

# Middlesex University Research Repository

An open access repository of

Middlesex University research

<http://eprints.mdx.ac.uk>

Leiter, Debra, Murr, Andreas, Rascon Ramirez, Ericka ORCID:  
<https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2214-2224> and Stegmaier, Mary (2018) Social networks and citizen  
election forecasting: the more friends the better. *International Journal of Forecasting*, 34 (2) .  
pp. 235-248. ISSN 0169-2070 [Article] (doi:10.1016/j.ijforecast.2017.11.006)

Final accepted version (with author's formatting)

This version is available at: <http://eprints.mdx.ac.uk/24646/>

## Copyright:

Middlesex University Research Repository makes the University's research available electronically.

Copyright and moral rights to this work are retained by the author and/or other copyright owners unless otherwise stated. The work is supplied on the understanding that any use for commercial gain is strictly forbidden. A copy may be downloaded for personal, non-commercial, research or study without prior permission and without charge.

Works, including theses and research projects, may not be reproduced in any format or medium, or extensive quotations taken from them, or their content changed in any way, without first obtaining permission in writing from the copyright holder(s). They may not be sold or exploited commercially in any format or medium without the prior written permission of the copyright holder(s).

Full bibliographic details must be given when referring to, or quoting from full items including the author's name, the title of the work, publication details where relevant (place, publisher, date), pagination, and for theses or dissertations the awarding institution, the degree type awarded, and the date of the award.

If you believe that any material held in the repository infringes copyright law, please contact the Repository Team at Middlesex University via the following email address:

[eprints@mdx.ac.uk](mailto:eprints@mdx.ac.uk)

The item will be removed from the repository while any claim is being investigated.

See also repository copyright: re-use policy: <http://eprints.mdx.ac.uk/policies.html#copy>

**The appendix includes additional tables and figures for “Social Networks and Citizen Election Forecasting: The More Friends the Better”**

Debra Leiter, University of Missouri – Kansas City  
 Andreas Erwin Murr, University of Warwick  
 Ericka Gabriela Rascón Ramírez, Middlesex University  
 Mary Stegmaier, University of Missouri

**Table of Contents**

<b>Tables .....</b>	<b>2</b>
Table A1: Difference in expected probabilities for pooled binary logit model. .....	2
Table A2: Pooled and interacted multinomial logit models of CDU, Don't know, or SPD forecasts.....	3
Table A3: Difference in expected probabilities for pooled multinomial logit model.....	5
Table A4: Difference in expected probabilities for interacted multinomial logit model.....	6
Table A6: Multinomial logit models interacting the most important network variables with political interest.....	8
Table A7: Difference in expected probabilities for multinomial logit models interacting the most important network variables with each other and political interest.....	9
Table A8: Multinomial logit models interacting the most important network variables with CDU and SPD vote intention.....	11
Table A9: Difference in expected probabilities for multinomial logit models interacting the most important network variables with CDU and SPD vote intention.....	12
Table A10: Summary statistics of control variables, separated by East and West German respondents.....	13
<b>Figures.....</b>	<b>14</b>
Figure A1: Difference in expected probabilities for network variables in interacted multinomial logit models.....	14
Figure A2: Difference in expected probabilities for Network Size and Network Left conditional on Network Discussion.....	15
Figure A3: Difference in expected probabilities for Network Size and Network Discussion conditional on Network Left.....	16
Figure A4: Difference in expected probabilities for Network Left and Network Discussion conditional on Network Size.....	17
Figure A5: Difference in expected probabilities for Network Size, Network Left, and Network Discussion conditional on Political Interest.....	18
Figure A6: Difference in expected probabilities for Network Size, Network Left, and Network Discussion conditional on SPD or CDU vote intention.....	19

## Tables

Please note that in all tables and figures reported below the difference in expected probability is calculated by shifting a predictor from its minimum to its maximum value while holding the other predictors constant at their median value.

Table A1: Difference in expected probabilities for pooled binary logit model.

	First difference	
	[90% CI]	[95% CI]
<b>Network characteristics</b>		
Network Size (from 1 to 5)	0.14 [ 0.06; 0.22]	0.14 [ 0.05; 0.23]
Network Discussion (from 0 to 3)	0.11 [ 0.00; 0.21]	0.11 [-0.01; 0.23]
Network Expertise (from 0 to 2)	0.08 [-0.01; 0.18]	0.08 [-0.02; 0.20]
Network Heterogeneity (from 0 to 1)	0.02 [-0.06; 0.12]	0.02 [-0.08; 0.14]
Network Unknown (from 0 to 1)	-0.12 [-0.24; 0.00]	-0.12 [-0.26; 0.02]
Network Left (from 0 to 1)	-0.16 [-0.25;-0.07]	-0.16 [-0.26;-0.06]
<b>Controls</b>		
Political Interest (from 1 to 5)	0.21 [ 0.09; 0.33]	0.21 [ 0.07; 0.35]
CDU Voter (from 0 to 1)	0.20 [ 0.13; 0.26]	0.20 [ 0.12; 0.27]
Undecided Voter (from 0 to 1)	0.08 [ 0.02; 0.14]	0.08 [ 0.01; 0.15]
Age (from 1 to 4)	0.04 [-0.00; 0.09]	0.04 [-0.01; 0.10]
Education (from 1 to 3)	0.02 [-0.05; 0.10]	0.02 [-0.06; 0.11]
Print News Attention (from 0 to 4)	0.02 [-0.06; 0.10]	0.02 [-0.07; 0.11]
SPD Voter (from 0 to 1)	0.00 [-0.04; 0.05]	0.00 [-0.05; 0.06]
Female (from 0 to 1)	-0.00 [-0.04; 0.03]	-0.00 [-0.04; 0.04]
East (from 0 to 1)	-0.01 [-0.07; 0.04]	-0.01 [-0.08; 0.05]
TV News Attention (from 0 to 4)	-0.01 [-0.12; 0.08]	-0.01 [-0.14; 0.10]
Days Until Election (from 16 to 60)	-0.04 [-0.17; 0.08]	-0.04 [-0.20; 0.11]

Note: The variables are sorted by increasing effect.

