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Abstract—In this paper, we consider cooperative transmission in cognitive wireless relay networks (CWRNs) over frequency-selective fading channels. We propose a new distributed space-time-frequency block code (DSTFBC) for a two-hop nonregenerative CWRN, where a primary source node and multiple secondary source nodes convey information data to their desired primary destination node and multiple secondary destination nodes via multiple cognitive relay nodes with dynamic spectrum access. The proposed DSTFBC is designed to achieve spatial diversity gain as well as allow for low-complexity decoupling detection at the receiver. Pairwise error probability is then analysed to study the achievable diversity gain of the proposed DSTFBC for different channel models including Rayleigh fading and mixed Rayleigh-Rician fading.

I. INTRODUCTION

Cognitive radio (CR) has been an emerging technology proposed to improve spectrum efficiency by efficiently utilizing the available spectrum and exploiting the underutilised licensed spectrum [1]. Using dynamic spectrum access, spectrum holes can be opportunistically utilised by the secondary users (SUs) when the licensed primary users (PUs) are inactive. Recently, cooperative diversity has been incorporated into CR networks to construct a cognitive wireless relay network (CWRN) for seamless transmission by exploiting some portions of the spectrum not utilized by the PUs over a period of time [2]. Most of the literature on cooperative diversity for CWRNs has considered the transmission of either the PU or the SU over frequency-nonselective fading channels for low data-rate communications [2], [3]. However, in wide-band communication standards where the system is required to operate at a high data rate, multipath fading channels become frequency selective. These channels cause severe attenuation in signal strength and unreliable signal detection due to significant inter-symbol interference. In these environments, the cooperative transmission of both the PUs and SUs in a CWRN over frequency-selective fading channels for wide-band wireless communications has not previously been investigated. In addition, the diversity gain of cooperative communications in a CWRN for the general frequency-selective fading scenario where the cognitive relays are located either in the neighborhood of the sources or the destinations, or at the midpoint of the network has also been left unevaluated.

To provide a solution to these problems, in this paper, we design a new distributed space-time-frequency block code (DSTFBC) for two-hop CWRNs over frequency-selective fading channels using active cognitive relay nodes which employ the amplify-and-forward (AF) protocol. In order to facilitate the simultaneous data transmissions of all the PUs and SUs, spectrum access is firstly investigated to allocate the available frequency bands for the SUs. In our proposed DSTFBC, the cognitive relays help a PU and multiple SUs in the coverage of a CWRN to transmit their own data to their interested destinations. The main contributions of this paper can be summarized as follows:

- A precoding matrix at the cognitive relays is designed to allow decoupling detection of desired data blocks in both the time and frequency domains at \(D_0\) and also at \(\{D_1, D_2, \ldots, D_N\}\). This design facilitates the cooperative transmission of both the PU and the SUs over frequency-selective fading channels in CWRN context.
- The pairwise error probability (PEP) is analysed to study the achievable diversity gain of the proposed DSTFBC for a general scenario where the cognitive relays are located near either the sources or the destinations (in this case, channels between the relays and the sources/destinations are considered a mix of Rayleigh and Rician fading), or where the relays are at the centre of the network (i.e., channels are Rician).

The theoretical results demonstrate the achievable diversity order of our proposed scheme and show that the Rician fading factor in the line-of-sight (LOS) component provides a coding gain to the PEP performance. Additionally, through simulation results, our proposed DSTFBC scheme achieves a lower bit-error-rate (BER) when compared with the conventional interference cancellation scheme, resulting as a consequence of the achievable diversity gain of the proposed DSTFBC scheme.

