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This study explored the effectiveness of using web-assisted collaborative learning (COL) environments on the sense of classroom community and perceived learning (PL) using a learning platform Edmodo to enhance face-to-face learning within the framework of a community of inquiry. This study utilized pre-experimental quantitative research approaches based on a single group, pre-, and post-test model. To establish the effectiveness of the intervention, pre- and post-tests were conducted. To support the findings, independent sample t-test was used to compare the differences within groups such as gender. The findings of this study underline the importance of fostering the interaction of students with each other as well as content and instructors in online COL environments to enhance traditional classroom teaching and learning.

LITERATURE REVIEW AND HYPOTHESIS

Different types of interactions affect the quality of learning differently. When compared to traditional teaching methods, the main criticism of online learning is the inadequacy of interactions (Allen and Seaman, 2011; Bullen, 1998; Ward and Newlands, 1998). Research findings point to the feeling of isolation online environments impose on distance education students (Abrahamson, 1998; Brown, 1996; Dietz-Uhler et al., 2008; Rovai, 2002; Wegerif, 1998). This deficiency of distance learning becomes more visible when compared to interactions offered by face-to-face teaching and learning. However, Bates (2005) argued that online LER environments have a positive effect in reducing the feeling of isolation some students develop in traditional teaching and learning environments. Similarly, Steinkuehler and Williams (2006) discussed the effects of using a virtual world to build a sense of classroom community. This is due to the introversion personalities some students may have in traditional classroom environments (Harrington and Loffredo, 2010).

Rovai (2002) suggests that for promoting a better sense of community classroom, distance education courses should work to eliminate feelings of isolation. It is expected that the quality of interactions between individuals would help to remove this sense of isolation as well as increasing the sense of community.
of the classroom (SCC). Hence, it is important to explore the impact of web-assisted COL (WACL) technologies. Traditional educational systems urgently need to be enhanced through the implementation of these technologies (Liaw, 2002). Since the internet is gaining popularity in education, it provides learners with more opportunities to utilize web-based learning (Engelbrecht, 2005). Huang (2002) claims that the web has become a common tool in learner-centered or constructivist learning. When web-assisted learning environments are considered together with a constructivist approach, it is seen that this approach contributes to learning involving collaborative activities (Tsai, 2001).

In collaborative work, when individuals have a sense of belonging, they tend to work toward common goals, and this is important for the sense of classroom community. Social constructivists such as Vygotsky and Dewey argued that learners did not learn in isolation from others (Huang, 2002), and the principles of cognitive psychology are based on the fact that people naturally learn and work collaboratively in their lives (Petraglia, 1998). Previous studies have emphasized the importance of collaborative activities in increasing the sense of classroom community (Petersen et al., 2006; Zhu and Baylen, 2005). Collaborative work underlines the importance of the interaction of the learners with each other. This approach is in line with the features of online learning technologies as they promote users’ critical thinking skills, enable them to collaborate, practice, share their ideas, and reflect on previous knowledge to build their perspectives. Web-based learning, E-learning, and online learning environments are representative of computer-supported COL (Liaw et al., 2008). Such environments offer students more active roles in their learning as the principles of constructivism suggest, as well as providing opportunities to contribute to a community of learners. Cho et al. (2005) stated the importance of computer-supported COL systems in promoting the acquisition and sharing of knowledge within collaborative and social communities. Dewiyanti et al. (2007) argued that learners’ responsibilities to a task were positively associated with their COL experiences. In collaboration processes, it is important to feel like being part of a group and taking responsibility for one’s actions. Such systems offer the best solution to problems faced by learners by supporting them to construct knowledge and encourage the exchange of ideas (Dewiyanti et al., 2007; Khosa, 2014). Bold (2006) stated that web-assisted technologies (e.g., blogs) increased the level of students’ participation and created a greater SCC. Similarly, learners working toward the same goal contribute to the atmosphere of the classroom positively, and this reflects in good working relationships (Guuawardena et al., 2001).