Table A2: Pooled and interacted multinomial logit models of CDU, Don't know, or SPD forecasts.

	Pooled		Interacted	
	SPD	Don't Know	SPD	Don't Know
Constant	-1.69*	1.21	-1.52	2.04**
	(0.87)	(0.79)	(1.09)	(0.94)
East	0.39	-0.15	-0.41	-6.26**
	(0.26)	(0.26)	(1.82)	(2.19)
Age	-0.15**	-0.06	-0.21**	-0.11
	(0.08)	(0.07)	(0.09)	(0.08)
Female	0.08	-0.05	0.10	-0.15
	(0.19)	(0.16)	(0.23)	(0.19)
Education	-0.02	-0.08	-0.08	-0.13
	(0.19)	(0.16)	(0.23)	(0.18)
Political Interest	-0.05	-0.45**	-0.13	-0.49**
	(0.13)	(0.12)	(0.16)	(0.14)
TV News Attention	-0.17	0.15	-0.18	0.18
	(0.12)	(0.11)	(0.13)	(0.12)
Print News Attention	-0.04	-0.02	-0.04	0.00
	(0.08)	(0.08)	(0.09)	(0.09)
SPD Voter	0.63**	-0.52**	0.60**	-0.58**
	(0.24)	(0.22)	(0.30)	(0.24)
CDU Voter	-1.69**	-2.28**	-1.96**	-2.65**
	(0.43)	(0.32)	(0.64)	(0.39)
Undecided Voter	-0.28	-0.63**	-0.42	-1.05**
	(0.38)	(0.30)	(0.45)	(0.38)
Days Until Election	0.02	-0.00	0.02	-0.01
	(0.01)	(0.01)	(0.02)	(0.01)
Network Size	-0.23**	-0.22**	-0.22**	-0.27**
	(0.09)	(0.09)	(0.11)	(0.11)
Network Discussion	0.07	-0.32**	0.19	-0.29**
	(0.17)	(0.13)	(0.20)	(0.14)
Network Expertise	-0.39*	-0.18	-0.44	-0.20
	(0.23)	(0.18)	(0.28)	(0.21)
Network Left	1.63**	0.12	1.90**	-0.10
	(0.35)	(0.30)	(0.49)	(0.33)
Network Unknown	0.92*	0.21	1.16*	-0.07
	(0.48)	(0.46)	(0.62)	(0.53)
Network Heterogeneity	-0.32	0.19	-0.27	0.21
	(0.41)	(0.44)	(0.54)	(0.51)
East x Age			0.20	0.27*
			(0.15)	(0.16)
East x Female			-0.23	0.53
			(0.41)	(0.42)
East x Education			0.29	0.50

		(0.38)	(0.37)
East x Political Interest		0.27	0.09
		(0.28)	(0.22)
East x TV News Attention		0.03	-0.32
		(0.31)	(0.29)
East x Print News Attention		0.01	-0.16
		(0.21)	(0.18)
East x SPD Voter		0.12	0.62
		(0.49)	(0.59)
East x CDU Voter		0.88	2.19**
		(0.84)	(0.64)
East x Undecided Voter		0.84	1.70**
		(0.83)	(0.65)
East x Days Until Election		0.01	0.05*
		(0.03)	(0.03)
East x Network Size		-0.04	0.32*
		(0.19)	(0.19)
East x Network Discussion		-0.49	-0.15
		(0.36)	(0.28)
East x Network Expertise		0.19	-0.05
		(0.46)	(0.48)
East x Network Left		-0.85	2.42**
		(0.71)	(0.93)
East x Network Unknown		-1.01	1.95**
		(0.93)	(0.91)
East x Network Heterogeneity		-0.08	0.44
		(0.78)	(0.86)
Observations	1547	1547	

Note: \*  $p < 0.10$ , \*\*  $p < 0.05$ . Standard errors clustered by sampling points. Data weighted by inverse sampling probabilities in East and West.

Table A3: Difference in expected probabilities for pooled multinomial logit model.