Notation: Bold lower and upper case letters represent vectors and matrices, respectively; \((\cdot)^T, (\cdot)^*\) and \((\cdot)^H\) denote transpose complex conjugate and Hermitian transpose, respectively; \(E(\cdot), \Phi(\cdot)\) and \(f(\cdot)\) denote expectation value, moment generating and probability density functions, respectively; \(\|x\|\) and \([A]_{i,j}\) represent \(i\)-th entry of vector \(x\) and \((i,j)\)-th entry of matrix \(A\), respectively; \(\|x\|\) denotes Euclidean norm of vector \(x\); \(B = \langle A \rangle^2 \triangleq AA^H\); \(B = A^{1/2}\) represents a matrix \(B\) such that \(B^2 = A\); \(\otimes\) and \(\oplus\) denote the matrix direct product and direct sum, respectively; \(F_M\) denotes the normalized \(M \times M\) discrete Fourier transform (DFT) matrix where \(F_M\)_{m,n} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{M}} e^{-j2\pi(m-1)(n-1)/M} \) for all \(1 \leq (m,n) \leq M\); \(0_{M \times N}\) and \(I_N\) denote the zero matrix of size \(M \times N\) and the identity matrix of size \(N \times N\), respectively.
II. SYSTEM MODEL, SPECTRUM ALLOCATION AND FADING CHANNEL MODEL

An overlay CWRN consisting of 2 PUs and $N_s$ SUs is considered where the data transmissions of the PUs are at a higher priority. We assume there are $K$ non-overlapping licensed frequency bands $f_1, f_2, \ldots, f_K$, in a wide-band channel. The two PUs can use either the whole or part of the wide-band channel to transmit data. Each SU transceiver is equipped with a software defined radio system to tune to any of the $K$ licensed frequency bands. The spectrum sensing process at a SU can be carried out using various spectrum sensing techniques (e.g. [4], [5]). A spectrum indicator vector (SIV) $\Phi_i$, $i = 1, 2, \ldots, N_s$, of length $K$ (in bits) is used at the $i$-th SU to report the availability of frequency bands where bits ‘0’ and ‘1’ represent the frequency band being utilized by the PUs or available, respectively [5]. For example, following an energy detection rule for unknown signals over fading channels, the $i$-th SU, $i = 1, 2, \ldots, N_s$, can detect the usage of the $k$-th frequency band, $k = 1, 2, \ldots, K$, (i.e. $[\Phi_i]_k$). Accordingly, all SIVs at $N_s$ SUs can be written in a spectrum indicator matrix (SIM) form as

$$\Phi = \begin{bmatrix} \Phi_1, \Phi_2, \ldots, \Phi_{N_s} \end{bmatrix}^T,$$

where $[\Phi_i]_k, i = 1, 2, \ldots, N_s, k = 1, 2, \ldots, K$, represents the availability of the $k$-th frequency band for the $i$-th SU. It can be seen that the number of SU sources that can communicate depends on the number of frequencies occupied by the PUs. Within CR framework, it is often considered that the states of available frequency can be modelled as a Markov chain where they evolve over time between idle and busy states [6]. However, within the scope of this work, we consider a specific sensing window where we can assume that there are $N$ frequencies ($0 < N < K$) available for the $N_s$ SUs. This means that there are a maximum of $N$ pairs of SUs that can communicate with each other using $N$ available frequencies.

![Fig. 1. System model of CWRN.](image)

Therefore, as shown in Fig. 1, we investigate a specific two-relay CWRN with 1 PU source $S_0$, 1 PU destination $D_0$, $N$ SU sources $\{S_1, S_2, \ldots, S_N\}$, 2 SU relays $\{R_1, R_2\}$ and $N$ SU destinations $\{D_1, D_2, \ldots, D_N\}$. A half-duplex system is considered where all nodes can either transmit or receive data, but not concurrently. It is assumed that there are no direct links between any pair of source and destination nodes due to either power limitations in each node or distance between nodes or obstacles between nodes. The licensed band for the PU source and PU destination is assumed to be in the range $F_0 = \{f_1, f_2, \ldots\} \in \{f_1, f_2, \ldots, f_K\}$. From (1), we can allocate the available frequency band $f_i, F_s = \{f_1, f_2, \ldots, f_K\}\setminus F_p, i = 1, 2, \ldots, N$, for the $i$-th SU source and $i$-th SU destination based on the SIM.

