

# Middlesex University Research Repository

An open access repository of

Middlesex University research

<http://eprints.mdx.ac.uk>

Teplitski, Max, Irani, Tracy, Krediet, Cory J., Di Cesare, Mariachiara ORCID logoORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3934-3364> and Marvasi, Massimiliano (2018) Student-generated pre-exam questions is an effective tool for participatory learning: a case study from Ecology of Waterborne Pathogens course. *Journal of Food Science Education*, 17 (3) . pp. 76-84. ISSN 1541-4329 [Article] (doi:10.1111/1541-4329.12129)

Final accepted version (with author's formatting)

This version is available at: <https://eprints.mdx.ac.uk/23149/>

## Copyright:

Middlesex University Research Repository makes the University's research available electronically.

Copyright and moral rights to this work are retained by the author and/or other copyright owners unless otherwise stated. The work is supplied on the understanding that any use for commercial gain is strictly forbidden. A copy may be downloaded for personal, non-commercial, research or study without prior permission and without charge.

Works, including theses and research projects, may not be reproduced in any format or medium, or extensive quotations taken from them, or their content changed in any way, without first obtaining permission in writing from the copyright holder(s). They may not be sold or exploited commercially in any format or medium without the prior written permission of the copyright holder(s).

Full bibliographic details must be given when referring to, or quoting from full items including the author's name, the title of the work, publication details where relevant (place, publisher, date), pagination, and for theses or dissertations the awarding institution, the degree type awarded, and the date of the award.

If you believe that any material held in the repository infringes copyright law, please contact the Repository Team at Middlesex University via the following email address:

[eprints@mdx.ac.uk](mailto:eprints@mdx.ac.uk)

The item will be removed from the repository while any claim is being investigated.

See also repository copyright: re-use policy: <http://eprints.mdx.ac.uk/policies.html#copy>

1           **Student-generated pre-exam questions is an effective tool for participatory**  
2           **learning: A case study from Ecology of Waterborne Pathogens course.**

3  
4  
5   Max Teplitski<sup>1</sup>, Tracy Irani<sup>3</sup>, Cory J. Krediet<sup>1,4</sup>, Mariachiara Di Cesare<sup>2</sup>, Massimiliano Marvasi<sup>1,2\*</sup>

6  
7   <sup>1</sup> Soil and Water Science Department, University of Florida, Gainesville, Florida, United States  
8   of America

9   <sup>2</sup> Department of Natural Science, Middlesex University, London, United Kingdom.

10   <sup>3</sup> Department of Family, Youth and Community Sciences, University of Florida, Gainesville,  
11   Florida, United States of America

12   <sup>4</sup> Department of Marine Science, Eckerd College, St. Petersburg, Florida, United States of  
13   America

14  
15  
16   \* Corresponding author

17   E-mail: [m.marvasi@mdx.ac.uk](mailto:m.marvasi@mdx.ac.uk)

18  
19  
20   Short title: Learning gains from question design

21  
22   Conflict of interests: Authors declare not conflict of interests.

24 **Abstract**

25 This multi-year study helps elucidate how the instructional practice of student-generated  
26 questions support learning in a blended classroom in STEM subjects. Students designed  
27 multiple-choice pre-exam questions aimed at higher levels of learning, according to Bloom's  
28 taxonomy. Student-generated questions were edited by the instructor and then discussed by the  
29 students in the classroom and in an online forum. We tested the hypothesis that this intervention  
30 improves student learning, measured as student achievement on the exam following the  
31 intervention, and compared to student achievement on the traditional exam (prior to which a  
32 review session focused on instructor-led recitation of the key concepts). Following the  
33 intervention in all years, average grade on the post-intervention exam increased by 7.44%. It is  
34 important to point out that not all students benefited equally from this activity. Students who  
35 were in the 4<sup>th</sup> quintile (60-80%) based on the results of the first exam demonstrated the highest  
36 achievement improving their performance on average by 12.37% percentage points (measured  
37 as a score on the second exam). Gains were not observed in the semesters when the  
38 intervention was not implemented. In this study we provided students detailed instructions on  
39 how to design questions that focus on testing higher levels of learning.

40

41

42

43 *Keywords:* undergraduate, collaborative/cooperative learning, assessment, student-centered  
44 learning, quizzing

45

## 46 **Introduction**

47 In recent years, it has become clear that student-centered and inquiry-driven approaches to  
48 learning are successful strategies to significantly obtain improved learning gain in science,  
49 technology, engineering and mathematics (STEM) subjects (Knight and Wood 2005; Wood and  
50 Knight 2004). This realization has led to the increasing popularity of more interactive teaching  
51 approaches, among which “flipped classroom” is one of the available tools. Flipped classroom is  
52 an educational approach in which students spend a considerable amount of out-of-class time  
53 watching pre-recorded lectures, completing reading assignments and being engaged in various  
54 web-based asynchronous activities. In a flipped classroom, face-to-face time is used for  
55 individual inquiry and collaborative activities to clarify concepts and contextualize knowledge  
56 through application, analysis, planning and researching solutions. During this time, instructors  
57 act as learning coaches rather than teachers (O’Flaherty and Phillips 2015). A number of  
58 instructors have also adopted a “blended” approach, where elements of the flipped classroom  
59 are combined with the more traditional expository educational approaches (Morin 2014;  
60 O’Flaherty and Phillips 2015).