The model of a community of inquiry (CoI) (Garrison et al., 2000) was the inspiration for the framework of this study. This model has been used in the studies of Akyol and Garrison (2011) and Shea et al. (2006) in both online and asynchronous blended learning environments. Moore (1989) identified three types of interactions such as learner-learner, learner-instructor, and learner-content. These interaction types play an important role in online environments. However, Garrison and Cleveland-Innes (2010) suggested three types of CoI such as cognitive, social, and teaching presence that should be taken into account to appreciate the interaction and the quality of learning outcomes, which are consistent with the ideals of higher education. They also draw attention to the cognitive presence in online environments. Richardson and Swan (2003) investigated the role of social presence in online environments with the effects on outcomes and satisfaction of both instructors and students alike. They stressed the importance of social presence in both online educational environments and traditional educational environments. Moreover, Shea et al. (2006) highlighted the importance of teaching presence and students’ sense of learning community. They argued that this improved students’ sense of connectedness and learning.

Garrison et al. (2000) provide a CoI model that addresses and defines educational presence. Their study was based on the model of CoI that learners’ sense of classroom community could draw attention in web-assisted environments. Furthermore, incorporating both traditional and online learning environments within the framework can further promote students’ learning. Collaborative work within these environments could enhance approaches to higher education by fostering perceived learning (PL) and sense of classroom community. Rovai and Lucking (2000) defined four components of classroom community such as spirit, trust, interaction, and learning at a distance. Rovai (2002) explained that spirit is the feeling of belonging, acceptance, and group identity; trust is the feeling that the community can be trusted and feedback will be supportive and constructive; interaction is the feeling that closeness and mutual benefit result from interaction with others; and finally, learning is the feeling that knowledge and meaning are actively constructed within the community that the community enhances the acquisition of knowledge and understanding and that the educational needs of its members are being satisfied.

Hence, it becomes very important to investigate empirically the relationship between students’ PL and interaction dynamics within WACL environments. Previous studies have focused on learners’ preferences, perceptions, beliefs, attitudes, feelings, and self-efficacy toward information technology and web-based learning (Kagan, 1994; Liaw and Huang, 2003; Liaw, 2005; Liaw et al., 2006, Wu and Tsai, 2006; Guuawardena et al., 2001; Yang and Tsai, 2008), and instructors’ attitudes and characteristics toward web-based professional developments (Deghaidy and Nouby, 2008; Kao and Tsai, 2009; Liaw et al., 2008). In addition, the benefits of COL in web-based systems for learners were investigated (Dewiyanti et al., 2007; Guuawardena et al., 2001). It is also possible to see a comparison study between web-based and classroom-based learning (Thirunarayanan & Prado, 2001). Additionally, there are studies that have explored the instructional approach in shaping blended synchronous learning and teaching experiences (Szeto, 2015). As well as
studies that have explored the instructional approach in shaping blended synchronous learning and teaching experiences (Szeto, 2015). Palloff et al. (2001) argued that communication and constructivist pedagogy are the key elements that allow students and instructors to develop a sense of community online, reflective of the traditional classroom. Dehgheidy and Nouby (2008) investigated the effectiveness of blended e-learning on achievement, attitudes toward e-learning, and cooperativeness with a cooperative approach. Warschauer (2004) emphasized the importance of incorporating internet technologies into trainee teachers’ lesson planning and providing opportunities to access information using IT. In addition, the society for information technology and teacher education, and the association for the education of teachers in science expect trainee teachers’ to integrate technology into the different subjects in their classrooms (Bell, 2001). Therefore, there is a need to investigate the effects of WACL environments on the sense of classroom community and PL.

As stated, this study aimed to investigate the effects of enhancing the strengths of face-to-face teaching of pre-service classroom teachers by WACL on the sense of classroom community and PL. This study investigated how combining face-to-face and WACL environments promoted efficiency and effectiveness of teaching and learning experiences. In this respect, this study aimed to bring together various educational environments from different perspectives using constructivist approaches. Finally, it sought to foster communication and closeness among students and tutors using web-assisted technologies (Joliffe et al., 2001). As such, the participants were asked to perform given tasks in small groups, and then discuss the ideas generated on Edmodo learning platform with other groups. The instructor had control over peer discussions; monitored and directed the groups when needed.