In this paper, we take into consideration two typical fading models which include long-term and short-term fading. Specifically, we characterize long-term and short-term fading between two nodes $A$ and $B$, $\{A, B\} \in \{S_0, S_1, S_2, \ldots, S_N, D_0, D_1, D_2, \ldots, D_N, R_1, R_2\}$, by $\xi_{AB}$ and $h_{AB}$, respectively. Here, $h_{AB} = [h_{AB}(1), h_{AB}(2), \ldots, h_{AB}(L_{AB})]^T$, where $L_{AB}$ is the number of resolvable paths and $E[\|h_{AB}\|^2] = 1$.

III. PROPOSED DSTFBC FOR CWRNS AND PROOF OF DECODING CAPABILITY

A. Proposed DSTFBC

In Fig. 1, each source transmits two data blocks to its interested destination through the assistance of two cognitive relays. Each transmitted data block $x_{i,k}, i = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, N, k = 1, 2, \text{of length } M_i$ is generated at $S_i$ by adding a zero-padding (ZP) sequence $ZP_2$ of length $L_i$ to modulated information data block $s_{i,j}$ of length $B_i$, which can be expressed as $x_{i,k} = [s_{i,j}^T, 0_{1 \times L_1}]^T$ where $s_{i,j} = [s_{i,j}[1], s_{i,j}[2], \ldots, s_{i,j}[B_i]]^T$. To guarantee that the channel matrices $S_i \rightarrow R_1, S_i \rightarrow R_2, R_1 \rightarrow D_1$ and $R_2 \rightarrow D_i$ are circulant, the length of $ZP_1$ must satisfy $L_i \geq \max(L_{S_i,R_1} + L_{R_1,D_1}, L_{S_i,R_2} + L_{R_2,D_i})$.

With proper allocation of available frequency bands $\{f_1, f_2, \ldots, f_{N_s}\}$ for all SU sources and destinations (see (1)), $\{S_0, S_1, S_2, \ldots, S_N\}$ simultaneously transmit two data blocks to both $R_1$ and $R_2$ in the first two time slots. $R_1$ then amplifies and forwards its $(2N+2)$ received signals to the destinations while $R_2$ precodes its received signals by a precoding matrix $P_R$ before transmitting to all $\{D_0, D_1, D_2, \ldots, D_N\}$. The idea behind our design is that the precoding at $R_2$ helps enable the decoupling detection of two desired data blocks at every destination.

We now present the data transmission and precoding process in our proposed DSTFBC for the CWRN. In the first two time slots, $S_i, i = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, N$, serially transmits $x_{i,k}, k = 1, 2$, to both $R_1$ and $R_2$ using its allocated frequency band $f_i$. The received signal at $R_j, j = 1, 2$, from $S_i$ is given by

$$r_{i,k}^{(R_j)} = \sqrt{\xi_{S_i,R_j}}H_{S_i,R_j}x_{i,k} + \eta_{i,k}^{(R_j)},$$

where $H_{S_i,R_j}$ is an $M_i \times M_i$ circulant channel matrix and $\eta_{i,k}^{(R_j)}$ is a circularly symmetric complex Gaussian (CSCG) noise vector at $R_j$ with each entry having zero-mean and variance of $N_0/2$ per dimension. It is noted that for any $M_{AB} \times M_{AB}$ circulant matrix $H_{AB}$, its $(k,l)$-th entry is written as $[H_{AB}]_{k,l} = [H_{AB}][k+(l-1) \text{mod } M_{AB}]$.

