave written inform consent.

178

179 **Findings**

180 **1. Baseline characterize of participant**

181 Demographic and reproductive characteristics of participants are presented in **Table 1**. The
182 results show that significant differences between PCOS and control groups in terms of acne score
183 (3.62 ± 4.80 vs. 1.82 ± 4.08 ; $P=0.05$), hirsutism score (3.18 ± 4.25 vs. 1 ± 2.31 ; $P=0.003$),
184 irregularities menses ($P<0.001$), testosterone levels (1.02 ± 0.52 vs. 0.65 ± 0.43 ; $P=0.05$), SHBG
185 (146.66 ± 2.29 vs. 120.50 ± 3.24 ; $P=0.05$), FAI (10.21 ± 34.45 vs. 4.71 ± 1.70 ; $P=0.02$) were
186 observed.

187

188 **2. Obstetric and neonatal status between PCOS and control patients**

189 **Table 2** compares pregnancy, delivery and neonatal outcomes between the two groups. Results
190 show that significant differences between the two groups in the incidence of pre-eclampsia
191 ($P=0.05$), gestational diabetes ($P=0.05$) and birth weight ($P=0.05$) were observed. It should be
192 noted that there are any IUGR and LGA in two groups.

193

194 **3. Obstetric and neonatal outcome between different phenotypes of PCOS**

195 Results of **Table 3** show the comparison of pregnancy, delivery and neonatal outcomes among
196 women with different PCOS phenotypes. Significant differences related to pre-eclampsia
197 (P=0.05), gestational diabetes (P=0.05) and birth weight (P=0.05) between the three PCOS
198 phenotype were observed. HA + M + PCO phenotype have a higher frequency of pre-eclampsia
199 and gestational diabetes and lower birth weight of neonates than other phenotypes respectively. It
200 should be noted that there are any IUGR, LGA and PROM in any of the three groups.

201

202 **4. Predictive factors of obstetric and neonatal outcome**

203 The regression results showed that high BMI ($\beta=2.40$; CI=1.02-1.58) and increased FAI
204 ($\beta=13.71$; CI=13.71-76.07) were the strongest predictors of pre-eclampsia and diabetes in
205 patients with PCOS (Data not shown). Moreover, the regression results show that the increase in
206 FAI ($\beta=3.02$; CI=- 20.86, -66.91) was the strongest predictor of weight babies were born to
207 mothers with PCOS.

208

209 **Discussion**

210 This study aimed to assess the pregnancy, delivery and neonatal outcomes in women with PCOS
211 compared to controls. Results of the study show a higher incidence of pre-eclampsia and
212 diabetes, and lower weight infants in women with PCOS compared to the control group. Similar
213 to our findings, in a study conducted by Bjercke et al.(9), the results showed that the prevalence
214 of pre-eclampsia was higher significantly in women with PCOS (13.5%) compared with the
215 control group (7%). The prevalence of gestational diabetes in women with PCOS (7.7%) was
216 higher compared with control (0.6%). The results from Roos et al.(21) also show significantly
217 increased prevalence of gestational diabetes and pre-eclampsia in women with PCOS compared

218 with the control group. Although the study of Palomba et al.(14), the prevalence of gestational
219 diabetes in PCOS lower than the control group and M + PCO phenotype of PCOS had the
220 highest prevalence. Roos et al. and Bjercke et al. not cited in literature review or background:
221 this was cited in background as whole.

222

223 Despite the high prevalence of gestational diabetes mellitus in patients with PCOS compared to
224 control in the study, the fetal macrosomia was expected. But, the birth weight in PCOS was less
225 than the control group especially phenotype HA + M + PCO. This finding may be due to the
226 incompetence of the placenta in these women who tend to have a high incidence of pre-
227 eclampsia. In a recent review, Qin et al.(15) have proposed there is no definite risk factor for
228 adverse pregnancy complications in women with PCOS identified as yet. But, Veltman-Verhulst
229 et al.(22) found that low level of SHBG predicts GDM in women with PCOS. It has been
230 suggested that FAI is a better and more accurate indicator to measure abnormal androgen level
231 (23). In the present study, testosterone and SHBG levels were evaluated to assess the FAI. The
232 results showed that the FAI level was the strongest predictor of gestational diabetes and weight
233 loss of babies in patients with PCOS.