Accordingly, this study was designed to test the following hypothesis:

- Participants’ pre-test and post-test views significantly differ on the use of WACL. Specifically; participants’ pre-test and post-test views significantly differ on:
  1. Using the internet and classroom together (INT-CLA),
  2. Using the internet in learning (INT-USE),
  3. Instructional technologies and material design (ITM) courses, and
  4. COL.
- Participants’ pre-test and post-test views significantly differ in PL:
  1. Cognitive learning (COG),
  2. Affective learning (AF), and
  3. Psychomotor learning (PSY).
- Participants’ pre-test and post-test views significantly differ on the SCC:
  1. Loyalty (LOY), and
  2. Learning (LER).

Alternative hypotheses regarding student demographics associated with variables such as gender, having/not having prior experience of web-assisted collaborative activities (WACA), and having/not having prior experience of web-assisted course (WAC) are also examined.

**METHODOLOGY**

This study is an example of pre-experimental quantitative research approaches based on a single group, pre- and post-test model. The experimental design is shown in Table 1. To establish the effectiveness of the experimental work, pre- and post-test was conducted to find out the differences in WACL, SCC, and PL of the participants’ views before and after experimenting with the use of Edmodo.

**The Sample**

The sample used is representative of the pre-service teacher population of the 2015–2016 spring term cohort of the Faculty of Education, Sakarya University, Adapazarı, Turkey. In forming the sample group, the main criteria were that potential participants were studying in a program that required collaborative work to develop technology-based projects. This is in line with the governmental policy of investment toward enriching educational environments with the use of technology in Turkey. Possessing these characteristics, the ITM course was selected. Hence, potential participants were studying in academic programs incorporating this course as a compulsory component. Accordingly, the sample group consisted of

| Table 1: The pre-experimental single group pre-test and post-test design |
|-----------------------------|-----------------|-----------|
| Group | Pre-test | Process | Post-test |
| G | O1 | X | O2 |

| Table 2: The participants according to gender |
|------------------------|--------|--------|
| Gender | Frequency (f) | Percentage (%) |
| Female | 45 | 77.6 |
| Male | 13 | 22.4 |
| Total | 58 | 100 |

| Table 3: The participants with/without prior experiences of WACA |
|---------------------------|--------|--------|
| WACA | Frequency (f) | Percentage (%) |
| Yes | 7 | 12.1 |
| No | 51 | 87.9 |
| Total | 58 | 100 |

WACA: Web-assisted collaborative activities

| Table 4: The participants with/without prior experiences of WAC |
|-------------------------|--------|--------|
| WAC | Frequency (f) | Percentage (%) |
| Yes | 56 | 96.6 |
| No | 2 | 3.4 |
| Total | 58 | 100 |

WAC: Web-assisted course
45 female and 13 male participants randomly chosen from the population of pre-service classroom teachers. Tables 2-4 present information on the sample group.

Data Collection
Before data collection, this study was granted ethical consent from the university, and then participants provided informed consent. Then, data were collected on the following three different scales: WACL, SCC, and PL.

The WACL scale
The WACL scale was developed by Demirbağ and Kartal (2011) as an instrument to assess students’ views. The WACL consists of the INT-CLA, INT-USE, and the issue of coordination chemistry, web pages, and COL sub-dimensions. In this study, the subdimensions INT-CLA, INT-USE, and COL have been used in the original form presented in the scale, the topics under coordination chemistry were adapted to the course area the experiment applied to and used after collecting expert views. The instrument has been developed as a 5-point Likert scale changing from “strongly Disagree” (1) to “strongly agree” (5). There are six items in the INT-CLA sub-dimension, an example of which is “I prefer the joint use of classroom and internet environments during teaching and learning activities.” Similarly, there are nine items in INT-USE sub-dimension such as “I like to use the internet for lessons.” The sub-dimension COL consists of 14 items such as “collaborative activities help improving myself.” Finally, there are six items in ITM subdimension such as “I would like to have web-assisted activities during the ITM classes.”

Regarding teaching presence, students’ perception about using web-assisted technologies in support of face-to-face learning will map within the WACL instrument which is necessary for both instructors and students to increase the interaction of the two sides. Instructors could follow students to check their progress and encourage them to share their ideas within groups. It is also possible to direct students to the new research areas on web-based technologies. These technologies are supportive of the traditional methods of enhancing learning by the use of new pedagogic approaches.