61  
62 Flipped classrooms have been shown to be effective in promoting learning gains, and students  
63 generally feel positive about their experiences (Morin 2014; O’Flaherty and Phillips 2015).  
64 However, despite their benefits, flipped and also blended classrooms have some logistical  
65 drawbacks. Because meaningful pre-class activities are a must (Morin 2014), these approaches  
66 require significant investment of the instructor’s time. McLaughlin and others (2014) estimated  
67 that instructors spend approximately 30% more of their time to prepare for flipped classes  
68 compared to traditional lectures (McLaughlin and others 2014). Instructors need additional time  
69 to tape, edit and update pre-recorded lectures, develop asynchronous activities that take place  
70 outside the classroom and plan face-to-face exercises (O’Flaherty and Phillips 2015; Spangler

71 2014). Preparation of quiz test banks is also a major time investment by the instructor  
72 (O'Flaherty and Phillips 2015). Several studies identified student-led generation of question  
73 banks as an opportunity not only to save the instructor's time and institutional resources, but  
74 also to engage students more deeply into the learning process (Bottomley and Denny 2011;  
75 Hardy and others 2014; Rhind and Pettigrew 2012). With this study, we aimed to test the  
76 effectiveness of student-generated multiple-choice question banks in enhancing learning in a  
77 blended classroom.

78

79 It is commonly recognized that the act of creating questions enhances learners' understanding  
80 of course materials and promotes deep learning (Draper 2009; Rosenshine and others 1996).  
81 Students who wrote questions tended to outperform their peers (Foos 1989). Student-generated  
82 questions that involved higher cognitive skills (compared to a simple recall) have been linked to  
83 self-directed learning and improved conceptual understanding (Chin and others 2002).  
84 However, studies also point to the fact that for this activity to be productive, it has to be properly  
85 contextualized (Bottomley and Denny 2011; Hardy and others 2014; Nicol 2007; Nicol and  
86 Macfarlane-Dick 2006; Rhind and Pettigrew 2012). For example, in the experiments of Rhind et  
87 al (2012) conducted in three courses of a veterinary curriculum over a two-year period, students  
88 were directed to develop questions that were submitted into an on-line bank without being  
89 evaluated (Rhind and Pettigrew 2012). In only 1 of 6 experiments, the correlation between  
90 answering questions submitted by peers and the exam grade was significant ( $p < 0.05$ ), despite  
91 the fact that over 80% of students agreed that developing original questions and answering  
92 questions developed by others helped improve understanding of the material. Students in this  
93 study submitted and answered only two multiple-choice questions, and the quality of the  
94 student-generated questions was not evaluated. Hardy and others (2014) in a three-school UK  
95 study encompassing courses in Physics, Genetics and Chemistry reported only modest, but  
96 nevertheless positive, impact of writing and reviewing exam questions on the end-of-term

97 performance (Hardy and others 2014). As was in the study of Rhind (2012), participants in the  
98 Hardy (2014) study submitted a limited number of un-moderated questions (between 1 and 2),  
99 however, students were required to answer or evaluate between 2 and 20 questions submitted  
100 by their peers. Hardy and collaborators (2014) hypothesized that monitoring the quality of the  
101 questions that students submit may have improved learning gains. Bottomley and others, 2011  
102 reported that when over one hundred biomedical students were asked to develop own multiple  
103 choice questions, over 90% of the questions represented the lowest two of Bloom's taxonomy  
104 (Bottomley and Denny 2011). However, if students were specifically instructed to write multiple  
105 choice questions representing higher levels of Bloom's taxonomy, they did so (Bates and others  
106 2014). Thus, it appears, that for this exercise to result in learning gains, students have to  
107 engage at multiple higher level tasks, such as creation of the more sophisticated questions,  
108 engagement with questions developed by their peers and obtaining timely feedback.

109

110 It is also reasonable to hypothesize that learning gains resulting from the construction of exam  
111 questions could be due to the ability of students to recall significantly more information on the  
112 final test if they were subjected to intermediate self-administered tests prior to the final  
113 examination (Glover 1989). Both the types of the tests and recall activities have an impact on  
114 learning. For information that is not complex (i.e. memorization and recall of word pairs in  
115 English and in a foreign language) learning gains are most improved with a repeated retrieval  
116 practice (Karpicke and Roediger 2008). For complex information, where the ability to recall  
117 certain information requires memorization (or thorough understanding) of the context, retrieval  
118 has to modify the information in memory, rather than storing it unchanged (Bjork and others  
119 2015). Furthermore, it involves either an elaboration of the memorized material (Mcdaniel and  
120 Fisher 1991) or requires a certain level of "desirable difficulty" (a condition that initially slows  
121 down knowledge acquisition, but improves long-term retention and transfer of knowledge),  
122 which can be achieved when the recall activities are reasonably complicated (Bjork and others

123 2015). Therefore, the aim of this study was to test whether student's generation of multiple-  
124 choice questions could result in robust learning gains that are similar to those observed in  
125 studies of the testing effect and without the undesirable effects of anxiety and biases observed  
126 in previous studies (Bjork and others 2015; Kromann and others 2011; Nguyen and McDaniel  
127 2015) This activity required not only a simple recall of information, but a thorough understanding  
128 of the tested material and additional information.

129

130 In addition to asking students to develop and submit questions on-line, we wanted to further  
131 capitalize on this learning opportunity and instructed students to use questions that were  
132 developed by their peers and posted within an e-learning platform for self-paced quizzes. The  
133 most difficult questions were discussed during two one-hour class periods. Self-paced and un-  
134 graded pop quizzes were shown to be effective learning tools, which confer the benefits of the  
135 activity without the negative impact of stress and anxiety (Khanna 2015).

136

## 137 **Methods**

### 138 **Ethics Statement**

139 All survey questions and the study design were reviewed by the University of Florida  
140 Institutional Review Board. All study participants gave written consent to take part in the study.  
141 Consent letter was drafted by T.I. (who was not the course instructor), and were administered  
142 by a third party. Whether or not students gave their consent to participate in the study was not  
143 revealed to the course instructors until after the final grade for the course was finalized and  
144 submitted. University of Florida Institutional Review Board reviewed the consent procedure.