234 Previous studies have shown that insulin resistance in PCOS could play a role in the
235 pathogenesis of pre-eclampsia (9). Although in the current study, the level of insulin resistance
236 was not measured, the relationship between insulin resistance and androgen levels in non-
237 pregnant women with PCOS has already been demonstrated (24). Introducing higher BMI as the
238 strongest predictor of pre-eclampsia in the present study and increased levels of androgens in
239 fatty status is approved this finding. Moreover, in regard to the high incidence of the above
240 outcomes in HA+M+PCO phenotypes of PCOS, it should be noted that previous studies have

241 shown that androgen levels in this phenotype of PCOS women was higher than other
242 phenotypes and more prone to metabolic complications. In other words, biochemical
243 hyperandrogenism plays an essential role in metabolic changes and non-androgenic phenotypes
244 of PCOS are at a reduced risk of metabolic adverse effects than other phenotypes (25-26).

245 This study is not without limitations. Participants were selected using a simple sampling method.
246 The present study is limited to the women recruited from the only referral hospital for infertility
247 on Kashan, Isfahan, Iran; this may limit the generalizability of our findings. However, it should
248 be noted that the women in previous studies were also undergoing infertility treatments that had
249 different endocrine characteristics and pregnancy outcomes. The merit of the present study is that
250 all women had a spontaneous pregnancy. Moreover, PCOS diagnosis was confirmed by a
251 physician experienced in the clinic. All women were first gravidity.

252

253 **Conclusions**

254 The results of the present study suggest that PCOS is a risk factor for adverse outcomes in
255 pregnancy and neonatal including GDM, pre-eclampsia and weight of newborn. These results
256 were significantly higher in phenotype HA + M + PCO than other phenotypes. Further
257 prospective studies with bigger sample and different Iranian population are needed to confirm
258 the findings.

259

260 **Competing interests**

261 The authors declare no conflict of interest.

262

263 **Funding**

264 The research grant provided by Research Deputy of Kashan University of Medical Science
265 (KUMS).

266

References

- 267 1. Azziz R. Androgen excess is the key element in polycystic ovary syndrome. *Fertil Steril*.
268 [Research Support, U S Gov't, P H S
269 Review]. 2003;80(2):252-4.
- 270 2. Knochenhauer ES, Key TJ, Kahsar-Miller M, Waggoner W, Boots LR, Azziz R.
271 Prevalence of the polycystic ovary syndrome in unselected black and white women of the
272 southeastern United States: a prospective study. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*. 1998 Sep;83(9):3078-
273 82.
- 274 3. Asuncion M, Calvo RM, San Millan JL, Sancho J, Avila S, Escobar-Morreale HF. A
275 prospective study of the prevalence of the polycystic ovary syndrome in unselected Caucasian
276 women from Spain. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*. 2000 Jul;85(7):2434-8.
- 277 4. Michelmore KF, Balen AH, Dunger DB, Vessey MP. Polycystic ovaries and associated
278 clinical and biochemical features in young women. *Clin Endocrinol*. [Research Support, Non-U
279 S Gov't]. 1999;51(6):779-86.
- 280 5. Diamanti-Kandarakis E, Kouli CR, Bergiele AT, Filandra FA, Tsianateli TC, Spina GG,
281 et al. A survey of the polycystic ovary syndrome in the Greek island of Lesbos: hormonal and
282 metabolic profile. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*. 1999;84(11):4006-11.
- 283 6. Norman RJ, Dewailly D, Legro RS, Hickey TE. Polycystic ovary syndrome. *Lancet*.
284 [Review]. 2007;370(9588):685-97.
- 285 7. Wortsman J, de Angeles S, Futterweit W, Singh KB, Kaufmann RC. Gestational diabetes
286 and neonatal macrosomia in the polycystic ovary syndrome. *J Reprod Med*. [Clinical Trial
287 Multicenter Study
288 Research Support, Non-U S Gov't]. 1991;36(9):659-61.
- 289 8. Haakova L, Cibula D, Rezabek K, Hill M, Fanta M, Zivny J. Pregnancy outcome in
290 women with PCOS and in controls matched by age and weight. *Hum Reprod*. [Research Support,
291 Non-U S Gov't]. 2003;18(7):1438-41.
- 292 9. Bjercke S, Dale PO, Tanbo T, Storeng R, Ertzeid G, Abyholm T. Impact of insulin
293 resistance on pregnancy complications and outcome in women with polycystic ovary syndrome.
294 *Gynecol Obstet Invest*. 2002;54(2):94-8.
- 295 10. Verberg MF, Gillott DJ, Al-Fardan N, Grudzinskas JG. Hyperemesis gravidarum, a
296 literature review. *Hum Reprod Update*. [Review]. 2005;11(5):527-39.