	Y =		
	CDU	Don't Know	SPD
<b>Network characteristics</b>			
Network Discussion (from 0 to 3)	0.15 [ 0.01; 0.29]	-0.17 [-0.30;-0.03]	0.01 [-0.02; 0.05]
Network Size (from 1 to 5)	0.14 [ 0.03; 0.25]	-0.11 [-0.22;-0.01]	-0.02 [-0.05; 0.00]
Network Expertise (from 0 to 2)	0.08 [-0.04; 0.20]	-0.05 [-0.16; 0.06]	-0.03 [-0.07; 0.01]
Network Heterogeneity (from 0 to 1)	-0.01 [-0.16; 0.12]	0.03 [-0.11; 0.18]	-0.01 [-0.04; 0.01]
Network Unknown (from 0 to 1)	-0.07 [-0.22; 0.07]	0.02 [-0.12; 0.17]	0.05 [-0.01; 0.13]
Network Left (from 0 to 1)	-0.13 [-0.24;-0.02]	-0.01 [-0.10; 0.07]	0.14 [ 0.06; 0.22]
<b>Controls</b>			
Political Interest (from 1 to 5)	0.27 [ 0.11; 0.43]	-0.28 [-0.44;-0.12]	0.00 [-0.03; 0.04]
CDU Voter (from 0 to 1)	0.20 [ 0.12; 0.29]	-0.17 [-0.25;-0.09]	-0.03 [-0.05;-0.00]
Undecided Voter (from 0 to 1)	0.08 [ 0.01; 0.16]	-0.08 [-0.15;-0.00]	-0.00 [-0.03; 0.02]
Age (from 1 to 4)	0.04 [-0.02; 0.10]	-0.02 [-0.08; 0.03]	-0.01 [-0.03; 0.00]
SPD Voter (from 0 to 1)	0.03 [-0.03; 0.10]	-0.07 [-0.13;-0.01]	0.04 [ 0.00; 0.08]
Education (from 1 to 3)	0.02 [-0.07; 0.12]	-0.02 [-0.12; 0.07]	-0.00 [-0.03; 0.03]
Print News Attention (from 0 to 4)	0.01 [-0.08; 0.12]	-0.01 [-0.11; 0.09]	-0.00 [-0.03; 0.02]
Female (from 0 to 1)	0.00 [-0.04; 0.05]	-0.00 [-0.06; 0.04]	0.00 [-0.01; 0.01]
East (from 0 to 1)	0.00 [-0.07; 0.08]	-0.02 [-0.10; 0.04]	0.02 [-0.00; 0.05]
Days Until Election (from 16 to 60)	-0.02 [-0.19; 0.15]	-0.00 [-0.17; 0.16]	0.03 [-0.01; 0.08]
TV News Attention (from 0 to 4)	-0.04 [-0.18; 0.08]	0.09 [-0.02; 0.20]	-0.04 [-0.10; 0.02]

Note: The variables are sorted by increasing effect on giving a CDU response, separately for controls and network characteristics. Numbers in square brackets indicate 95% confidence intervals.

Table A4: Difference in expected probabilities for interacted multinomial logit model.

	Y =								
	CDU			Don't know			SPD		
	West	East	West - East	West	East	West - East	West	East	West - East
<b>Network characteristics</b>									
Network Left	-0.11 [-0.24; 0.02]	<b>-0.23</b> <b>[-0.40; -0.07]</b>	0.12 [-0.08; 0.34]	-0.05 [-0.16; 0.05]	0.14 [-0.00; 0.28]	<b>-0.19</b> <b>[-0.38; -0.01]</b>	<b>0.17</b> <b>[ 0.06; 0.28]</b>	0.09 [-0.03; 0.23]	0.07 [-0.10; 0.24]
Network Size	<b>0.18</b> <b>[ 0.04; 0.32]</b>	0.06 [-0.02; 0.16]	0.12 [-0.04; 0.29]	<b>-0.16</b> <b>[-0.30; -0.02]</b>	0.00 [-0.01; 0.03]	<b>-0.17</b> <b>[-0.31; -0.02]</b>	-0.02 [-0.05; 0.01]	-0.07 [-0.16; 0.02]	0.05 [-0.04; 0.15]
Network Discussion	0.15 [-0.01; 0.32]	0.11 [-0.08; 0.31]	0.03 [-0.22; 0.30]	<b>-0.17</b> <b>[-0.34; -0.01]</b>	-0.03 [-0.09; 0.02]	-0.14 [-0.32; 0.03]	0.02 [-0.01; 0.06]	-0.08 [-0.29; 0.13]	0.10 [-0.10; 0.32]
Network Unknown	-0.04 [-0.22; 0.14]	-0.10 [-0.27; 0.06]	0.06 [-0.18; 0.31]	-0.03 [-0.20; 0.14]	0.10 [-0.03; 0.24]	-0.13 [-0.36; 0.09]	0.07 [-0.02; 0.16]	0.00 [-0.11; 0.11]	0.07 [-0.07; 0.22]
Network Expertise	0.09 [-0.06; 0.25]	0.05 [-0.08; 0.18]	0.04 [-0.16; 0.25]	-0.06 [-0.22; 0.08]	-0.00 [-0.05; 0.03]	-0.05 [-0.21; 0.10]	-0.02 [-0.07; 0.02]	-0.04 [-0.17; 0.08]	0.01 [-0.12; 0.15]
Network Heterogeneity	-0.03 [-0.22; 0.16]	0.01 [-0.07; 0.10]	-0.04 [-0.25; 0.16]	0.04 [-0.15; 0.23]	0.01 [-0.02; 0.05]	0.02 [-0.17; 0.22]	-0.01 [-0.04; 0.02]	-0.02 [-0.11; 0.05]	0.01 [-0.07; 0.10]
<b>Controls</b>									
Political Interest	<b>0.34</b> <b>[ 0.15; 0.54]</b>	-0.01 [-0.18; 0.15]	<b>0.36</b> <b>[ 0.10; 0.61]</b>	<b>-0.34</b> <b>[-0.54; -0.15]</b>	-0.03 [-0.09; 0.02]	<b>-0.31</b> <b>[-0.51; -0.10]</b>	0.00 [-0.03; 0.03]	0.05 [-0.11; 0.21]	-0.05 [-0.22; 0.11]
CDU Voter	<b>0.25</b> <b>[ 0.14; 0.35]</b>	0.06 [-0.00; 0.13]	<b>0.18</b> <b>[ 0.05; 0.31]</b>	<b>-0.22</b> <b>[-0.32; -0.11]</b>	-0.00 [-0.02; 0.01]	<b>-0.21</b> <b>[-0.31; -0.10]</b>	<b>-0.02</b> <b>[-0.05; -0.00]</b>	-0.05 [-0.12; 0.00]	0.02 [-0.04; 0.10]
Undecided Voter	<b>0.14</b> <b>[ 0.05; 0.24]</b>	-0.05 [-0.21; 0.10]	<b>0.20</b> <b>[ 0.02; 0.39]</b>	<b>-0.14</b> <b>[-0.23; -0.05]</b>	0.01 [-0.02; 0.05]	<b>-0.15</b> <b>[-0.25; -0.05]</b>	-0.00 [-0.03; 0.01]	0.03 [-0.11; 0.19]	-0.04 [-0.20; 0.10]
Days Until Election	0.04 [-0.20; 0.28]	-0.10 [-0.24; 0.03]	0.14 [-0.13; 0.42]	-0.06 [-0.30; 0.17]	0.03 [-0.02; 0.09]	-0.10 [-0.34; 0.14]	0.02 [-0.02; 0.07]	0.07 [-0.05; 0.20]	-0.04 [-0.18; 0.09]
SPD Voter	0.06 [-0.02; 0.14]	-0.07 [-0.17; 0.01]	<b>0.13</b> <b>[ 0.00; 0.27]</b>	<b>-0.09</b> <b>[-0.16; -0.02]</b>	-0.00 [-0.02; 0.02]	<b>-0.09</b> <b>[-0.17; -0.01]</b>	0.03 [-0.01; 0.08]	0.07 [-0.01; 0.17]	-0.04 [-0.15; 0.06]
Age	0.07 [-0.01; 0.15]	-0.00 [-0.07; 0.05]	0.08 [-0.02; 0.18]	-0.05 [-0.13; 0.02]	0.01 [-0.01; 0.03]	-0.06 [-0.14; 0.02]	-0.01 [-0.03; 0.00]	-0.00 [-0.06; 0.05]	-0.01 [-0.07; 0.04]
Education	0.05 [-0.08; 0.18]	-0.04 [-0.15; 0.06]	0.09 [-0.07; 0.26]	-0.04 [-0.17; 0.08]	0.01 [-0.02; 0.05]	-0.06 [-0.19; 0.07]	-0.00 [-0.03; 0.02]	0.03 [-0.06; 0.13]	-0.03 [-0.14; 0.06]
Female	0.02 [-0.04; 0.09]	0.00 [-0.05; 0.06]	0.01 [-0.07; 0.11]	-0.02 [-0.09; 0.04]	0.00 [-0.00; 0.02]	-0.03 [-0.10; 0.03]	0.00 [-0.01; 0.01]	-0.01 [-0.07; 0.04]	0.01 [-0.04; 0.07]
Print News Attention	0.00 [-0.12; 0.13]	0.02 [-0.11; 0.16]	-0.02 [-0.21; 0.16]	0.00 [-0.12; 0.13]	-0.01 [-0.05; 0.02]	0.01 [-0.11; 0.15]	-0.00 [-0.03; 0.01]	-0.00 [-0.13; 0.12]	0.00 [-0.13; 0.13]
TV News Attention	-0.08 [-0.24; 0.07]	0.06 [-0.16; 0.29]	-0.15 [-0.43; 0.12]	0.12 [-0.02; 0.27]	-0.01 [-0.07; 0.04]	0.13 [-0.02; 0.29]	-0.03 [-0.10; 0.02]	-0.05 [-0.27; 0.17]	0.01 [-0.22; 0.24]