145

### 146 **Participants**

147 This experiment was conducted in the course "Ecology of Waterborne Pathogens", which is

148 taught once a year. This course is an approved elective for Biology, Microbiology, Soil and  
149 Water Science majors. Over the duration of the experiment, 162 students chose to participate  
150 in this study from 2009 to 2015 (of them, ~55% were female and ~45% male). Development of  
151 the pre-exam questions for the bank and performance on exams were graded activities in the  
152 course. Two control cohorts, enrolled in the course in 2009 the year prior to the intervention  
153 consisted of 32 students, and the 2015 cohort included 30 students.

154

### 155 **Course Design**

156 The course included traditional lectures, in-class discussions, pre-recorded on-line instructional  
157 videos, weekly on-line discussions, a nine-week long investigation of a simulated outbreak and  
158 an activity in which students constructed multiple-choice questions. Approximately two weeks  
159 prior to the first class, the instructor emailed students a list of suggested course topics, and  
160 students ranked them according to their interest in the subject. Based on the results of the  
161 students' votes, more or less time was allocated to certain topics. Some topics (food safety,  
162 urban microbiology and diseases of aquatic animals) were not discussed in all years, however,  
163 these topics were typically covered in the third module of the course (after the second exam).  
164 The first module typically included surveys of outbreaks of water- and foodborne illnesses,  
165 environmentally-transmitted pathogens (including emerging and re-emerging pathogens),  
166 sample collection and preparation, culture-based methods for pathogen isolation and detection,  
167 physiological, immunological and nucleic acid-based detection and characterization, source-  
168 tracking of human pathogens, a survey of environments where pathogens survive (soil, air,  
169 water, plant-associated environments). Upon completion of the first module, students completed  
170 the first exam. During the second module, the following topics were typically covered: biofilms  
171 and microbial cell-to-cell signaling, evolution of antibiotic resistance and virulence, pathogens as  
172 prey, microbiological components of water quality, indicator organisms, drinking and waste  
173 water treatment and disinfection. At the end of the second module students completed the

174 second exam (Figure 1).

175

## 176 **Study Design**

177 As mentioned above the course was divided into three modules. The assessment structure is  
178 shown in Figure 1. From 2010 to 2012 the intervention was implemented before Exam 2. In  
179 2015, an additional control was introduced: the intervention was implemented before a third  
180 exam instead of the Exam 2. For the intervention, students were instructed to design 20  
181 multiple-choice questions. Prior to the assignment, a 15-minute presentation about Bloom's  
182 taxonomy of learning was offered in class by one of the instructors. Students also received a  
183 handout summary of the presentation, and examples of questions that would not be accepted  
184 for credit. Students were instructed that less than 20% of their questions could represent the  
185 lower two domains of Bloom's taxonomy and that True/False questions (even when disguised  
186 as multiple-choice questions such as: "Which of the following four statements is correct...")  
187 would not be accepted for credit. Students were also instructed that questions based on a  
188 single power-point slide from lectures would not be accepted for credit, thus forcing them to tap  
189 into higher levels of learning. Questions had to be scientifically accurate and grammatically  
190 correct, and stems of questions had to be based directly on the topics that were discussed  
191 during the second module, although integration of the topics from the first module was also  
192 encouraged (see, for example, Questions 1, 6 and 8 in the Table 1). These criteria were used  
193 for grading the questions that students submitted. All questions, without the answers, were  
194 posted by students in the e-learning system to be accessible to all students. Through the  
195 duration of this study, the institution used two different e-learning platforms (Sakai and Canvas).  
196 However, because functionalities of the two platforms were similar, this was not considered as a  
197 variable that impacted the outcome of the study. Students were offered an opportunity to send  
198 some or all of their questions for the instructor's formative feedback prior to posting them (and  
199 approximately a third of students took advantage of that opportunity). The instructors provided

200 feedback and graded the posted questions prior to the exam. As the questions were submitted  
201 in the e-learning system without the answers, all students were encouraged to use them for self-  
202 paced tests (not graded by the instructors), and approximately 80 of the most difficult questions  
203 were discussed during two class periods prior to the exam, however review was offered in all  
204 sections. None of the questions that were discussed during the review session were included in  
205 the actual exam.

206

### 207 **Exam and Assessment**

208 All questions from Exam 1 were designed by the instructor. Exam 1 consisted of multiple-  
209 choice questions and a list of five questions for short essays from which students selected three  
210 to answer. Multiple-choice questions accounted for ~70% of grade, while short essays made up  
211 the rest. Approximately 80% of the multiple-choice questions on the first exam were the same  
212 for the three semesters in which the intervention took place; there was only ~50% overlap  
213 between questions on the first exam in the semesters when the intervention took place and in  
214 the two semesters prior to the intervention.