The PL scale
The Turkish adaptation of the PL scale developed by Rovai et al. (2009) was done by Albayrak et al. (2014) working with students engaged in face-to-face and online learning. The PL scale consists of nine items with three sub-dimensions. The subdimensions are COG, AF, and PSY. The subdimensions consist of three items each. The original of the scale is a 7-point Likert scale where each item is sorted from one to seven ranging from “not at all” to “very much so,” respectively. Overall, the three maximum-likelihood subdimensions accounted for 67% of the variance of the data. The Eigenvalues and variances of the sub-dimensions of the scale are as follows: 3.56 and 39.52%, 1.32 and 14.68%, and 1.10 and 12.25% for COG, AF, and PSY, respectively. The Cronbach’s Alpha value used for the whole of the PL scale was 0.83. The values for the same were 0.65, 0.66, and 0.72 for the subdimensions COG, AF, and PSY, respectively. The findings obtained from the adaptation process showed that the scale’s psychometric characteristics were applicable to the target culture.

PL scale was used to measure the perception of learners on web-assisted collaborative processes. It is challenging to assess students’ learning in online environments. To achieve this, students were asked to give an assessment of prior learning and their experiences of PL through the use of online learning environments (Caspì and Blau, 2008). Therefore, it is believed that the individual’s PL in online COL environments is also important. The scale developed by Rovai (2009) is important due to incorporating three different dimensions of learning covering face-to-face as well as distance learning together with a direct focus on PL. According to these, the interaction of individuals, review of own ideas, sense of belonging, and PL are related to each other.

The SCC scale
The SCC scale developed by Rovai (2002) has been adapted to the Turkish context by Ozturk (2009). The adapted scale consists of 13 items, each having two subdimensions which are LOY (partially combining spirit, trust, and interaction given in the original scale) and LER. The adaptation resulted in six and seven items in LOY and LER subdimensions, respectively. The answers associated with the 5-point Likert scale change from “strongly disagree” (1) to “strongly agree” (5). In the analysis, the reverse items were considered. The factor loadings of the LOY subdimension of the scale varied between 0.89 and 0.86 accounting for 14.0% of the variance. Similarly, for the LER subdimension, the range of factor loadings was between 0.79 and 0.55 accounting for 36.61%. The Cronbach’s Alpha value was 0.77 for LOY and 0.84 for LER. The same was 0.85 for the scale itself. The values given above confirm that the adapted scale was valid and reliable.

Data Analysis
In the analysis of the data collected from the sample group, SPSS 20 has been used. These analyses include tests such as paired sample t-test and descriptive statistical analysis.

The process
In this section, the intervention design process of the study is explained.

1. Step 1
   Before the study’s intervention, a pre-test was used to take the views of the sample group on WCAL, SCC, and PL using the relevant scales.

2. Step 2
   The participating pre-service teachers were organized to work on Edmodo collaboratively. The work involved sharing teaching and learning materials and ideas as well as using the discussion board collaboratively in performing given tasks for 5 weeks. They were introduced to a new task each week. The process was controlled by an instructor and directed as needed.

3. Step 3
   A post-test similar to the pre-test was conducted collecting the views of the participants. This enabled
the assessment of the effectiveness of the intervention through the comparison of the participants’ views before and after the experiment.

In summary, the following approach has been used for data analysis. Analyses were carried out for both paired and independent samples t-tests. In the case of paired samples, the t-test helped to identify the changes in the use of the subject group for pre- and post-tests. Independent samples t-test was performed to compare the differences of groups such as gender, prior experience of WACLA or lack of it, and prior experience on WAC or lack of it. In the latter case, the comparisons were done for both pre- and post-test views separately. For both cases, the analysis was also extended to subdimensions. The values given in Table 5 show the distribution of normality (i.e., p > 0.05), confirming that the use of a parametric test is valid.

Edmodo learning platform and planning collaborative activities

Edmodo allows for the use of various educational applications. It is a free social learning network offering sharing resources, communication, and collaboration for students, teachers, and alike. Besides supporting text messaging, alert boards, blogs, and uploading files, Edmodo also facilitates networking with useful contacts and access to resources for students to fulfill their potential. Edmodo is the most secure and reliable social network platform supporting educational activities (Weber, 2012). Its functions are enriched with analytical tools enabling the monitoring, assessment, and management of students (Edmodo, 2018).