Note: Numbers in brackets indicate 95% confidence intervals.

Table A5: Multinomial logit models interacting the most important network variables with each other.

	Model M1		Model M2		Model M3	
	SPD	DK	SPD	DK	SPD	DK
Constant	-1.54 (0.95)	1.34 (0.85)	-1.43 (0.90)	1.15 (0.79)	-1.72* (0.88)	1.29 (0.79)
East	0.39 (0.26)	-0.16 (0.26)	0.40 (0.26)	-0.16 (0.26)	0.39 (0.26)	-0.16 (0.26)
Age	-0.16** (0.08)	-0.06 (0.07)	-0.15* (0.08)	-0.06 (0.07)	-0.16** (0.08)	-0.06 (0.07)
Female	0.08 (0.19)	-0.06 (0.17)	0.09 (0.19)	-0.05 (0.16)	0.08 (0.19)	-0.06 (0.16)
Education	-0.03 (0.19)	-0.08 (0.15)	-0.03 (0.19)	-0.08 (0.16)	-0.03 (0.19)	-0.07 (0.16)
Political Interest	-0.06 (0.13)	-0.45** (0.12)	-0.06 (0.13)	-0.45** (0.12)	-0.05 (0.13)	-0.46** (0.12)
TV News Attention	-0.18 (0.12)	0.14 (0.11)	-0.17 (0.12)	0.14 (0.11)	-0.17 (0.12)	0.14 (0.11)
Print News Attention	-0.04 (0.08)	-0.03 (0.08)	-0.03 (0.08)	-0.03 (0.08)	-0.04 (0.08)	-0.02 (0.08)
SPD Voter	0.64** (0.24)	-0.51** (0.22)	0.63** (0.24)	-0.51** (0.22)	0.63** (0.24)	-0.50** (0.22)
CDU Voter	-1.69** (0.43)	-2.28** (0.32)	-1.68** (0.43)	-2.28** (0.32)	-1.69** (0.43)	-2.28** (0.32)
Undecided Voter	-0.27 (0.38)	-0.63** (0.30)	-0.26 (0.38)	-0.63** (0.30)	-0.28 (0.38)	-0.62** (0.30)
Days Until Election	0.02 (0.01)	-0.00 (0.01)	0.02 (0.01)	-0.00 (0.01)	0.02 (0.01)	0.00 (0.01)
Network Size	-0.30 (0.22)	-0.28 (0.18)	-0.35** (0.16)	-0.19* (0.11)	-0.23** (0.09)	-0.22** (0.09)
Network Discuss.	-0.01 (0.26)	-0.39* (0.22)	0.06 (0.17)	-0.32** (0.13)	0.08 (0.21)	-0.37** (0.15)
Network Expertise	-0.38 (0.23)	-0.18 (0.18)	-0.38 (0.23)	-0.18 (0.18)	-0.39* (0.23)	-0.18 (0.18)
Network Left	1.62** (0.35)	0.11 (0.30)	1.24** (0.53)	0.29 (0.53)	1.64** (0.59)	-0.24 (0.51)
Network Unknown	0.91* (0.48)	0.21 (0.46)	0.87* (0.48)	0.19 (0.47)	0.93* (0.48)	0.19 (0.45)
Network Heterogeneity	-0.31 (0.41)	0.19 (0.44)	-0.28 (0.42)	0.22 (0.45)	-0.32 (0.41)	0.20 (0.44)
Network Size x Network Discuss.	0.04 (0.10)	0.04 (0.10)				
Network Size x Network Left			0.19 (0.22)	-0.09 (0.23)		
Network Discuss. x Network Left					-0.01 (0.25)	0.22 (0.25)
Observations	1547		1547		1547	