215

216 The second exam consisted of 20 questions, ~75% of them were drawn from over 600  
217 questions submitted by the students to the question bank during that semester, and ~25% of the  
218 questions were designed by the instructor. Questions developed by students were edited by the  
219 instructor for clarity and grammar. Multiple-choice questions offered by the instructors in the  
220 semesters when the intervention took place were essentially the same. In the year prior to the  
221 intervention, all questions were designed by the course instructors. To accommodate a variety  
222 of learning styles, students had a choice to complete multiple-choice or short essay questions  
223 on the second exam; students self-selected which version of the exam to take. Essay exams  
224 included a “menu” of 15-20 questions, from which students selected 10-12 to answer. Each  
225 question required a clear understanding of at least 2 different concepts discussed throughout

226 the course. For example, “We have discussed several examples of water quality indicators.  
227 Can indicator organisms be used in programs aimed at controlling accidental or opportunistic  
228 waterborne pathogens (such as *Aeromonas*, *Vibrio cholera*, *Vibrio vulnificus*)? Why or why  
229 not?”; “How would you use multiplex PCR to identify subspecies of *Salmonella*? Design primers  
230 for this experiment, include picture of the gel that you expect will result from this PCR  
231 experiment. Make sure to include all appropriate positive and negative controls. In addition to  
232 multiplex PCR targeting genes you identified as important, what 3 other genetic techniques  
233 could be used to distinguish between subspecies of *Salmonella*? Why? What would the data  
234 look like (provide examples of gels)?”, “Resistance to antibiotics is often associated with  
235 acquisition of the new genes and functions. Please provide 3 hypothetical scenarios in which  
236 loss of a gene or a mutation of a gene within the core genome would increase resistance of a  
237 pathogen to antimicrobials.” Mastery of the same concepts was required to complete multiple  
238 choice (MC) exams. Over the duration of this study, approximately 40% of students chose to  
239 take the essay version of the exam. All exams were graded and moderated by the two  
240 instructors in the course to ensure consistency (with the exception of one semester prior to the  
241 intervention). Essays were graded based on whether students demonstrated understanding of  
242 individual concepts, ability to integrate them and to provide clear, concise and accurate  
243 answers. When achievements of students who took the essay or multiple-choice exam were  
244 compared, no statistically significant differences were observed. Students’ achievement on the  
245 second exam was compared with their achievement on the first exam. As a control, there was a  
246 formative pre-exam review session prior to the first exam, in which the instructor led an in-class  
247 discussion of the key concepts covered during the first module.

248

249 **Coding of student questions according to Blooms’s taxonomy.** Pre-exam questions  
250 developed by students were considered to be recall-type questions if they required a  
251 restatement of a specific fact or a definition and were based on a single power point slide;

252 comprehension questions required basic understanding of at least two different concepts, and  
253 were often exemplified by simple analogies; application questions involved basic case study  
254 analyses, data interpretation or calculations; analysis and synthesis questions required original  
255 analysis of complex data.

256

257 **Activity satisfaction questionnaire.** Upon completion of the semester, we administered a  
258 questionnaire, which aimed to determine which factors (such as clarity of the assignments,  
259 recognition of students' contributions, appropriateness of guidance by the course instructors,  
260 relevance of the assignment) correlated with the overall satisfaction with the activity. Students  
261 answered questions by assigning either 1 (strongly disagree) or 5 (strongly agree) to each  
262 question. Participation in the questionnaire at the end of the course was voluntary, and students  
263 received extra credit points for filling it out. Eighty seven students completed this post-activity  
264 questionnaire consisted of 11 questions. In order to measure factors associated with the  
265 student satisfaction with the intervention, linear regressions of each question correlated with the  
266 overall satisfaction was performed. Statistical analysis was carried out with JMP (SAS) software  
267 package.

268

269 **Statistical analysis to assess the impact of the pre-exam activity on student achievement.**  
270 Individual student grades were converted into percentages and three tests were performed: 1) A  
271 one-way ANOVA across cohorts was inferred to determine differences in mean grades on Exam  
272 1, the pre-exam and Exam 2, and in changes between Exams 1 and 2. 2) A quintile regression  
273 analysis to identify differential effects of the pre-test and Exam 1 performance among different  
274 groups of grades in Exam 2. In other words, the quintile analysis allowed us to identify to what  
275 extent students grouping into different grades (0-20%, 20-40%, 40-60%, 60-80% and 80-100%)  
276 were affected by the implementation of the activity. To test for differences in the performance of  
277 cohorts in Experiment 1 on each exam (Exam 1, pre-exam, and Exam 2) a one-way ANOVA

278 was performed on the mean of the grades and on the differences between grades on Exam 2  
279 and grades on Exam 1. To check for the effects of the pre-test among different groups of  
280 grades, a quintile regression has been performed including both pre-test and grades on the  
281 Exam 2. Statistical analyses were carried out in R and JMP (SAS) software package. While  
282 preliminary analyses of the data were carried out by the course instructors, final analyses  
283 presented in this paper were conducted by the individuals who were not associated with the  
284 study design or course instruction.

285  
286

## 287 **Results**

288 ***Quality of student-generated pre-exam questions.*** Prior to completing the assignment,  
289 students were introduced to Bloom’s Taxonomy of Learning and were given specific instructions  
290 on what pre-exam questions would be accepted for full credit. Only approximately 15% of the  
291 questions submitted by students were simple recall-type questions. The majority of the  
292 questions engaged higher levels of learning. Approximately 35% of the questions were scored  
293 as comprehension-type questions, 28% were scored as application, 20% were analysis and the  
294 rest were synthesis-type questions, according to Bloom's Taxonomy. For example, Q1 (Table 1)  
295 required that students were able to recall definition of “fecal coliform”, analyze composition of  
296 the xylose lysine deoxycholate (XLD) medium and deduce how fecal coliforms would behave  
297 when plated on XLD agar. Construction of Q2 and Q3 required comprehension of the topics  
298 discussed under Fundamentals of Microbial Evolution, using examples from the instructor’s  
299 presentations on common food- and waterborne pathogens as well as indicators of water  
300 quality. To design Q4, students had to recall that *S. bongori* carries *Salmonella* Pathogenicity  
301 Island 1 (discussed in Evolution of Enteric Pathogens) and synthesize it with the information  
302 discussed under Virulence Mechanisms. Virulence of *S. bongori* was not an explicit topic that

303 was discussed in class.

