

Middlesex University Research Repository

An open access repository of

Middlesex University research

<http://eprints.mdx.ac.uk>

Beauregard, T. Alexandra, Lup, Daniela and Adamson, Maria (2018) The many faces of gender inequality at work. *Work, Employment and Society*, 32 (4) . pp. 623-628. ISSN 0950-0170 [Article] (doi:10.1177/0950017018780603)

First submitted uncorrected version (with author's formatting)

This version is available at: <https://eprints.mdx.ac.uk/25308/>

Copyright:

Middlesex University Research Repository makes the University's research available electronically.

Copyright and moral rights to this work are retained by the author and/or other copyright owners unless otherwise stated. The work is supplied on the understanding that any use for commercial gain is strictly forbidden. A copy may be downloaded for personal, non-commercial, research or study without prior permission and without charge.

Works, including theses and research projects, may not be reproduced in any format or medium, or extensive quotations taken from them, or their content changed in any way, without first obtaining permission in writing from the copyright holder(s). They may not be sold or exploited commercially in any format or medium without the prior written permission of the copyright holder(s).

Full bibliographic details must be given when referring to, or quoting from full items including the author's name, the title of the work, publication details where relevant (place, publisher, date), pagination, and for theses or dissertations the awarding institution, the degree type awarded, and the date of the award.

If you believe that any material held in the repository infringes copyright law, please contact the Repository Team at Middlesex University via the following email address:

eprints@mdx.ac.uk

The item will be removed from the repository while any claim is being investigated.

See also repository copyright: re-use policy: <http://eprints.mdx.ac.uk/policies.html#copy>



The Many Faces of Gender Inequality at Work

Journal:	<i>Work, Employment and Society</i>
Manuscript ID	WES-May-2018-FWD-170
Manuscript Type:	Foreword - Editors Only
Keywords:	gender, pay inequality, gender inequality, gender pay gap, maternal employment, flexible working

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts

Review Only

THE MANY FACES OF GENDER INEQUALITY AT WORK

In January this year, the day to day editorial work for Work, Employment and Society (WES) moved from the University of Leicester to the Middlesex University team, for the period 2018-2020. We are an interdisciplinary team, with members from four departments within Middlesex University. In addition to dedication to the core sociological approaches of the journal, the team members have been selected to reflect the increased interdisciplinary character of the journal. Members bring a wide range of research interests and perspectives, with particular emphasis on the growing research areas of labour process, new forms of work, precarious work, globalisation, diversity, mobility, labour migration, and psychosocial studies.

To capitalise on our diverse research expertise, we have decided that, whenever possible, we will create thematic issues of WES and preface them with short editorials. In doing this, we hope to better focus the attention of academic and practitioner communities with an interest in a specific theme, to foster dialogue, and to signal potential areas for further research.

Introducing the Thematic Issue

The collection of articles included in this first thematic issue addresses gender inequalities, a theme that has featured intensively in the public sphere in these past months, including high profile revelations about gender pay discrimination such as those at the BBC and others in the UK, the #MeToo and #TimesUp campaigns, and ongoing debates about gender inequalities in the global labour market. Our assembling of this particular issue also coincides with UK employers' compulsory reporting, for the first time, on the gender pay gap and the solutions they envision for closing this gap, including plans around increasing the number of women in the upper echelons of organisations.

1
2
3 In our view, all the articles in this issue have a link to this pressing problem, in that
4 they highlight how solutions to gender gaps depend on factors that reside not only within the
5 organisation, but also at family and societal levels. Moreover, these factors are constantly
6 reshaped by changing economic contexts and national policies. The articles we present in this
7 issue cover not only a variety of factors and contexts, but also document the sources and
8 outcomes of gender inequalities across six countries from four continents, including a cross-
9 country analysis. Together, they provide a snapshot of the rich empirical evidence available
10 to researchers interested in further developing our theoretical understanding of gender
11 inequalities.