What is unique in our proposed DSTFBC is that the received signal at $R_2$ is conjugated and then precoded by a precoding matrix $P_R \triangleq [[0_{M \times M}, P_M^{(G)})^T, \ldots, -P_M^{(G)}, 0_{M \times M}]^T$ where
where we choose $B$. Decoupling in Time and Frequency Domains

Subsequently, each cognitive relay $R_j$, $j = 1, 2$, normalizes its received signal $r_{i,k}$, $i = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, N$, $k = 1, 2$, in (2) by a factor $\alpha_i^{(R_j)} = \sqrt{E[|r_{i,k}|^2]} = \frac{\xi_{R_j}}{N_0}$ to have unit average energy. Then, $R_1$ and $R_2$ simultaneously forward their messages to $\{D_0, D_1, D_2, \ldots, D_N\}$ using $\{F_p, f_{s_1}, f_{s_2}, \ldots, f_{s_N}\}$ in the subsequent $(2N + 2)$ time slots. The received signals at $D_i$, $i = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, N$, are therefore given by

$$r_k^{(D_i)} = \frac{\sqrt{\xi_{R_1, D_i}\xi_{R_2, D_i}}}{\alpha_i^{(R_1)} \alpha_i^{(R_2)}} H_{R_1, D_i}^* R_k - \frac{(-1)^k}{\alpha_i^{(R_2)}} H_{R_2, D_i}^* Q_i F P M^{(G)}_{M} x_{i,k} + \eta_k^{(D_i)},$$

where $k = 1, 2, k = 3 - k, H_{R_1, D_i}, j = 1, 2$, is an $M \times M$ circulant channel matrix and $\eta_k^{(D_i)}$ is a CSCG noise vector at $D_i$ for each entry having zero-mean and variance of $N_0/2$ per dimension.

B. Decoupling in Time and Frequency Domains

For the sake of a fair comparison with other systems, we firstly normalize the noise variance of the received signals at $D_i$, $i = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, N$, in (3) to be $N_0$ by a factor $\left(\frac{\xi_{R_1} + N_0}{\xi_{R_1}}\right)^{1/2}$. Accordingly, the normalized signal at $D_i$ can be written as

$$r_k^{(D_i)} = \alpha_{i,1} H_{R_1, D_i} H_{S_i, R_i} x_{i,k} + \eta_k^{(D_i)},$$

where $k = 1, 2, k = 3 - k$ and $\eta_k^{(D_i)}$ is a normalized CSCG noise vector in which each entry has zero mean and variance of $N_0/2$ per dimension. Here, $\alpha_{i,j} = \left(\frac{\xi_{R_1} + N_0}{\xi_{R_1}}\right)^{1/2} \left(\frac{\xi_{R_2} + N_0}{\xi_{R_2}}\right)^{1/2}$.

For data decoding, we conjugate both sides of (4) with $k = 2$ and then multiply with $P^{(G)}$. Based on the fact that $P^{(G)} H^{(G)} A^{(G)} P^{(G)} = H^{(G)} A^{(G)}$ for any $M \times M$ circulant matrix $H^{(G)} A^{(G)}$, we obtain

$$r_2^{(D_i)} = \alpha_{i,1} H_{R_1, D_i}^* H_{S_i, R_i} x_{i,1} + \alpha_{i,1} H_{R_1, D_i} H_{S_i, R_i}^* P^{(G)} x_{i,2} + P^{(G)} |\eta_2^{(D_i)}|^2.$$
It can be seen that the PEP expression for scenario (b) can be obtained simply from scenario (a) with some interchanged parameters. Thus, it is sufficient to analyse the PEP for scenarios (a) and (c).

Let us denote a decoded codeword vector at $D_i$, $i=0, 1, 2, \ldots, N$, as $\hat{x}_i$. The conditional PEP is upper bounded by

$$P(x_i \rightarrow \hat{x}_i) = Q\left(\sqrt{\frac{d^2(x_i, \hat{x}_i)}{2N_0}}\right) \leq \exp\left(\frac{-d^2(x_i, \hat{x}_i)}{4N_0}\right), \quad (11)$$

where $d(x_i, \hat{x}_i)$ is the Euclidean distance between $x_i$ and $\hat{x}_i$, which is calculated by

$$d^2(x_i, \hat{x}_i) = \alpha_i^2 \sum_{i=1}^{L_2} \frac{d^2(x_i, \hat{x}_i)}{2N_0} = \alpha_i^2 \sum_{i=1}^{L_2} \|H_{S,R_k}(x_{i-1} - \hat{x}_{i-1})\|^2 + \alpha_i^2 \sum_{i=1}^{L_2} \|H_{S,R_k} P_M(x_{i-2} - \hat{x}_{i-2})\|^2. \quad (12)$$