Note: \*  $p < 0.10$ , \*\*  $p < 0.05$ . Standard errors in parentheses. Standard errors clustered by sampling points. Data weighted by inverse sampling probabilities in East and West.

Table A6: Multinomial logit models interacting the most important network variables with political interest.

	Model M4		Model M5		Model M6	
	SPD	DK	SPD	DK	SPD	DK
Constant	-1.37 (1.04)	1.22 (0.89)	-1.39 (1.01)	1.94** (0.86)	-2.38** (0.94)	1.18 (0.78)
East	0.39 (0.26)	-0.15 (0.26)	0.38 (0.26)	-0.19 (0.26)	0.38 (0.26)	-0.16 (0.26)
Age	-0.16** (0.08)	-0.06 (0.07)	-0.16** (0.08)	-0.08 (0.07)	-0.16** (0.08)	-0.06 (0.07)
Female	0.08 (0.19)	-0.05 (0.16)	0.08 (0.19)	-0.06 (0.17)	0.08 (0.19)	-0.05 (0.16)
Education	-0.03 (0.19)	-0.08 (0.16)	-0.03 (0.19)	-0.09 (0.16)	-0.03 (0.19)	-0.08 (0.16)
Pol. Interest	-0.17 (0.23)	-0.45** (0.21)	-0.17 (0.25)	-0.76** (0.20)	0.18 (0.17)	-0.44** (0.14)
TV News Attention	-0.17 (0.12)	0.14 (0.11)	-0.17 (0.12)	0.15 (0.11)	-0.16 (0.12)	0.15 (0.11)
Print News Attention	-0.04 (0.08)	-0.02 (0.08)	-0.04 (0.08)	-0.03 (0.08)	-0.04 (0.08)	-0.02 (0.08)
SPD Voter	0.64** (0.24)	-0.51** (0.22)	0.64** (0.24)	-0.51** (0.22)	0.61** (0.24)	-0.51** (0.22)
CDU Voter	-1.69** (0.43)	-2.28** (0.32)	-1.69** (0.43)	-2.28** (0.32)	-1.70** (0.43)	-2.28** (0.32)
Undecided Voter	-0.28 (0.38)	-0.63** (0.30)	-0.27 (0.38)	-0.61** (0.30)	-0.31 (0.39)	-0.63** (0.30)
Days Until Election	0.02 (0.01)	-0.00 (0.01)	0.02 (0.01)	-0.00 (0.01)	0.02 (0.01)	-0.00 (0.01)
Network Size	-0.38 (0.26)	-0.22 (0.22)	-0.23** (0.09)	-0.22** (0.09)	-0.23** (0.09)	-0.22** (0.09)
Network Discuss.	0.07 (0.17)	-0.32** (0.13)	-0.09 (0.31)	-0.76** (0.27)	0.09 (0.17)	-0.32** (0.13)
Network Expertise	-0.39* (0.23)	-0.18 (0.18)	-0.39* (0.23)	-0.20 (0.18)	-0.38* (0.23)	-0.18 (0.18)
Network Left	1.63** (0.35)	0.12 (0.30)	1.62** (0.35)	0.08 (0.30)	2.94** (0.74)	0.23 (0.69)
Network Unknown	0.92* (0.48)	0.21 (0.46)	0.91* (0.48)	0.17 (0.45)	0.99** (0.48)	0.22 (0.46)
Network Heterogeneity	-0.31 (0.41)	0.19 (0.44)	-0.31 (0.41)	0.23 (0.43)	-0.32 (0.41)	0.18 (0.44)
Pol. Interest x Network Size	0.05 (0.08)	-0.00 (0.07)				
Pol. Interest x Network Discuss.			0.06 (0.11)	0.19* (0.10)		
Pol. Interest x Network Left					-0.44* (0.22)	-0.03 (0.24)
Observations	1547		1547		1547	

Note: \*  $p < 0.10$ , \*\*  $p < 0.05$ . Standard errors in parentheses. Standard errors clustered by sampling points. Data weighted by inverse sampling probabilities in East and West.