Edmodo was used in this study to empower students to work collaboratively. The collaboration was achieved through sharing ideas and work, forming discussion platforms, interactions, and communicating effectively. An instructor was in charge of the process monitoring and leading student activities and ensuring participation from all to prevent the sense of isolation for vulnerable students. The classroom climate was enhanced by the introduction of web-assisted systems in coherence with the pedagogical framework of COL. The tasks were given to the students on Edmodo following the principles of interaction (Johnson and Johnson, 1996). These include:

- Giving and receiving help and assistance, exchanging resources and information, giving and receiving feedback on taskwork and teamwork behavior, challenging each other’s reasoning, advocating increased efforts to achieve, mutually influencing each other’s reasoning and behavior, engaging in the interpersonal and small group skills needed for AF and teamwork, and processing how effectively group members are working together, and how the group’s effectiveness can be continuously improved (Johnson and Johnson, 1996, p. 1017-1044).

Following the assignment of a task to groups, each task was discussed during the week. The main task issues were: Work collaboratively to discuss (i) the importance of educational technologies and learning materials in teaching; where it comes from and where it goes, and how it affects learning, (ii) how individual differences should be considered while developing learning materials, (iii) the importance of digital nativeness in online environments, and (iv) the importance of connecting with and contributing to the government supported FATIH Project in education. FATIH is the abbreviated name of a government project in education meaning “increasing opportunities and improving technology” (FATIH, 2016) and (v) how to apply existing and as well as new learning and teaching approaches to online environments.

**FINDINGS**

SPSS was used to analyze the effectiveness of the experimental design. The findings are summarized in Tables 6-10. Tables 6-10 only show significant results. The rest of the findings have been omitted from the tables.

According to Table 6, the pre- and post-test results obtained from the answers given by the pre-service teachers to the tools WACAL, SCC, and PL clearly show that there were significant differences between pre-test and post-test findings (WACAL: t(57) = -14.144, p < 0.001; SCC: t(57) = 6.055, p < 0.001; and PL: t(57) = 2.849, p < 0.01). While the pre-test WACAL average score of the pre-service teachers was M = 121.10, it increased to M = 143.22 in the post-test. Besides this, SCC and PL average scores dropped from M = 42.84 and M = 46.84 to M = 39.72 and M = 43.38, respectively. It is thought that the drop in SCC and PL average score is due to reverse items of scales. These findings show that this study’s intervention had

### Table 5: Distribution of normality

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scale</th>
<th>Test</th>
<th>Kolmogorov–Smirnov</th>
<th>Shapiro–Wilk</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Statistic</td>
<td>df</td>
<td>ρ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WACAL</td>
<td>Pre-test</td>
<td>0.055</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Post-test</td>
<td>0.102</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCC</td>
<td>Pre-test</td>
<td>0.102</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Post-test</td>
<td>0.099</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PL</td>
<td>Pre-test</td>
<td>0.064</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Post-test</td>
<td>0.112</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*p > 0.05

### Table 6: T-test results obtained from the scales WACAL, SCC, and PL for paired samples

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scale</th>
<th>Test</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>X</th>
<th>S</th>
<th>Sd</th>
<th>t</th>
<th>ρ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WACAL</td>
<td>Pre-test</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>121.10</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>−14.144</td>
<td>0.000***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Post-test</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>143.22</td>
<td>9.24</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCC</td>
<td>Pre-test</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>42.84</td>
<td>3.22</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>6.055</td>
<td>0.000***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Post-test</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>39.72</td>
<td>2.94</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PL</td>
<td>Pre-test</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>46.84</td>
<td>7.72</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>2.849</td>
<td>0.006**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Post-test</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>43.37</td>
<td>4.89</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

***p < 0.001, **p < 0.01, *p < 0.05, WACAL: Web-assisted COL, SCC: Sense of community of classroom, PL: Perceived learning
Table 7: T-test results obtained from subdimensions of the scales WACL, SCC, and PL for paired samples