304

305 Because majority of students in this course were on the pre-med track, they used this as an  
306 opportunity to apply information they learned throughout the course to what was discussed in  
307 this course and in other classes they have taken (see, for example Q5 and Q6). Construction of  
308 these questions required comprehension of the mechanisms of action of antibiotics (discussed  
309 in this course under Antibiotics: Mechanisms of Action and Resistance) as well as the  
310 instructor's presentation Pathogens As Prey and a number of concepts discussed under  
311 Quorum Sensing, and a presentation on Detection of Waterborne Pathogens. Students were  
312 specifically instructed that stems of questions had to be based on the material covered in the  
313 second module of the course, and questions that could have been answered without taking the  
314 course were not accepted for credit.

315

316 We note that analogies represented a significant number of questions designed by students  
317 (over 22% overall). Analogy questions designed by students clearly represented higher levels  
318 of learning (for example, Q7 and Q8). Of these two questions, Q7 was not accepted for full  
319 credit because it was based on the same power point slide in the instructor's presentation on  
320 Quorum Sensing. Q8 demonstrated synthesis of topics discussed under Pathogens As Prey  
321 and introductory presentations on the life cycle of waterborne human pathogens.

322

### 323 ***Impact of the pre-exam activity on student achievement.***

324 The first hypothesis tested with this study was that student achievement on an exam would be  
325 improved by constructing pre-exam questions before Exam 2. Student achievement on this pre-  
326 exam assignment (construction of exam questions) averaged  $89.56 \pm 14.16\%$ ,  $98.24 \pm 4.12\%$ , and  
327  $96.60 \pm 7.37\%$ , for 2010, 2011 and 2012 respectively (Table 2). No significant differences in  
328 student achievement on the pre-exam were observed over the three years ( $F = 2.12$ ,  $p=0.125$ ).

329 Following the intervention from 2010 to 2012, average grade on the post-intervention exam  
330 increased by 7.44%.

331  
332 On Exam 1, which was administered following an in-class discussion of topics covered prior to  
333 the exam, but without the intervention, the four-year average was 78.70% (Table 2, Figure 2A).  
334 No differences in students' performance were observed for Exam 1 ( $F=0.67$ ,  $p=0.575$ ) in the 4  
335 years of observations (Figure 2 and Table 2).

336  
337 The three-year average on the second exam, administered following the intervention, which  
338 involved development, submission and discussion of the student-generated questions, was  
339  $86.58\pm 12.86\%$  (Figure 2 B). There were no statistically significant differences in student  
340 achievement on the second exams over the three years when the intervention was implemented  
341 ( $86.46\pm 10.38\%$ ,  $86.42\pm 11.66\%$ , and  $86.86\pm 16.56\%$  in 2010, 2011 and 2012, respectively).  
342 Student achievement on the same exam in 2009 (when students did not submit pre-exam  
343 questions prior to the second exam) was significantly lower than in the years when the  
344 intervention was implemented (Figure 2 B).

345 When comparing students' performance on Exam 2, the average grade in 2010-2012 (when the  
346 pre-exam was carried out) was statistically higher than the average grade in 2009 (when no pre-  
347 exam was performed) ( $F=4.84$ ,  $p=0.003$ ) (Figure 2, B). The change in performance between  
348 Exam 1 and Exam 2 (see Exam2-Exam1 column, Table 2) also shows a significantly greater  
349 improvement for the 2010-2012 cohorts than for the 2009 cohort ( $F=4.90$ ,  $p=0.003$ ).

350 An additional control experiment was carried out in 2015. Students were instructed to design  
351 multiple choice questions as in the Experiment 1 prior to the third (final, and not the second)  
352 exam. This was done to address the possibility that the differences in learning gains observed  
353 on the second exam during previous years were due not to an intervention but due to the  
354 inherent relative "easiness" of the material covered during the second module or due to some

355 other factors that may be associated with the particular timeframe during the spring semester.  
356 The grades for the second exam were used as a control for all the years, in which the students  
357 were asked to write the pre-exam questions before the second exam. When grades from  
358 Exams 2 were compared along the years, the two years (2009 and 2015) in which the pre-  
359 exam was not implemented were significantly lower ( $p=0.001$ ) when compared with the years in  
360 which the intervention was implemented (Figure 2 B), thus indicating that learning gains on the  
361 second exam were most likely due to the intervention, and not to other factors.

362  
363 Despite the fact that the intervention (construction and discussion of the pre-exam questions)  
364 appears to have been associated with a significant increase in student learning gains, there was  
365 no correlation between points earned for the design of the pre-exam questions and their grade  
366 on the second exam ( $p=0.198$ ).

367  
368 Quintile regression was also performed in order to measure to what extent the implementation  
369 of the pre-exam affected each quintile of the grades (0 to 100%). In the quintile regression for  
370 each unit, an increase in student performance on the second exam varied by quintile.

371 Interestingly when the pre-test was used, a significant improvement in student achievement on  
372 Exam 2 was observed.

373  
374 It is important to point out that not all students benefited equally from this activity (Table 3).  
375 Based on the student achievement on the first exam, ninety-nine students participating in the  
376 study were divided into five quintiles to track their improvement. Following the intervention, six  
377 out of nine students in the second quintile (20-40%) improved their scores on the second exam  
378 on an average of 6.88% percentage points. Students who were in the fourth quintile based on  
379 the results of the first exam demonstrated the highest achievement (measured as a score on the  
380 second exam). Students in the 4<sup>th</sup> quintile (60% to 80% of correct answers), twenty-nine out of

381 thirty-eight students improved their performance on an average by 12.37% percentage points.  
382 Finally, of the students who were within the top (fifth) quintile (from 80% to 100% of correct  
383 answers on the first exam), thirty-one students out of fifty-two improved their performance by an  
384 average of 10.88% percentage points (Table 3).