Table A7: Difference in expected probabilities for multinomial logit models interacting the most important network variables with each other and political interest.

z	x	y	D = P[y   x = min(x)] – P[y   x = max(x)]	
Network Discuss.	Network Left	CDU	(1) D   z = max(z)	<b>-0.17 [-0.32;-0.03]</b>
			(2) D   z = min(z)	-0.03 [-0.24; 0.16]
			(1) - (2)	-0.14 [-0.40; 0.12]
		DK	(1) D   z = max(z)	0.02 [-0.09; 0.14]
			(2) D   z = min(z)	-0.08 [-0.28; 0.11]
			(1) - (2)	0.11 [-0.14; 0.36]
	SPD	(1) D   z = max(z)	<b>0.15 [ 0.03; 0.27]</b>	
		(2) D   z = min(z)	<b>0.12 [ 0.00; 0.24]</b>	
		(1) - (2)	0.02 [-0.14; 0.20]	
	Network Size	CDU	(1) D   z = max(z)	0.10 [-0.04; 0.24]
			(2) D   z = min(z)	0.22 [-0.01; 0.47]
			(1) - (2)	-0.12 [-0.44; 0.19]
DK		(1) D   z = max(z)	-0.07 [-0.21; 0.06]	
		(2) D   z = min(z)	-0.20 [-0.44; 0.03]	
		(1) - (2)	0.13 [-0.18; 0.44]	
SPD	(1) D   z = max(z)	-0.02 [-0.07; 0.01]		
	(2) D   z = min(z)	-0.02 [-0.06; 0.01]		
	(1) - (2)	-0.00 [-0.07; 0.06]		
Network Left	Network Discuss.	CDU	(1) D   z = max(z)	0.03 [-0.19; 0.26]
			(2) D   z = min(z)	<b>0.17 [ 0.02; 0.33]</b>
			(1) - (2)	-0.14 [-0.40; 0.12]
		DK	(1) D   z = max(z)	-0.08 [-0.30; 0.13]
			(2) D   z = min(z)	<b>-0.19 [-0.35;-0.04]</b>
			(1) - (2)	0.11 [-0.14; 0.36]
	SPD	(1) D   z = max(z)	0.05 [-0.13; 0.23]	
		(2) D   z = min(z)	0.02 [-0.02; 0.06]	
		(1) - (2)	0.02 [-0.14; 0.20]	
	Network Size	CDU	(1) D   z = max(z)	0.19 [-0.00; 0.38]
			(2) D   z = min(z)	<b>0.14 [ 0.02; 0.26]</b>
			(1) - (2)	0.04 [-0.17; 0.26]
DK		(1) D   z = max(z)	-0.12 [-0.31; 0.05]	
		(2) D   z = min(z)	-0.10 [-0.21; 0.01]	
		(1) - (2)	-0.02 [-0.23; 0.17]	
SPD	(1) D   z = max(z)	-0.06 [-0.20; 0.08]		
	(2) D   z = min(z)	-0.04 [-0.08; 0.00]		
	(1) - (2)	-0.01 [-0.16; 0.12]		
Network Size	Network Discuss.	CDU	(1) D   z = max(z)	0.06 [-0.17; 0.29]
			(2) D   z = min(z)	<b>0.18 [ 0.01; 0.36]</b>
		DK	(1) D   z = max(z)	-0.07 [-0.30; 0.15]
			(2) D   z = min(z)	<b>-0.20 [-0.38;-0.03]</b>

			(1) - (2)	0.13 [-0.18; 0.44]
		SPD	(1) D   z = max(z)	0.01 [-0.02; 0.05]
			(2) D   z = min(z)	0.01 [-0.03; 0.07]
			(1) - (2)	-0.00 [-0.07; 0.06]
	Network Left	CDU	(1) D   z = max(z)	-0.09 [-0.25; 0.07]
			(2) D   z = min(z)	<b>-0.13 [-0.27; -0.00]</b>
			(1) - (2)	0.04 [-0.17; 0.26]
		DK	(1) D   z = max(z)	-0.03 [-0.17; 0.11]
			(2) D   z = min(z)	-0.00 [-0.12; 0.11]
			(1) - (2)	-0.02 [-0.23; 0.17]
		SPD	(1) D   z = max(z)	<b>0.12 [ 0.00; 0.24]</b>
			(2) D   z = min(z)	<b>0.14 [ 0.04; 0.24]</b>
			(1) - (2)	-0.01 [-0.16; 0.12]
Political Interest	Network Discuss.	CDU	(1) D   z = max(z)	-0.07 [-0.24; 0.10]
			(2) D   z = min(z)	<b>0.36 [ 0.14; 0.59]</b>
			(1) - (2)	<b>-0.43 [-0.76; -0.10]</b>
		DK	(1) D   z = max(z)	0.04 [-0.11; 0.20]
			(2) D   z = min(z)	<b>-0.38 [-0.61; -0.16]</b>
			(1) - (2)	<b>0.43 [ 0.12; 0.75]</b>
		SPD	(1) D   z = max(z)	0.02 [-0.05; 0.09]
			(2) D   z = min(z)	0.02 [-0.02; 0.06]
			(1) - (2)	0.00 [-0.08; 0.09]
	Network Left	CDU	(1) D   z = max(z)	-0.06 [-0.20; 0.07]
			(2) D   z = min(z)	-0.15 [-0.36; 0.04]
			(1) - (2)	0.09 [-0.18; 0.36]
		DK	(1) D   z = max(z)	-2.58 [-0.10; 0.10]
			(2) D   z = min(z)	-0.03 [-0.25; 0.17]
			(1) - (2)	0.03 [-0.23; 0.31]
		SPD	(1) D   z = max(z)	0.06 [-0.04; 0.17]
			(2) D   z = min(z)	<b>0.19 [ 0.04; 0.35]</b>
			(1) - (2)	-0.13 [-0.33; 0.07]
	Network Size	CDU	(1) D   z = max(z)	0.08 [-0.04; 0.20]
			(2) D   z = min(z)	0.21 [-0.03; 0.46]
			(1) - (2)	-0.13 [-0.44; 0.18]
		DK	(1) D   z = max(z)	-0.06 [-0.17; 0.04]
			(2) D   z = min(z)	-0.18 [-0.43; 0.06]
			(1) - (2)	0.11 [-0.18; 0.42]
		SPD	(1) D   z = max(z)	-0.01 [-0.07; 0.04]
			(2) D   z = min(z)	-0.03 [-0.07; 0.01]
			(1) - (2)	0.01 [-0.06; 0.09]

Note: Numbers in brackets indicate 95% confidence intervals.