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scale</th>
<th>Subdimensions</th>
<th>Test</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>X</th>
<th>S</th>
<th>Sd</th>
<th>t</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WACL</td>
<td>INT-CLA</td>
<td>Pre-test</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>21.25</td>
<td>1.95</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>-4.923</td>
<td>0.000***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Post-test</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>23.68</td>
<td>3.04</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INT-USE</td>
<td>Pre-test</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>31.96</td>
<td>4.12</td>
<td></td>
<td>57</td>
<td>-3.627</td>
<td>0.001**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Post-test</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>34.74</td>
<td>4.44</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ITM</td>
<td>Pre-test</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>19.48</td>
<td>1.78</td>
<td></td>
<td>57</td>
<td>-10.174</td>
<td>0.000***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Post-test</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>24.17</td>
<td>3.06</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COL</td>
<td>Pre-test</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>48.39</td>
<td>3.98</td>
<td></td>
<td>57</td>
<td>-14.383</td>
<td>0.000***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Post-test</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>60.62</td>
<td>5.59</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCC</td>
<td>LOY</td>
<td>Pre-test</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>21.89</td>
<td>2.38</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>-2.811</td>
<td>0.007**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Post-test</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>23.00</td>
<td>2.16</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LER</td>
<td>Pre-test</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>20.94</td>
<td>1.80</td>
<td></td>
<td>57</td>
<td>13.705</td>
<td>0.000***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Post-test</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>16.72</td>
<td>2.24</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PL</td>
<td>COG</td>
<td>Pre-test</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>15.46</td>
<td>2.80</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>4.698</td>
<td>0.000***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Post-test</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>13.48</td>
<td>1.80</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AF</td>
<td>Pre-test</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>15.24</td>
<td>3.13</td>
<td></td>
<td>57</td>
<td>-1.513</td>
<td>0.136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Post-test</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>16.10</td>
<td>2.88</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSY</td>
<td>Pre-test</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>16.13</td>
<td>2.67</td>
<td></td>
<td>57</td>
<td>5.063</td>
<td>0.000***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Post-test</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>13.79</td>
<td>1.91</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

***p<0.001, **p<0.01, *p<0.05, WACL: Web-assisted COL, SCC: Sense of community of classroom, PL: Perceived learning, INT-CLA: Internet and classroom together, INT-USE: Using the internet, ITM: Instructional technologies and material design, COL: Collaborative learning, LOY: Loyalty, COG: Cognitive, AF: Affective, PSY: Psychomotor

Table 8: T-test results obtained from subdimensions of the scales WACL, SCC, and PL for independent samples when gender is considered

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scale</th>
<th>Sub-dimensions</th>
<th>Test</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>M</th>
<th>S</th>
<th>Sd</th>
<th>t</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PL</td>
<td>AF</td>
<td>Pre-test</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>14.75</td>
<td>3.07</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>-2.274</td>
<td>0.027*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>16.92</td>
<td>2.84</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

***p<0.001, **p<0.01, *p<0.05, AF: Affective, PL: Perceived learning, WACL: Web-assisted COL, SCC: Sense of community of classroom

In Table 7, the pre- and post-test results obtained from the responses of the pre-service teachers to the sub-dimensions of the tools WACL, SCC, and PL clearly show that there were significant differences between pre-test and post-test findings (INT-CLA: t(57) = −4.923, p < 0.001; INT-USE: t(57) = −3.627, p < 0.01; ITM: t(57) = −10.174, p < 0.001; COL: t(57) = −10.174, p < 0.001; LER: t(57) = −13.705, p < 0.001; AF: t(57) = 4.698, p < 0.001; and PSY: t(57) = 5.063, p < 0.001) with the exception of the AF subdimension (t(57) = −1.513, p > 0.05). Among the pre-test average of the scales’ subdimensions, INT-CLA changed from M = 21.25 in the pre-test to M = 23.68 for the post-test. Similarly, for INT-USE the change was from M = 31.96 to M = 34.74; for ITM it raised from M = 19.48 to M = 24.17, and for LOY the increase was from M = 21.89 to M = 23.0. The highest change was seen on the COL subdimension; it changed from M = 48.39 to M = 60.62. Besides this, COG, LER, and PSY subdimensions average scores dropped from M = 15.4655, M = 20.94, and M = 6.13 to M = 13.48, M = 16.72, and M = 13.79, respectively. Although this may look interesting, it is thought that the drop in average scores is due to reverse items of scales.