385

386 ***Factors associated with the student satisfaction with the intervention.*** In order to assess  
387 the impacts of the intervention (construction and discussion of the multiple-choice pre-exam  
388 questions), students were surveyed on how they felt their contributions were received.  
389 Students were given a questionnaire consisting of 11 questions (Table 4) upon completion of  
390 the course. We wanted to determine whether students felt that the assignment was clear,  
391 challenging and relevant, whether they have received the appropriate level of guidance, whether  
392 their contribution was properly recognized. Overall, study participants felt that construction of  
393 the pre-exam questions was a rewarding experience (3.9/5.0, Table 4), despite the fact that they  
394 were generally unsure that this activity would be relevant in their future careers (Table 4).

395

396 Whether or not rules of the assignment were clear, appropriateness of instructor's guidance,  
397 proportionality of earned points to the investment of energy, relevance of the experience to the  
398 future career, recognition of the student's investment by the instructor all had a statistically  
399 significant correlation with the overall satisfaction with the assignment (Table 4). Nevertheless,  
400 the  $r^2$  values for all of these correlations were low, with the relevance to the future career,  
401 instructor recognition and the proportionality of the investment to the overall grade having the  
402 strongest impact on the overall satisfaction with the assignment (Table 4).

403

## 404 **Discussion**

405 As instructors retreat from relying on lectures as a main instructional tool to adopt more

406 participatory strategies, student-centered educational models are becoming more wide-spread.  
407 In a classroom, whether traditional or flipped, testing remains an important component of the  
408 educational process and institutional assessment. Beneficial effects of testing on long-term  
409 retention of knowledge have been well documented (Brame and Biel 2015; Jacoby and others  
410 2010; Kang and others 2007; Kromann and others 2011; McDaniel and others 2007; van Gog  
411 and Sweller 2015). Learning gains are observed even when students attempt to answer  
412 questions, but either fail to answer them correctly or do not receive timely feedback (Kornell  
413 2014; Richland and others 2009). It is hypothesized that attempting to answer questions  
414 activates cognitive networks, potentially allowing retrieval of related content and identifying the  
415 need for related information (Richland and others 2009).

416

417 Exams and quizzes are stress- and anxiety-inducing activities (Nguyen and McDaniel 2015) and  
418 references therein), and benefits of test-enhanced learning maybe gender-biased (Kromann and  
419 others 2011). Furthermore, poorly constructed multiple-choice pre-exams with implausible  
420 alternative answers do not result in meaningful learning gains (Bjork and others 2015). Frequent  
421 quizzes may also be seen by students as an attempt to assert (or even usurp) authority by the  
422 instructor, and thus undermine development of the student-centered participatory classroom. To  
423 reduce the levels of stress and anxiety associated with exam-taking, instructors have  
424 experimented with ungraded pop quizzes (Khanna 2015), peer-graded quizzes (Coppola and  
425 Pontrello 2014) and also “flipped exams”, in which students work collaboratively to solve exam  
426 questions (Lujan and DiCarlo 2014). Clearly, engaging students into an active learning process  
427 can take different forms.

428

429 When the benefits of testing were further dissected, several hypotheses (e.g., elaborative  
430 retrieval and transfer-appropriate processing theories, retrieval induced facilitation, unspecific-  
431 goal perspective) attempted to explain its cognitive benefits (Carpenter 2009; Carpenter and

432 DeLosh 2006). According to the elaborative retrieval hypothesis, activation of the elaborative  
433 semantic networks (especially when presented with weak cues) during the retrieval process  
434 improves long-term retention. Thus, the elaborative retrieval hypothesis postulates that the  
435 intensity of mental effort invested during the intervention phase accounts for the gains of testing  
436 (Carpenter 2009; Carpenter and DeLosh 2006). Furthermore, follow-up studies showed that it  
437 was the mental effort *per se* involved in the retrieval of the information during the intervention  
438 (intermediate test) that accounted for much of the gains (Endres and Renkl 2015). Bjork and  
439 others (2015) agree and highlight the need for a certain level of “desirable difficulty” for the  
440 intermediate testing to result in increased recall (Bjork and others 2015). Therefore, it is  
441 reasonable to hypothesize that benefits of the testing may be obtained from any activity  
442 requiring high mental effort. Therefore, with this study, we tested to what extent student  
443 learning outcomes are improved by engaging students in developing pre-exam questions.  
444 In this study, the best learning gains were obtained by students in the 4<sup>th</sup> quintile (Table 3, who  
445 earned between 60% and 80% on the first exam, prior to the intervention). This cohort consisted  
446 of students who improved their grade by 12.37% compared with the year when the intervention  
447 was not implemented. While encouraging, we note that other studies report that a variety of  
448 customized educational experiences tend to benefit weakest students (Nalliah and Allareddy  
449 2014).