In (12), each component of the summations of the right hand side can be expressed by either one of the two following factors

$$d_1^2 = \sum_{l_{R_k}, l_{R_k}} \|H_{R_k}(x_i - \hat{x}_i)\|^2, \quad (13)$$

$$d_2^2 = \sum_{l_{R_k}, l_{R_k}} \|H_{S,R_k}(x_i - \hat{x}_i)\|^2 \sum_{l_{R_k}, l_{R_k}} \|H_{R_k}(x_i - \hat{x}_i)\|^2, \quad (14)$$

where $\|H_{S,R_k}\|_{l_{R_k}}$ and $\kappa_k$ denote the eigenvalue of the codeword difference matrix and the zero-mean complex Gaussian vector with unit variance, respectively.

For simplicity of mathematical formulation, let us denote $L_{S,R_k} = L_1$, $L_{R_k} = L_2$, $H_{S,R_k} = H_{1}$ and $H_{R_k} = H_{2}$. The PEP will now be analysed for scenarios (a) and (c).

A. Scenario (a): $S_1 \rightarrow \{R_1, R_2\}$: Rician fading, $\{R_1, R_2\} \rightarrow D_i$: Rayleigh fading

Due to the different characteristics of fading channels, there are three situations based on the relationship of $L_1$ and $L_2$.

1) Case 1 ($L_1 < L_2$): Eq. (13) is taken into consideration. Let us define $Z_1 = d_1^2 = X_1 Y_1$ where $X_1 = \sum_{l_{R_k}} \|H_{S,R_k}\|^2$ and $Y_1 = \sum_{l_{R_k}} \|H_{S,R_k}\|_{l_{R_k}}^2$. Applying the Chernoff bound, the PEP corresponding to $d_1^2$ is upper bounded by $E_{Z_1}[\exp(-\alpha_1 Z_1/4N_0)] = \Phi_{Z_1}(s) = \alpha_1^2/4N_0$. Here, $\alpha_1$ corresponds to $\alpha_2$, $k = 1, 2$, if we consider $R_k$, and $\Phi_{Z_1}(s)$ can be evaluated as [7]

$$\Phi_{Z_1}(s) = \int_0^\infty f_{X_1}(x_1) \Phi_{Y_1}(sx_1) dx_1, \quad (15)$$

where $f_{X_1}(x_1) = L_1^{x_1} e^{-x_1} I_0(\sqrt{x_1})$ and $\Phi_{Y_1}(s) = \Gamma(1, \frac{\alpha_2}{2N_0})$. Here $n$ is the Nakagami-$n$ or Rician fading parameter and $\Gamma(\cdot)$ represents the Gamma function [8]. Assuming high signal-to-noise ratio (SNR), i.e. $\alpha_2/4N_0 \gg 1$, and $(1+n^2)/n^2 \approx 1$, (15) can be approximated as

$$\Phi_{Z_1}(s) = \frac{L_1}{\Gamma(L_1)} \frac{\Gamma(L_1 - L_1)}{\Gamma(L_2)} \frac{\alpha_2^2}{4N_0} \prod_{l_{R_k}=1}^{L_1} \frac{1}{\lambda_{l_{R_k}}}. \quad (16)$$