- 297 11. Orio F, Jr., Palomba S, Cascella T, Di Biase S, Manguso F, Tauchmanova L, et al. The
298 increase of leukocytes as a new putative marker of low-grade chronic inflammation and early
299 cardiovascular risk in polycystic ovary syndrome. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab.* 2005;90(1):2-5.
- 300 12. Sugiura-Ogasawara M, Sato T, Suzumori N, Kitaori T, Kumagai K, Ozaki Y. The
301 polycystic ovary syndrome does not predict further miscarriage in Japanese couples experiencing
302 recurrent miscarriages. *Am J Reprod Immunol.* [Research Support, Non-U S Gov't].
303 2009;61(1):62-7.
- 304 13. Carlsen SM, Vanky E. Metformin influence on hormone levels at birth, in PCOS mothers
305 and their newborns. *Hum Reprod.* [Randomized Controlled Trial
306 Research Support, Non-U S Gov't]. 2010;25(3):786-90.
- 307 14. Palomba S, Falbo A, Russo T, Tolino A, Orio F, Zullo F. Pregnancy in women with
308 polycystic ovary syndrome: the effect of different phenotypes and features on obstetric and
309 neonatal outcomes. *Fertil Steril.* 2010;94(5):1805-11.
- 310 15. Qin JZ, Pang LH, Li MJ, Fan XJ, Huang RD, Chen HY. Obstetric complications in
311 women with polycystic ovary syndrome: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Reprod Biol*
312 *Endocrinol.* [Meta-Analysis
313 Review]. 2013;11(56):1477-7827.
- 314 16. Baweja R, Bhattacharya SK, Choudhury SD, Krishna U, Manuel M, Phillips FS, et al.
315 Indian Council of Medical Research. Task Force on Hormonal Contraception: Phase II
316 randomized clinical trial with norethisterone oenanthate 50 mg alone and in combination with 5
317 mg or 2.5 mg of either estradiol valerate or cyponate as a monthly injectable contraceptive.
318 *Contraception.* [Clinical Trial
319 Comparative Study
320 Randomized Controlled Trial
321 Research Support, Non-U.S. Gov't]. 1985;32(4):383-94.
- 322 17. Ferrimanm D, Gallwey JD. Clinical assessment of body hair growth in women. *J Clin*
323 *Endocrinol Metab.* 1961;21:1440-7.
- 324 18. Lever WF, Schaumburg-Lever G. *Acne vulgaris. Histopatbology of the Skin.* 7th edn
325 Philadelphia: JB Lippincott. 1990:218-9.