22 23 **The Articles in this Issue**

24
25 Three articles in this issue investigate how macro-level social and economic forces shape the
26 gender structure of labour markets. In ‘*Good, Bad and Very Bad Jobs for Women?*’ Tracy
27 Warren and Clare Lyonette analyse changes in the quality of women’s part-time jobs in
28 Britain in the post-recession period. What makes their analysis of part-time jobs particularly
29 relevant for gender inequalities is the fact that Britain has a high percent of part-time jobs and
30 that women have traditionally dominated this labour segment. Using data from the UK Skills
31 and Employment Survey series, Warren and Lyonette’s analysis zooms into 12 distinct
32 aspects of job quality related to pay, skills and training, promotions, job autonomy, security
33 and intensity. They also differentiate jobs by position in the Standard Occupational
34 Classification. While at an aggregate level it appears the pre-recessionary narrowing of the
35 gap in quality between part-time and full-time jobs has been maintained, the analysis by
36 occupational position paints a more complex picture. On the one hand, there has been an
37 increase in the number and some positive change in the quality of part-time jobs for women
38 employed in higher-level occupations. However, the women in this category were also the
39 most likely to feel that their jobs were at risk and to work overtime. On the other hand,
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 women in low-level jobs have seen a worsening in job quality on almost all dimensions, and
4 this drop in quality is observed for both part-time and full-time jobs. These findings raise
5 questions about the way in which economic conditions shape gender inequalities in labour
6 markets.
7
8
9

10
11 In '*Dynamics of Gender Earnings Inequality in Reform-Era Urban China*', Guangye
12 He and Xiaogang Wu also investigate the link between economic context and gender
13 inequality. Their innovative aim is to parse out the effects of 'economic growth', measured as
14 GDP per capita, from 'marketization', captured by percent employment in the private sector,
15 using a large size dataset from the 2005 mini-census conducted by the National Bureau of
16 Statistics. Their analysis demonstrates that economic growth reduces the gender gap in
17 earnings, while marketization exacerbates this gap. These findings suggest that the socialist
18 state's push for introducing more market mechanisms in the planned economy seems to have
19 a negative impact on women's wages. More generally, He and Wu's results call attention to
20 both marketization and economic growth as distinctive factors that shape resource allocation
21 and social stratification in modern societies.
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35

36 Juliane Stahl and Pia Sophia Schober investigate the impact of parental leave and
37 childcare policies on mothers' work-care patterns in Germany, comparing mothers with
38 different educational levels. They also compare the uptake in formal childcare in East and
39 West Germany, which historically had different norms for women's employment and formal
40 childcare: in East Germany early maternal employment was the norm, while in West
41 Germany mothers were more likely to interrupt their careers to care for young children at
42 home. Their study '*Convergence or Divergence? Educational Discrepancies in Work-Care
43 Arrangements of Mothers with Young Children in Germany*' focuses on the period between
44 2004 and 2013 and uses data from the German Socio-Economic Panel Study and a
45 supplementary data set on households from Families in Germany. Stahl and Schober show
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 that the impact of parental leave and childcare policies on mothers' work-care arrangements
4 depends on mothers' education. Specifically, both employment and day-care uptake increase
5 strongly among families with more educated mothers, with the gap between the higher- and
6 lower-educated categories widening between 2007-2013. This pattern is present in both East
7 and West Germany. In unveiling this pattern, Stahl and Schober's study draws attention to
8 how government policies and social class interact in shaping gender-related work
9 opportunities. The warning sounded by their study is that while parental leave and childcare
10 policies work to the advantage of some women, for others – the least educated – these same
11 policies increase the risk of economic insecurity and further social exclusion.
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22

23 A further group of three articles emphasises the role of organisations in shaping
24 patterns of gender inequality by looking at how particular gender equality policies are put in
25 place at the organisational level. In *'The Good, the Not So Good and the Ugly: Gender*
26 *Equality, Equal Pay and Austerity in English Local Government'*, Hazel Conley and
27 Margaret Page focus on the impact of austerity on the implementation of the Gender Equality
28 Duty (GED) and the Single Status Agreement (SSA) in English local authorities between
29 2008 and 2010. Introduced in 2007 (and later transferred with some modifications in the
30 Equality Act 2010), GED placed a statutory duty on public authorities "to have due regard to
31 the need to eliminate unlawful discrimination and harassment and to promote equality of
32 opportunity between men and women" in all their functions. In turn, the Single Status
33 Agreement of 1997, a national collective agreement between local government and unions
34 meant to develop a common pay scale for all jobs based on the premise of equal pay for equal
35 value, was supposed to be in place by 2007 but was severely delayed.
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51