In Table 8, it is clearly seen that considering pre- and post-test results; there is a significant difference between male and female responses for the subdimension AF through the views of pre-service teachers on pre-test results (t(56) = −2.274, p < 0.05). According to the findings it is possible to say that male scores (M=16.92) were higher than female scores (M=14.75) for the AF sub-dimension.

Table 9 presents a significant difference between participants having and not having prior experiences of WACLA when the subdimension AF was considered (t(56) = 2.028, p < 0.05). According to the findings, the participants having prior experiences of WACLA scored higher (M = 17.42) than those who lacked such experiences (M = 15.19).

According to Table 10, the pre-test results obtained for the tools WACL, SCC, and PL clearly showed that there were significant differences between having prior experience of WAC and lack of it when AF and INT-USE were considered (AF: t(56) = −2.261, p < 0.05 and INT-USE: t(56) = −2.992, p < 0.01). For AF and INT-USE, the comparative scores of having and not having prior experience of WAC were higher (M = 15.07) and (M = 20.00) than the others (M=31.67) and (M=40.00) indicating higher scores for those with prior experience of WAC for subdimensions.
## DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

To enhance the traditional educational methods in higher education, web-assisted technologies can provide alternative ways and professional developments on innovative pedagogical strategies such as COL environments. This study explored pre-service teachers’ views toward PL and community of the classroom through using web-assisted technologies and discussed the findings. The study highlighted the effect of web-assisted COL environments on the SCC and PL. Experiments were set up conducting pre-tests and post-tests on pre-service teachers introduced to the use of the technologies in question. A social learning network, Edmodo was used as a platform in this context. The sample was chosen from a population of pre-service teachers from Sakarya University who were expected to be introduced to web-assisted technologies and work on technological projects as part of their studies. Results were then analyzed to show the effectiveness of the experimental process on the SCC and PL. Huang et al. (2010) studied similar concepts of these technologies to the participants. The findings of our study correlate with this. Pre-test and post-test results clearly showed that the participants’ views on WACL, SCC, and PL significantly changed following the experiment.

The results obtained from pre- and post-tests showed the effectiveness of the experimental process on changing participants’ views on WACL and PL. In comparison to the relevant literature, the findings are consistent with the studies which show the effectiveness of web-based technologies in collaborative environments (McDonald, 2002; Rafaei et al., 2004). It is believed that web-based technologies support sharing knowledge and can enhance better teaching and learning. Moreover, various opportunities are offered by the systems for both instructors and learners such as assessing peers’ work and exchanging information in their studies.

In addition, pre- and post-test results for the subdimensions of the instruments such as INT-CLA, INT-USE, ITM, and COL of WACL; AF, COG, and PSY of PL; and LOY and LER of SCC were analyzed. The findings showed that the subdimensions of instruments were significantly different (p < 0.001; p < 0.01) with the exception of AF of PL. Actually this finding can stem from attitudes of students toward the computer, internet and other technologies relevant to AF learning. This outcome supports findings previously published (Triandis, 1971), where it is suggested that attitudes consist of AF, cognitive, and behavioral components. Moreover, Liaw (2002) indicated in his studies that computer-related experiences bring a more positive perception to computer and web technologies. According to Thompson et al. (1991) anxiety, confidence, and liking represent the AF part of the attitude. It is possible to suggest through the participants’ views that the subjects of the study are digital natives born into technology and have confidence in using technology due to having more experience with computer and web technologies. The insignificant findings of pre- and post-test results on AF may be due to the familiarity of these technologies to the participants.