450 Engaging students with developing multiple-choice questions is not entirely novel. The benefits  
451 of the activity obtained in this study are significantly higher than those reported previously. In  
452 previous studies carried out in several institutions and in courses covering diverse STEM  
453 disciplines, student learning gains from the question development activities were modest and/or  
454 inconsistent (Hardy and others 2014; Rhind and Pettigrew 2012). In analyzing designs of these  
455 studies (Hardy and others 2014; Rhind and Pettigrew 2012), several commonalities became  
456 apparent: students were asked to develop a limited number of questions (up to 5), quality of the  
457 submitted questions was not monitored and, when, evaluated, student-generated questions

458 represented lower levels of Bloom's Taxonomy of Learning. Therefore, in this study, we  
459 provided detailed instructions on how to design questions that focus on testing higher levels of  
460 learning. We agree with the authors who suggest that the act of developing multiple-choice  
461 questions represents a higher order learning activity regardless of the complexity of the question  
462 (Chin and others 2002). It is also likely that multiple-choice questions that are more complex  
463 may lead to higher learning gains (Hardy and others 2014), since development of these  
464 questions requires a more significant mental effort ("desirable difficulty" (Bjork and others 2015)).  
465 Therefore, it is reasonable to conclude that our requirement for students to develop multiple-  
466 choice questions targeting higher levels of learning is one of the main differences responsible  
467 for greater learning gains in this study compared to those of others (Hardy and others 2014;  
468 Rhind and Pettigrew 2012). The requirement that students design 20 questions spanning all  
469 topics covered during the period leading up to the test may have also ensured that a significant  
470 mental effort was involved in preparation for the exam.

471  
472 At least three other possibilities may account for the students' learning gains. First, Linton and  
473 others (2014) reported that writing exercises that focused on student comprehension of the  
474 material had a positive effect on student achievement on multiple-choice exams (Linton and  
475 others 2014). Second, approximately 75% of the questions on the actual exam were derived  
476 from the questions submitted by the students. Considering that all questions submitted by  
477 students were posted on-line prior to the exam, one may suspect that students simply  
478 memorized all the questions and correct answers. We do not feel that this is likely given that the  
479 student pre-exam questions were posted without answers, and there were at least 600  
480 questions in the test bank. It is doubtful that students memorized that many questions and  
481 answers. Another possible explanation for the increased learning gains is the notion of transfer-  
482 appropriate processing (Morris and others 1977). According to it, similarities between  
483 intervention (e.g., pre-exam questions) and the tests drive the testing effect. While this was not

484 specifically tested in this study, others found no experimental support for the transfer-  
485 appropriate processing (Carpenter and DeLosh 2006; Endres and Renkl 2015).

486  
487 We note that similar learning gains were not observed in the two semesters in the years without  
488 the intervention. In fact, in the semesters without the intervention, students grades on the first  
489 exam was higher than on the second exam. Even though the course and module content were  
490 very similar over these years, the questions used on the first exam overlapped by only 50%, and  
491 there was negligible overlap in the questions used on the second exam over these years. Given  
492 differences in the exam content and presentation, we conclude that “vertical” comparisons  
493 (between the cohorts pre- and post-intervention) are less informative than “horizontal”  
494 comparisons (within the cohorts that either experienced or did not experience the intervention).  
495 Intervention in 2015 prior to the final, and not the second exam was pivotal in determining that  
496 the learning gains on the second exam following the intervention were due to the intervention  
497 itself, and not a host of other possibly confounding factors. Once the pre-exam activity was  
498 removed in 2015, learning gains on the second exam were lost, and the grades were similar to  
499 those achieved in 2009 when no intervention took place. The effect size between the control  
500 and treatments can be described as medium to large on the bases of calculated Cohen’s *d*  
501 statistics.

502 Concluding, to being a valuable learning tool, design of the pre-exam questions was an activity  
503 that students enjoyed. We can easily envision this activity being incorporated in a variety of  
504 STEM courses.

505

## 506 **Acknowledgements**

507 This research was support by USDA NIFA CRIS project 1007300 (FLA-SWS-005474).

508

509 **CAPTIONS**

510 **Table 1.** Examples of Multiple-choice questions designed by students.

511 **Table 2.** Mean (standard deviation) grades (expressed as percentage) in 2009-2012 and Anova  
512 results.

513 **Table 3.** Quintile regression. Effect of implementation of the activity of on Exam 2.

514 **Table 4.** Student evaluation of the construction of pre-exam questions.

515 **Figure 1.** Assessments structure of the module during different years.

516 **Figure 2.** Comparison of student performance on Exam 1 (control) and Exam 2 (following  
517 intervention). (A) Overall student achievement on the exam 1. Box plots include the lower and  
518 upper quartiles, lines within the box are the medians and whiskers indicate the degree of  
519 dispersion of the data. (B) Distribution of points earned by the students on exam 2. Box plots  
520 include the lower and upper quartiles, lines within the box are the medians and whiskers  
521 indicate the degree of dispersion of the data. Dots represent data outliers. Arrows show when  
522 the activity has been implemented or removed.

523

524

525

526 **References**

527

528 Bates SP, Galloway RK, Riise J, Homer D. 2014. Assessing the quality of a student-generated  
529 question repository. PRPER 10(2) doi:ARTN 020105

530 Bjork EL, Soderstrom NC, Little JL. 2015. Can multiple-choice testing induce desirable  
531 difficulties? Evidence from the Laboratory and the Classroom. Am J Psychol 128(2):229-  
532 239

533 Bottomley S, Denny P. 2011. A participatory learning approach to biochemistry using student

534 authored and evaluated multiple-choice questions. *Biochem Mol Biol Edu* 39(5):352-361

535 Brame CJ, Biel R. 2015. Test-enhanced learning: the potential for testing to promote greater  
536 learning in undergraduate science courses. *Cbe-Life Sci Edu* 14(2)

537 Carpenter SK. 2009. Cue strength as a moderator of the testing effect: the benefits of  
538 elaborative retrieval. *J Exp Psychol Learn Mem Cogn* 35(6):1563-9

539 Carpenter SK, DeLosh EL. 2006. Impoverished cue support enhances subsequent retention:  
540 support for the elaborative retrieval explanation of the testing effect. *Mem Cognit*  
541 34(2):268-76

542 Chin C, Brown DE, Bruce BC. 2002. Student-generated questions: a meaningful aspect of  
543 learning in science. *Int J Sci Edu* 24(5):521-549