2) Case 2 ($L_1 > L_2$): Let us examine (14) and define $Z_2 = d_2^2 = X_2 Y_2$ where $X_2 = \sum_{l_{R_k}} \|H_{S,R_k}\|_{l_{R_k}}^2$ and $Y_2 = \sum_{l_{R_k}} \|H_{S,R_k}\|_{l_{R_k}}^2$. Similarly, applying the Chernoff bound, the PEP corresponding to $d_2^2$ is upper bounded by $E_{Z_2}[\exp(-\alpha_2 Z_2/4N_0)] = \Phi_{Z_2}(s) = \alpha_2^2/4N_0$ where

$$\Phi_{Z_2}(s) = \int_0^\infty f_{X_2}(x_2) \Phi_{Y_2}(sx_2) dx_2. \quad (17)$$

Here $f_{X_2}(x_2) = \frac{L_1 L_2}{n_{R_k}^2} \frac{1}{x_2^{n_{R_k} + L_2 + 1}} \frac{e^{-x_2}}{x_2^{L_2+1}}$ and $\Phi_{Y_2}(s) = \int_0^\infty \frac{L_1 L_2}{n_{R_k}^2} \frac{1}{x_2^{n_{R_k} + L_2 + 1}} \frac{e^{-x_2}}{x_2^{L_2+1}} \prod_{l_{R_k}=1}^{L_1} \frac{1}{\lambda_{l_{R_k}}}, \quad (18)$$

where $L_1 F_1(a; b; c)$ is the regularized hypergeometric function defined as $L_1 F_1(a; b; c) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(a)} \sum_{k=0}^\infty \frac{\Gamma(a+k)}{\Gamma(b+k)} k^b \forall k [8]$.

3) Case 3 ($L_1 = L_2$): Let us consider (13), for which

$$\Phi_{Z_1}(s) = \frac{L_1^{L_1+1} (1+n_1^2) L_1}{\Gamma(L_1)} \left(\frac{\alpha^2}{4N_0}\right)^{-L_1} \int_0^\infty \prod_{l_{R_k}=1}^{L_1} \frac{1}{\lambda_{l_{R_k}}} \int_0^\infty x^{L_1-1} e^{-x_1} \left(\frac{\lambda_{l_{R_k}}}{1+n_1^2}\right) dx_1 \phi_{X_1}(x_1) dx_1. \quad (19)$$

By using some mathematical calculations [8], we obtain

$$\Phi_{Z_1}(s) = \left[\frac{L_1^{L_1+1} (1+n_1^2)}{\Gamma(L_1)} \left(\frac{\alpha^2}{4N_0}\right)^{-L_1} \prod_{l_{R_k}=1}^{L_1} \frac{1}{\lambda_{l_{R_k}}} \frac{\lambda_{l_{R_k}}}{1+n_1^2}\right] \frac{1}{\Gamma(L_1)} \frac{\Gamma(L_1 - L_1)}{\Gamma(L_2)} \frac{\alpha_2^2}{4N_0} \prod_{l_{R_k}=1}^{L_1} \frac{1}{\lambda_{l_{R_k}}}. \quad (20)$$

where $p_{l_{R_k}} = \prod_{l_{R_k}=1}^{L_1} \frac{1}{\lambda_{l_{R_k}}} \frac{\lambda_{l_{R_k}}}{1+n_1^2}$ and $\Gamma(\alpha, x)$ is the incomplete Gamma function defined by $\Gamma(\alpha, x) = \int_x^\infty e^{-t} dt \Gamma(\alpha, t) \forall x$.

B. Scenario (c): $S_1 \rightarrow \{R_1, R_2\}$ and $\{R_1, R_2\} \rightarrow D_i$: Rician fading

Let $n_1$ and $n_2$ denote the Rician fading parameters of the links $S_1 \rightarrow \{R_1, R_2\}$ and $\{R_1, R_2\} \rightarrow D_i$, respectively. Similarly, we investigate three cases as follows:
1. Case 1 ($L_1 < L_2$): We also take into consideration (13) and evaluate $\Phi_{Z_1}(s)$ where

$$f_X(x_1) = \frac{L_1^{\frac{3-L_2}{2}} I_{L_2-1}[2L_2^n x_1^{\frac{1}{2}}]}{n_2^{L_2-n} e^{L_2^n x_1}},$$

$$\Phi_{Z_1}(s) = \prod_{i=1}^{L_1} \frac{1 + n_1^2}{1 + n_1^2 - s|\lambda_i|} e^{\frac{n_1^2}{1 + n_1^2 - s|\lambda_i|}}. \quad (21)$$