Demographic characteristics of the subjects such as gender, having/or not having prior experiences on WACA, and having/or not having prior experiences on WAC were analyzed through the subdimensions of the instruments used. The findings showed that gender made a significant difference in AF. Furthermore, it was seen that males’ AF learning scores were higher than those of their female counterparts. These findings are in conformance with the studies of Liaw (2002), Chen (1986), Fetler (1985), and Temple and Lips (1989) indicating that males have a more positive perception, as well as the study of Meier and Lambert (1991) showing less anxiety for males. Furthermore, Dunndell and Thomson (1997), Dunndell and Hagg (2002), and Whitey (1997) showed that males were more experienced in using computers than females. Finally, Tsai (2008) revealed that males tend to prefer internet-based environments more than females do which was confirmed by the findings of this study. Various studies reported the effect of teacher immediacy on AF learning (Gorham, 1988; Kearney

### Table 9: T-test results obtained from subdimensions of the scales WACL, SCC, and PL for independent samples when prior experiences of WACL considered

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scale</th>
<th>Subdimensions</th>
<th>Test</th>
<th>WACL</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>M</th>
<th>S</th>
<th>Sd</th>
<th>t</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PL</td>
<td>AF</td>
<td>Pre-test</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>17.42</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>2.028</td>
<td>0.047*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>15.19</td>
<td>2.75</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

***p<0.001, **p<0.01, *p<0.05, AF: Affective, PL: Perceived learning, WACL: Web-assisted COL, SCC: Sense of community of classroom

### Table 10: T-test results obtained from subdimensions of the scales WACL, SCC, and PL for independent samples when prior experiences of WAC considered

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scale</th>
<th>Sub-dimensions</th>
<th>Test</th>
<th>WAC</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>M</th>
<th>S</th>
<th>Sd</th>
<th>t</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PL</td>
<td>AF</td>
<td>Pre-test</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>15.07</td>
<td>3.05</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>-2.261</td>
<td>0.028*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>20.00</td>
<td>1.41</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WACL</td>
<td>INT-USE</td>
<td>Pre-test</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>31.67</td>
<td>3.89</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>-2.992</td>
<td>0.004**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>40.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

***p<0.001, **p<0.01, *p<0.05, AF: Affective, PL: Perceived learning, WACL: Web-assisted COL, SCC: Sense of community of classroom, WAC: Web-assisted course, INT-USE: Using the internet
et al., 1985; McCroskey et al., 1996). It has been shown that this is also the case for web-assisted environments.

Considering the subjects having/or not having prior experiences on WACA, the analysis showed significant differences in the case of COG. The findings showed that the participants who had previous experience of WACA were better in COG than the others. Various studies previously reported on the importance of COG of those with previous exposure to such technologies (Abby, 1999; Cook, 2005; Hubbard and Levy, 2016; Hwang et al., 2008). The consistency of the results obtained with those reported in literature shows the importance of experience in COG.

Finally, having any previous exposure to WACs was seen as an identifiable variable on AF and INT-USE. Interestingly, the findings show that AF and INT-USE scores of the subjects who had no previous experience of WACs were higher than the others. However, it is possible to explain these findings with previously reported studies (Anderson, 1996; Igbria and Parasuraman, 1989; Yaghi and Abu-Saba, 1998). In light of the studies it can be stated that anxiety toward computers relates to the AF dimension and it is possible to overcome this through more and frequent exposure to the use of computers. The findings showed that the participants who had not previously taken WACs had higher scores on AF. It is worth noting that the participants who had not taken any WAC previously had high INT-USE scores. These findings were explained in the study of Tsai (2008), which emphasized that students with more internet experiences were keener on the features of the constructivist internet-based learning environments than those with less internet experiences.

This study highlighted the effects of WACL environments used in enhancing traditional classroom teaching on SCC and PL. The findings highlight that it is worth using WACL environments to improve students’ PL and support the SCC. In addition, it underlines the importance of using web-assisted technologies in a collaborative framework for the subject of study. To monitor the effectiveness of WACL environments, it is possible to conduct longitudinal and experimental studies to see the students' behavior in the long term. Moreover, other online learning environments could be used as pedagogical approaches in education and qualitative studies may be conducted to support the findings of research. Finally, as a limitation of the study, the effectiveness of the process has not been tested with reference to the achievements of the participants. Future research can analyze the impact of web-assisted collaborative environments by comparing participants’ pre- and post-test performances. This can be extended to seeing the impact of peer assessment on SCC and PL when using web-assisted environments in a capacity of enhancing traditional classroom environment.
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