544 Coppola BP, Pontrello JK (2014) Using errors to teach through a two-staged, structured review:  
545 peer-reviewed quizzes and "What's Wrong With Me?". *J Chem Edu* 91(12):2148-2154

546 Draper SW. 2009. Catalytic assessment: understanding how MCQs and EVS can foster deep  
547 learning. *B J Edu Technol* 40(2):285-293

548 Endres T, Renkl A. 2015. Mechanisms behind the testing effect: an empirical investigation of  
549 retrieval practice in meaningful learning. *Front Psychol* 6:1054

550 Foos PW. 1989. Effects of student-written questions on student test performance. *Teach*  
551 *Psychol* 16(2):77-78

552 Glover JA. 1989. The testing phenomenon - not gone but nearly forgotten. *Journal of Edu*  
553 *Psychol* 81(3):392-399

554 Hardy J, Bates SP, Casey MM, Galloway KW, Galloway RK, Kay AE, Kirsop P, McQueen HA.  
555 2014. Student-generated content: enhancing learning through sharing multiple-choice  
556 questions. *Internat J Sci Edu* 36(13):2180-2194

557 Jacoby LL, Wahlheim CN, Coane JH. 2010. Test-Enhanced Learning of Natural Concepts:  
558 Effects on Recognition Memory, Classification, and Metacognition. *J Exp Psychol Learn*  
559 *Mem Cogn* 36(6):1441-1451

560 Kang SHK, McDermott KB, Roediger HL. 2007. Test format and corrective feedback modify the  
561 effect of testing on long-term retention. *E J Cogn Psychol* 19(4-5):528-558

562 Karpicke JD, Roediger HL. 2008. The critical importance of retrieval for learning. *Science*  
563 319:966-968

564 Khanna MM. 2015. Ungraded pop quizzes: test-enhanced learning without all the anxiety.  
565 *Teach Psychol* 42(2):174-178

566 Knight JK, Wood WB. 2005. Teaching more by lecturing less. *Cell Biol Educ* 4(4):298-310

567 Kornell N. 2014. Attempting to answer a meaningful question enhances subsequent learning  
568 even when feedback is delayed. *J Exp Psychol Learn Mem Cogn* 40(1):106-114

569 Kromann CB, Jensen ML, Ringsted C. 2011. Test-enhanced learning may be a gender-related  
570 phenomenon explained by changes in cortisol level. *Med Edu* 45(2):192-199

571 Linton DL, Pangle WM, Wyatt KH, Powell KN, Sherwood RE. 2014. Identifying key features of  
572 effective active learning: the effects of writing and peer discussion. *Cbe-Life Sci Edu*  
573 13(3):469-477

574 Lujan HL, DiCarlo SE. 2014. The flipped exam: creating an environment in which students  
575 discover for themselves the concepts and principles we want them to learn. *Adv Physiol*  
576 *Edu* 38(4):339-342

577 McDaniel MA, Anderson JL, Derbish MH, Morrisette N. 2007. Testing the testing effect in the  
578 classroom. *E J Cogn Psychol* 19(4-5):494-513

579 Mcdaniel MA, Fisher RP. 1991. Tests and test feedback as learning sources. *Cont Edu Psychol*  
580 16(2):192-201

581 McLaughlin JE, Roth MT, Glatt DM, Gharkholonarehe N, Davidson CA, Griffin LM, Esserman  
582 DA, Mumper RJ. 2014. The flipped classroom: a course redesign to foster learning and  
583 engagement in a health professions school. *Acad Med* 89(2):236-43

584 Morin KH. 2014. Fostering student accountability for learning. *J Nurs Edu* 53(10):547-548

585 Morris CD, Bransford JD, Franks JJ. 1977. Levels of processing versus transfer appropriate

586 processing. *J Verb Lear Verb Behav* 16(5):519-533

587 Nalliah RP, Allareddy V. 2014. Weakest students benefit most from a customized educational  
588 experience for Generation Y students. *PeerJ*. 2:e682.

589 Nguyen K, McDaniel MA. 2015. Using quizzing to assist student learning in the classroom: the  
590 Good, the Bad, and the Ugly. *Teach Psychol* 42(1):87-92

591 Nicol DJ. 2007. E-assessment by design: using multiple-choice tests to good effect. *J Furth*  
592 *High Edu* 31(1):53-64

593 Nicol DJ, Macfarlane-Dick D. 2006. Formative assessment and self-regulated learning: a model  
594 and seven principles of good feedback practice. *Studies High Edu* 31(2):199-218

595 O'Flaherty J, Phillips C. 2015. The use of flipped classrooms in higher education: A scoping  
596 review. *Internet High Edu* 25:85-95.

597 Rhind SM, Pettigrew GW. 2012. Peer generation of multiple-choice questions: student  
598 engagement and experiences. *J Vet Med Edu* 39(4):375-379

599 Richland LE, Kornell N, Kao LS. 2009. The pretesting effect: do unsuccessful retrieval attempts  
600 enhance learning? *J Exp Psychol Appl* 15(3):243-257

601 Rosenshine B, Meister C, Chapman S. 1996. Teaching students to generate questions: A  
602 review of the intervention studies. *Rev Edu Res* 66(2):181-221

603 Spangler J. 2014. Costs related to a flipped classroom. *Acad Med* 89(11):1429

604 van Gog T, Sweller J. 2015. Not new, but nearly forgotten: the testing effect decreases or even  
605 disappears as the complexity of learning materials increases. *Edu Psychol Rev*  
606 27(2):247-264

607 Wood WB, Knight J. 2004. Teaching large biology classes: active-engagement alternatives to  
608 lecturing and evidence that they work. *Mol Biol Cell* 15:233a-233a