Table A8: Multinomial logit models interacting the most important network variables with CDU and SPD vote intention.

	Model M7		Model M8		Model M9	
	SPD	DK	SPD	DK	SPD	DK
Constant	-1.80*	1.36*	-1.65*	1.26	-1.78**	1.27
	(0.92)	(0.81)	(0.87)	(0.79)	(0.88)	(0.78)
East	0.38	-0.14	0.39	-0.15	0.37	-0.15
	(0.26)	(0.26)	(0.26)	(0.25)	(0.26)	(0.26)
Age	-0.16**	-0.06	-0.16**	-0.06	-0.13*	-0.07
	(0.08)	(0.07)	(0.08)	(0.07)	(0.08)	(0.07)
Female	0.09	-0.05	0.09	-0.05	0.05	-0.05
	(0.19)	(0.17)	(0.19)	(0.16)	(0.19)	(0.17)
Education	-0.03	-0.06	-0.02	-0.07	-0.05	-0.07
	(0.19)	(0.16)	(0.19)	(0.16)	(0.19)	(0.16)
Political Interest	-0.06	-0.45**	-0.06	-0.45**	-0.07	-0.45**
	(0.13)	(0.12)	(0.13)	(0.12)	(0.13)	(0.12)
TV News Attention	-0.17	0.14	-0.17	0.15	-0.17	0.15
	(0.12)	(0.11)	(0.12)	(0.11)	(0.12)	(0.11)
Print News Attention	-0.04	-0.02	-0.03	-0.02	-0.02	-0.02
	(0.08)	(0.08)	(0.08)	(0.08)	(0.08)	(0.08)
SPD Voter	0.87*	-0.87*	0.57	-0.67	1.31**	-0.71**
	(0.48)	(0.49)	(0.47)	(0.46)	(0.36)	(0.36)
CDU Voter	-1.86**	-3.17**	-2.13**	-2.60**	-2.42**	-2.48**
	(0.76)	(0.71)	(1.04)	(0.70)	(0.58)	(0.34)
Undecided Voter	-0.28	-0.63**	-0.27	-0.62**	-0.21	-0.64**
	(0.38)	(0.30)	(0.38)	(0.30)	(0.39)	(0.30)
Days Until Election	0.02	-0.00	0.02	-0.00	0.02	-0.00
	(0.01)	(0.01)	(0.01)	(0.01)	(0.01)	(0.01)
Network Size	-0.18	-0.29**	-0.23**	-0.22**	-0.22**	-0.22**
	(0.12)	(0.10)	(0.09)	(0.09)	(0.09)	(0.09)
Network Discuss.	0.07	-0.33**	0.03	-0.37**	0.03	-0.32**
	(0.17)	(0.13)	(0.20)	(0.15)	(0.16)	(0.13)
Network Expertise	-0.38	-0.18	-0.38	-0.18	-0.35	-0.18
	(0.23)	(0.19)	(0.23)	(0.19)	(0.23)	(0.19)
Network Left	1.62**	0.13	1.64**	0.13	2.00**	-0.12
	(0.34)	(0.30)	(0.35)	(0.31)	(0.39)	(0.38)
Network Unknown	0.94*	0.24	0.92*	0.21	1.07**	0.21
	(0.48)	(0.46)	(0.48)	(0.46)	(0.48)	(0.45)
Network Heterogeneity	-0.32	0.16	-0.31	0.20	-0.53	0.17
	(0.41)	(0.44)	(0.41)	(0.44)	(0.42)	(0.44)
SPD Voter x Network Size	-0.10	0.16				
	(0.17)	(0.17)				
CDU Voter x Network Size	0.07	0.39				
	(0.28)	(0.26)				
SPD Voter x Network Discuss.			0.03	0.10		
			(0.24)	(0.26)		
CDU Voter x Network Discuss.			0.24	0.21		
			(0.46)	(0.40)		
SPD Voter x Network Left					-1.13**	0.50
					(0.49)	(0.55)
CDU Voter x Network Left					2.03**	1.33
					(0.88)	(0.84)
Observations	1547		1547		1547	

Note: \*  $p < 0.10$ , \*\*  $p < 0.05$ . Standard errors in parentheses. Standard errors clustered by sampling points. Data weighted by inverse sampling probabilities in East and West.