- 326 19. Owen J, Szychowski JM, Hankins G, Iams JD, Sheffield JS, Perez-Delboy A, et al. Does
327 Mid-trimester Cervical Length \geq 25 mm Predict Preterm Birth in High-Risk Women? American
328 journal of obstetrics and gynecology. 2010;203(4):393.e1-e5.
- 329 20. Koren G, Boskovic R, Hard M, Maltepe C, Navioz Y, Einarson A. Motherisk-PUQE
330 (pregnancy-unique quantification of emesis and nausea) scoring system for nausea and vomiting
331 of pregnancy. Am J Obstet Gynecol. [Research Support, Non-U S Gov't]. 2002;186(5 Suppl
332 Understanding):S228-31.
- 333 21. Roos N, Kieler H, Sahlin L, Ekman-Ordeberg G, Falconer H, Stephansson O. Risk of
334 adverse pregnancy outcomes in women with polycystic ovary syndrome: population based
335 cohort study. Bmj. [Research Support, Non-U S Gov't]. 2011;13(343).
- 336 22. Veltman-Verhulst SM, van Haeften TW, Eijkemans MJ, de Valk HW, Fauser BC,
337 Goverde AJ. Sex hormone-binding globulin concentrations before conception as a predictor for
338 gestational diabetes in women with polycystic ovary syndrome. Hum Reprod. [Clinical Trial
339 Research Support, Non-U S Gov't]. 2010;25(12):3123-8.
- 340 23. Vankrieken L. Testosterone and the free androgen index. Diagnostic Products
341 Corporation. 1997.
- 342 24. Svendsen PF, Madsbad S, Nilas L. The insulin-resistant phenotype of polycystic ovary
343 syndrome. Fertil Steril. 2010;94(3):1052-8.
- 344 25. Shroff R, Syrop CH, Davis W, Van Voorhis BJ, Dokras A. Risk of metabolic
345 complications in the new PCOS phenotypes based on the Rotterdam criteria. Fertil Steril.
346 2007;88(5):1389-95.
- 347 26. Chang WY, Knochenhauer ES, Bartolucci AA, Azziz R. Phenotypic spectrum of
348 polycystic ovary syndrome: clinical and biochemical characterization of the three major clinical
349 subgroups. Fertil Steril. [Comparative Study
350 Research Support, N I H , Extramural
351 Research Support, U S Gov't, P H S]. 2005;83(6):1717-23.
352

Table 1. Demographic and clinical characterizes in participants

Variable		PCOS (n=43)			Non PCOS (n=47)	P value§
		HA+PCO (n=22)	M+PCO (n=9)	M+HA+PCO (n=10)		
Age **		24.30±6.25	24.41±3.49	25.03±9.31	25.53±4.14	0.56
Education **		12.69±3.05	11.63±4.11	11.04±4.92	13.19±3.04	0.60
Acne score**		6.93±1.38	6.58±2.30	6.83±1.10	1.82±4.08	0.05£¥
Hirsutism score**		11.03±0.31	11.17±0.01	9.40±0.18	1±2.21	0.003£¥
BMI (kg/m ²)**		25.80±6.53	23.43±7.11	24.42±2.34	24.34±3.99	0.09
Menstruation*	<21 day	2(3.77)	5(7.57)	4(7.01)	1(2.1)	<0.001£¥
	21-35 day	22(41.50)	28(42.42)	30(52.63)	44 (93.6)	
	35-60 day	15(28.30)	18(27.27)	9(15.78)	2 (4.3)	
	190 day	6(11.32)	8(12.12)	9(15.78)	-	
	Variable	8(15.09)	7(10.60)	5(8.77)	-	
Systolic blood pressure in 6-10 weeks of pregnancy**		117.16±10.67	116.71±20.78	116.16±10.67	115.42±12.71	0.76
Diastolic blood pressure in 6-10 weeks of pregnancy**		76.39±8.33	71.24±8.74	73.37±8.43	75.42±8.58	0.25
FBS in 6-10 weeks of pregnancy**		86.52±8.12	87.62±9.11	88.12±7.21	87.40±7.61	0.93
Hb in 6-10 weeks of pregnancy**		12.31± 0.59	12.03± 0.98	12.59± 0.89	12.68±0.99	0.66
HCT in 6-10 weeks of pregnancy**		36.22±2.92	35.12±3.76	36.82±3.33	37.78±2.91	0.17
Testosterone (nmol/L)**		1.43±0.12	1.0±0.12	1.02±0.52	0.65±0.45	0.05£¥
SHBG (nmol/L)**		153.61±2.12	134.61±2.1	126.66±2.2	120.50±3.34	0.05£¥