52 Conley and Page show how, under the threat of budget cuts, instead of GED and SSA
53 jointly informing measures to address the gender pay gap and the structural and institutional
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 contexts that contribute to this gap, they became split targets of two distinct teams: equality
4 and human resources, respectively. In their interviews with representatives from local
5 authorities, Conley and Page find that the HR teams handled the equal pay issues, but did not
6 actively use insights generated under GED to address a wider array of factors that contributed
7 to gender inequality. The article's findings draw attention to the importance of organisational
8 resources as a moderator of the impact of national gender equality initiatives.
9
10
11
12
13
14
15

16
17 In a similar setting, that of local authorities, but in Finland, Paula Sanberg, Maria
18 Tornroos and Roosa Kohvakka investigate the role of collective agreements in
19 institutionalising the undervaluation of work performed by women. Unlike the UK, where the
20 SSA has, by and large, contributed to the harmonization of wage determination practices,
21 Finland's local government employees are covered by several collective agreements, with
22 multiple methods of wage determination. In '*The Institutionalized Undervaluation of*
23 *Women's Work*', the authors show that, within the same organisation and controlling for
24 human capital endowment, employees covered by collective agreements that represent jobs
25 typically performed by women receive lower wages than employees covered by agreements
26 that represent male-dominated jobs. Moreover, even controlling for collective agreement,
27 women's compensation is still lower than men's.
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40

41 In the third article to draw attention to organisations as critical sites in which gender
42 inequalities are reproduced or attenuated, Sylvia Fuller and Lynn Prince Cooke investigate
43 variations in fatherhood wage premiums across Canadian firms. '*Do Formalization and*
44 *Performance Pay Matter?*' inquire the authors. Using linked employer-employee data from
45 the Canadian Workplace and Employee Survey, Fuller and Cooke show that in organisations
46 with more formalized structures of decisions around wages and promotions, such as human
47 resources departments and collective bargaining agreements, the fatherhood wage premium is
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 lower than in organisations without such structures. This evidence suggests that formalization
4 might reduce opportunities for gender biases and group-based privileges to impact
5 employees' compensation.
6
7
8
9

10 The final set of articles in this issue highlights the ways in which the employment
11 status and working arrangements of employees' partners contribute to patterns of gender
12 inequality in responsibility for unpaid domestic work and in earnings from paid work. In
13 *'Flexible Men and Successful Women: The Effects of Flexible Working Hours on German*
14 *Couples' Wages'*, Laura Langner examines the use of flexible work hours among German
15 employees and the outcomes of flexibility for wage growth. Contrary to the popular belief
16 that women are the typical category of employees to require flexible working, she finds that
17 flexible work hours are not more likely to be taken up by women or by parents, but instead by
18 men. Both men and women benefit in terms of increased wages from adopting flexible work
19 hours, and domestic partners of flexible workers also experience an increase in earnings. The
20 link to gender inequality emerges with the finding that among those using flexible hours, the
21 wage growth effect occurs later for women than for men. The implication here is that women
22 working flexible hours need to prove their continued commitment to the employer before
23 increased productivity is financially rewarded. Their male counterparts, however, do not.
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40

41 The article by Robyn Mayes and Paul Koshy, *'Transnational Labour Migration and*
42 *the Place of Reproductive Labour: Trailing Wives and Community Support in Boddington'*,
43 examines the experiences of women from Asia, Europe, and South America who migrated to
44 rural Western Australia to accompany partners who have taken up work in a local gold mine.
45 The authors' interviews with both migrants and non-migrant community members show how
46 the organisation of work in the gold production industry contributes to creating a gendered
47 experience of migration. Mines rely on male workers who are mobile and employed for the
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 short rather than long term at relatively remote work sites where 24-hour production is the
4 norm. This generates migration patterns in which accompanying wives have few
5 opportunities to continue their own careers or to undertake any paid employment. In turn,
6
7 ‘trailing wives’ feel compelled to perform a disproportionately large share of the household’s
8 domestic labour and emotion work while their spouses work long hours. The authors clearly
9 demonstrate how industry practices reinforce these unequal divisions of paid and unpaid
10 labour within migrants’ households, the local community, and the workers’ camp.
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18