Substituting (21) and (22) into (15) under high SNR, we obtain

$$\Phi_{Z_1}(s)|_{s = -\frac{2\xi_l}{\sigma^2}} \approx \frac{[L_1(1 + n_2^2)]^{L_2}}{e^{L_1^n n_2^2 + L_2^n n_2^2}} \Gamma(L_2 - L_1) \times 1 \tilde{F}_1 \left(L_2 - L_1; L_2; L_2^n n_2^2 \right) \left(\frac{\alpha^2}{4N_0}\right)^{-L_1} \prod_{i=1}^{L_1} \frac{1}{|\lambda_i|}. \quad (23)$$

2. Case 2 ($L_1 > L_2$): By considering (14) with the same approach as Case 1, $\Phi_{Z_2}(s)|_{s = -\frac{2\xi_l}{\sigma^2}}$ can be approximated by

$$\Phi_{Z_2}(s)|_{s = -\frac{2\xi_l}{\sigma^2}} \approx \frac{[L_1(1 + n_2^2)]^{L_2}}{e^{L_1^n n_2^2 + L_2^n n_2^2}} \Gamma(L_1 - L_2) \times 1 \tilde{F}_1 \left(L_1 - L_2; L_1; L_1^n n_1^2 \right) \left(\frac{\alpha^2}{4N_0}\right)^{-L_2} \prod_{i=1}^{L_2} \frac{1}{|\lambda_i|}. \quad (24)$$

3. Case 3 ($L_1 = L_2$): Similarly, with some mathematical calculations [8], we obtain

$$\Phi_{Z_1}(s)|_{s = -\frac{2\xi_l}{\sigma^2}} = \frac{L_1^{3-2L_1}}{e^{L_1^n n_2^2 + L_2^n n_2^2}} \left(\frac{\alpha^2}{4N_0}\right)^{-L_1} \prod_{i=1}^{L_1} \frac{p_i}{|\lambda_i|} \Gamma(L_1 - 1, -L_1^n n_2^2) - \Gamma(L_1 - 1). \quad (25)$$

From the PEP analysis of fading scenario (a) with Eqs. (16), (18) and (20) and fading scenario (c) with Eqs. (23), (24) and (25), we can conclude that the diversity gain of our proposed DSTFBC for both the primary and secondary transmissions in all three fading scenarios is $\min(L_{S_0, R_1}, L_{R_2, D_0}, L_{S_0, R_2}, L_{R_1, D_0})$ for $i = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, N$, by observing the exponential terms of $\frac{\alpha^2}{\sigma^2}$. Additionally, it can be observed that the Rician fading parameters do not produce any diversity gain, however, they play a similar function to coding gain, and thus can improve the PEP.

V. SIMULATION RESULTS

In this section, we evaluate the uncoded BER performance of the proposed DSTFBC in a CRWN to confirm the analysis of the achievable diversity gain over either mixed Rayleigh-Rician fading channels or both Rayleigh or Rician fading channels. The validity of our analysis can be confirmed through the slope of the BER curves since BER is proportional to PEP. The simulation is carried out in MATLAB using quadrature phase shift keying (QPSK) modulation with Gray mapping and each transmitted data block consists of 256 symbols including the zero sequence and modulated information data. We consider a uniform power delay profile and a quasi-static fading channel model with perfect channel state information at the destinations.