Table A9: Difference in expected probabilities for multinomial logit models interacting the most important network variables with CDU and SPD vote intention.

x	y		$P[y   x = \max(x)] - P[y   x = \min(x)]$
Network Discuss.	CDU	(1) CDU Voter	0.00 [-0.06; 0.08]
		(2) SPD Voter	0.07 [-0.13; 0.28]
		(1) - (2)	-0.07 [-0.28; 0.14]
	DK	(1) CDU Voter	-0.01 [-0.08; 0.05]
		(2) SPD Voter	-0.10 [-0.28; 0.08]
		(1) - (2)	0.08 [-0.10; 0.27]
	SPD	(1) CDU Voter	0.00 [-0.01; 0.03]
		(2) SPD Voter	0.02 [-0.07; 0.12]
		(1) - (2)	-0.01 [-0.11; 0.08]
Network Left	CDU	(1) CDU Voter	<b>-0.21 [-0.41; -0.01]</b>
		(2) SPD Voter	<b>-0.14 [-0.27; -0.01]</b>
		(1) - (2)	-0.06 [-0.29; 0.15]
	DK	(1) CDU Voter	0.03 [-0.04; 0.11]
		(2) SPD Voter	0.01 [-0.07; 0.11]
		(1) - (2)	0.01 [-0.09; 0.13]
	SPD	(1) CDU Voter	0.17 [-0.00; 0.36]
		(2) SPD Voter	<b>0.12 [ 0.00; 0.24]</b>
		(1) - (2)	0.05 [-0.14; 0.24]
Network Size	CDU	(1) CDU Voter	-0.00 [-0.06; 0.04]
		(2) SPD Voter	0.11 [-0.02; 0.25]
		(1) - (2)	-0.12 [-0.26; 0.02]
	DK	(1) CDU Voter	0.00 [-0.04; 0.06]
		(2) SPD Voter	-0.04 [-0.16; 0.07]
		(1) - (2)	0.05 [-0.07; 0.18]
	SPD	(1) CDU Voter	-0.00 [-0.02; 0.01]
		(2) SPD Voter	-0.07 [-0.15; 0.01]
		(1) - (2)	0.06 [-0.01; 0.14]


Note: Numbers in brackets indicate 95% confidence intervals.

Table A10: Summary statistics of control variables, separated by East and West German respondents.

	West Germans				East Germans			
	Mean	SD	Min	Max	Mean	SD	Min	Max
Age	2.61	1.31	1	4	2.76	1.30	1	4
Female	0.51	0.50	0	1	0.51	0.50	0	1
Education	1.59	0.66	1	3	1.96	0.63	1	3
Political Interest	2.90	1.05	1	5	3.24	1.04	1	5
TV News Attention	2.89	0.94	0	4	3.32	0.70	0	4
Print News Attention	2.25	1.43	0	4	2.97	1.12	0	4
SPD Voter	0.27	0.44	0	1	0.19	0.39	0	1
CDU Voter	0.29	0.45	0	1	0.33	0.47	0	1
Undecided Voter	0.09	0.29	0	1	0.10	0.31	0	1


## Figures

Figure A1: Difference in expected probabilities for network variables in interacted multinomial logit models.




Note: The left panel displays the differences in the expected probability of a CDU, Don't know, and SPD forecast between two West Germans with a maximum and minimum value of the predictor while holding the other variables constant at their median value. The middle panel displays the differences for East Germans. The right panel subtracts the difference for West Germans from the difference for East Germans (interaction). Segments indicate 95% confidence intervals. Results are based on Tables A2 and A4.

Figure A2: Difference in expected probabilities for Network Size and Network Left conditional on Network Discussion.




Note: The left panel displays the differences in the expected probability of a CDU, Don't know, and SPD forecast between two respondents with the maximum value of network discussion with maximum and minimum values of the predictor while holding the other variables constant at their median value. The middle panel displays the differences between two respondents with the minimum value of network discussion. The right panel subtracts the differences for respondents with the maximum value of network discussion, from the differences for those with the minimum value (interaction). Segments indicate 95% confidence intervals. Results are based on the Tables A5 (columns M1 and M3) and A7.

Figure A3: Difference in expected probabilities for Network Size and Network Discussion conditional on Network Left.




Note: The left panel displays the differences in the expected probability of a CDU, Don't know, and SPD forecast between two respondents with the maximum share of left-leaning members in the network with maximum and minimum values of the predictor while holding the other variables constant at their median value. The middle panel displays the differences between two respondents with the minimum share of left-leaning members in the network. The right panel subtracts the differences for respondents with the maximum value of network size, from the differences for those with the minimum value (interaction). Segments indicate 95% confidence intervals. Results are based on the Tables A5 (columns M2 and M3) and A7.

Figure A4: Difference in expected probabilities for Network Left and Network Discussion conditional on Network Size.




Note: The left panel displays the differences in the expected probability of a CDU, Don't know, and SPD forecast between two respondents with the maximum value of network size with maximum and minimum values of the predictor while holding the other variables constant at their median value. The middle panel displays the differences between two respondents with the minimum value of network size. The right panel subtracts the differences for respondents with the maximum value of network size, from the differences for those with the minimum value (interaction). Segments indicate 95% confidence intervals. Results are based on the Tables A5 (columns M1 and M2) and A7.

Figure A5: Difference in expected probabilities for Network Size, Network Left, and Network Discussion conditional on Political Interest.



Note: The left panel displays the differences in the expected probability of a CDU, Don't know, and SPD forecast between two respondents with the maximum value of political interest with maximum and minimum values of the predictor while holding the other variables constant at their median value. The middle panel displays the differences between two respondents with the minimum value of political interest. The right panel subtracts the differences for respondents with the maximum value of political interest, from the differences for those with the minimum value (interaction). Segments indicate 95% confidence intervals. Results are based on the Tables A6 (columns M4 to M6) and A7.

Figure A6: Difference in expected probabilities for Network Size, Network Left, and Network Discussion conditional on SPD or CDU vote intention.



Note: The left panel displays the differences in the expected probability of a CDU, Don't know, and SPD forecast between two respondents intending to vote for the CDU with maximum and minimum values of the predictor while holding the other variables constant at their median value. The middle panel displays the differences for citizens intending to vote for the SPD. The right panel subtracts the differences for respondents intending to vote for the CDU, from the differences for those intending to vote for the SPD (interaction). Segments indicate 95% confidence intervals. Results are based on Tables A8 (columns M7 to M9) and A9.