19 Finally, in *‘Unemployment and the Division of Housework in Europe’*, by Tanja van
20 der Lippe, Judith Treas and Lukas Norbutas, we again see discrepancies in the proportion of
21 household labour shouldered by women compared to men. Analyses of data from 28
22 European countries demonstrate that both women and men spend more time on housework
23 when they are unemployed, but women do so to a much greater extent. This “gendered
24 reaction to joblessness” identified by the authors extends to partners’ unemployment as well.
25 While men’s participation in unpaid household work is not linked to their partners’
26 employment status, women with unemployed partners engage in more unpaid domestic
27 labour than women whose partners are in work. The effects of unemployment on the
28 allocation of household work thus precipitate even higher levels of gender inequality for
29 heterosexual domestic partners than is usually the case.
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42

43 **Future Work**

44 Developing the theoretical understanding of the mechanisms that generate gender inequalities
45 proposed in the articles requires further research. Below, we draw attention to a number of
46 avenues for further investigation that the articles in this issue suggest as worth pursuing. We
47 hope that these suggestions will be of interest not only to researchers, but also to practitioners
48 and policy makers.
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 All the articles in this collection refer, directly or indirectly, to policies meant to
4 address gender inequalities. Most of the papers show how, under certain conditions, the
5 impact of these policies is either lacking or creating further inequalities. While the insight
6 that the successful impact of policies depends on how they are translated and enacted by
7 various actors is not new, it appears to us that more focused research effort is needed to shed
8 light on this aspect. Specifically, future research could look more systematically at how the
9 impact of policies meant to address gender inequalities is moderated by organisational
10 characteristics and resources. Are organisations with resource constraints less likely to pay
11 attention to the careful implementation of gender equality measures, in the way suggested by
12 Conley and Page? Does the gender composition of an organisation or of its uppermost
13 echelon matter for the speed with which gender equality measures are adopted and on how
14 they are enacted in practice? Are measures meant to address gender inequality more likely to
15 be introduced in certain industries?

16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32 Similarly, family norms might moderate the way in which parental leave, childcare
33 allowances, or other measures intended to address gender inequalities in the labour market
34 affect women's wages and career progression. For instance, we may need to pay more
35 attention to cross-partner effects and how the work experiences of household members
36 interact to produce or reproduce gender equality or inequality; employees do not work or live
37 in a vacuum, and workplace and household factors intersect. Relevant questions for future
38 research could focus on cases that challenge traditional gender roles. How do 'trailing
39 husbands' contribute to domestic work and emotional labour in the family's host country
40 post-migration, and how do these household contributions influence their female partners'
41 work activity and experiences? Do men who work flexible hours participate in domestic work
42 to a greater extent than those on traditional schedules, and does this help to account for the
43 increase in their partners' wages?

44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 Third, as suggested by Warren/Lyonette and Stahl/ Schober's studies, women's socio-
4 demographic characteristics, including occupational class and education levels, also shape
5 work experiences and outcomes. While the call to study inequalities at the intersection
6 between gender and other socio-demographic characteristics is not new, our emphasis here is
7 on understanding how women's socio-demographic characteristics affect the way in which
8 they are able to seize the opportunities offered by policies geared toward reducing gender
9 inequalities in the labour market. For instance, since September 2017 the childcare offer
10 under the Free Early Education Entitlement in the UK has increased from 15 to 30 hours. It
11 appears to us that investigating how different categories of mothers are able to access and use
12 this childcare provision would be a question well suited to work and employment scholars
13 interested in gender inequality.
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

27 Finally, the international breadth of the articles in this issue suggests the need to
28 develop a finer-grained theoretical understanding of how gender inequalities are constructed
29 and operate across a variety of national and cultural contexts. Such theoretical developments
30 would allow us to explain why certain policies to reduce gender inequalities succeed in a
31 particular national context, but not in others; they could also guide practitioners in devising
32 more efficient equality strategies. We also make a call for expanding research on work-
33 related gender inequalities to under-researched countries from the Global South.
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42

43 We hope that this thematic issue may yield insights that will contribute to innovative
44 research with practical impact.
45
46
47
48

49 **On behalf of the Editorial Team:**

50
51 **Daniela Lup**
52 **T. Alexandra Beauregard**
53 **Maria Adamson**
54
55
56
57
58
59
60