			9		
PRL(IU/l) **	54.11±31.10	55.81±91.1	56.71±26.1	47.93±20.83	0.71
		1	8		
FAI**	10.21±34.45	8.11±61.41	8.22±37.14	4.71±1.70	0.02£¥
TSH(IU/l) **	2.90±0.2	2.37±0.49	2.67±0.92	2.61±1.78	0.29
LH (IU/l) **	74.65± 2.52	77.84± 3.34	78.69± 3.21	73.84±1.63	0.80
FSH (IU/l) **	58.21±26.18	56.71±9.76	53.82±32.1	47.93±20.83	0.34
			2		

*N (%), ** Mean±SD

*ANOVA

**kruskal wallis test

§ P<0.05 between PCOS and Non PCOS phenotype;£ P<0.05 between H+PCO and H+PCO+M phenotype; € P<0.05 between H+PCO and M+PCO phenotype;¥ P<0.05 between H+PCO+M and M+PCO phenotype

Table 2. Comparison the pregnancy, childbirth and neonatal outcomes between PCOS and control groups

Variable		PCOS (n=43)	Control (n=47)	P value
Abortion *		1(2.3)	1(2.1)	0.91
Malformation *		1(2.3)	2(4.3)	0.61
PIH*		3(7)	1(2.1)	0.98
Pre-eclampsia *		4(9.3)	1(2.1)	0.05
GDM*		8(18.6)	6(12.8)	0.05
Amniotic fluid in 32-34 weeks of pregnancy*		3(7)	0	0.06
Abruption *		3(7)	3(6.4)	0.83
Preterm labor *		6(14)	7(14.9)	0.97
PROM*		-	2(4.3)	0.18
PUQE in 6-10 weeks of pregnancy *	Moderate	41(95.3)	44(93.6)	0.36
	Severe	2(4.65)	3(6.4)	
PUQE in 16-20 weeks of pregnancy*	Moderate	40(93)	46(97.9)	0.28
	Severe	2(4.65)	-	
Delivery type*	NVD	23(53.5)	18(38.3)	0.09
	C/S	20(46.51)	29(61.70)	
Anthropometric characterize of neonate**	Weight	3065.50±0.49	3124.13±0.11	0.05
	Height	45.96±2.53	48.43±2.11	0.30
	Head circumference	33.91±1.56	34.54±1.74	0.29
Neonate's Apgar in 1 minute **		8.97±0.16	8.86±0.34	0.10
Neonate's Apgar in 5 minute**		10±0	9.95±0.20	0.20

*N (%), ** Mean±SD

Table 3. Comparison the pregnancy, childbirth and neonatal outcomes among different phenotypes of PCOS

Variable		HA+PCO (n=22)	M+PCO (n=9)	M+HA+PCO (n=10)	P value
Abortion *		-	1(11)	-	0.20
Malformation *		-	-	1(10)	0.20
PIH*		1(4)	1(11)	2(20)	
Preeclampsia*		2(9)	1(11)	4(40)	0.05
GDM*		2(9)	1(11)	3(30)	0.05
Abnormal amniotic fluid in 32-34 weeks of pregnancy*		1(4)	1(11)	2(20)	0.16
Abruption *		2(9)	1(11)	-	0.49
Preterm labor *		2(9)	3(33.33)	1(10)	0.85
PUQE in 6-10 weeks of pregnancy *	Moderate	16(70)	6(66.66)	9(90)	0.20
	Severe	-	-	1(10)	
PUQE in 16-20 weeks of pregnancy*	Mild	-	-	9(90)	0.05
	Moderate	16(70)	5(55.55)	1(10)	
Delivery type*	NVD	8(36.36)	4(44.44)	6(60)	0.81
	C/S	14(63.63)	5(55.55)	4(40)	
Anthropometric characterize of neonate**	Weight	2978.66±22.31	2971.87±87.15	2280±0.52	0.05
	Height	48.64±3.34	48.26±1.43	50.61±1.93	0.40
	Head circumference	33.82±2.05	33.87±1.36	34.11±1.13	0.60
Neonate's Apgar in 1 minute **		9±0	8.93±0.25	9±0	0.49
Neonate's Apgar in 5 minute**		10	10	10	-

*N (%), ** Mean±SD