Fig. 2 shows the BER performance comparison of the primary transmission using the proposed DSTFBC, and compares it with the following schemes: single-input single-output (SISO), space-time block code (STBC) and interference cancelation at the cognitive relay (ICR). The fading of links $S_0 \rightarrow \{R_1, R_2\}$ and $\{R_1, R_2\} \rightarrow D_0$ are assumed to be frequency-selective Rayleigh fading where the channel memory lengths are $L_{S_0, R_1} = 5$, $L_{S_0, R_2} = 7$, $L_{R_1, D_0} = 5$, $L_{R_2, D_0} = 7$. We assume that the value of $\xi_{S_0, R_1}/N_0$ is fixed at 20 dB and $\xi_{R_1, D_0} = \xi_{R_2, D_0} = \xi_{R_1, D_0}$. The STBC scheme refers to the classical $2 \times 1$ STBC where each path from one antenna of $S_0$ to $D_0$ has 6 taps (i.e. $L_{S_0, D_0} = 6$). The ICR scheme refers to the scheme where the cognitive relay helps the primary and secondary users decode, precode and forward their messages to the respective primary and secondary receivers using a precoding scheme at the cognitive relay for interference compensation. In Fig. 2, SNR is referred to $\xi_{S_0, D_0}/N_0$ for the direct transmission scenario without relay assistance, while SNR is referred to $\xi_{S_0, R_2}/N_0$ for the relaying scenario. It can be observed that the ICR scheme with unknown messages from the sources shows the worst performance. The SISO and ICR systems perform better than the DSTFBC in the high-SNR region due to the existence of an error floor when $\xi_{R_1, D_0}$ is small. At high $\xi_{R_1, D_0}/N_0$, the BER performance curves of the DSTFBC and conventional STBC schemes have the same slope, reflecting the same diversity order. As proved in the PEP analysis, the diversity gain of our proposed DSTFBC for the primary transmission is $\min(L_{S_0, R_1}, L_{S_0, R_2}, L_{R_1, D_0}) + \min(L_{S_0, R_2}, L_{R_2, D_0}) = 12$, which is also the maximum diversity gain of $(2 L_{S_0, D_0}) = 12$ achieved with STBC.

Fig. 3 shows the BER performances of the first secondary transmissions over Rayleigh fading as a function of $\xi_{S_1, R_2}/N_0$ using the proposed DSTFBC for various combinations of channel lengths. We assume that $\xi_{S_1, R_2}/N_0 = 20$ dB, $\xi_{S_1, R_1}/N_0 = 15$ dB, $\xi_{R_1, D_0} = \xi_{R_2, D_0} = \xi_{R_1, D_0} = 10$ dB and
the cognitive relays are located near the sources and near the midpoint is shown in Figs. 4 and 5, respectively. The performance of the proposed DSTFBC for the scenario where the cognitive relays are located near the destinations can be similarly observed, and thus it has been omitted for simplicity. The channel memory orders of fading channels \( \{S_1\} \rightarrow \{R_1, R_2\} \) and \( \{R_1, R_2\} \rightarrow D_1 \) are assumed to be \( L_{S_1R_2} = 6, L_{S_1R_1} = 11, L_{R_2D_1} = 11, L_{R_1D_1} = 6 \). The BER performances of the secondary transmissions are plotted as a function of \( \xi S_1R_2 / N_0 \) with different values of Rician fading factor \( n_1 \) and \( n_2 \). Here, \( n_1 \) and \( n_2 \) denote the Rician fading parameters of the links \( S_1 \rightarrow \{ R_1, R_2 \} \) and \( \{ R_1, R_2 \} \rightarrow D_1 \), respectively. The SNR values of the other links are similarly set as in Fig. 3. It can be seen that improved performance is achieved as either \( n_1 \) or \( n_2 \) increases. However, the slopes of the BER curves are almost the same at high SNR, which means that they achieve the same diversity gain. This can be explained as the influence of the LOS component on the BER gain through all ranges of SNR values where the Rician fading parameter only produces coding gain to the BER as we can see in the analysis. This also confirms our conclusion about the achievable diversity gain which is independent of the Rician fading factor.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, a new DSTFBC scheme for two-hop CWRNs over frequency-selective fading channels has been proposed. The proposed DSTFBC can achieve a spatial diversity order of \( \min(L_{S_1R_1}, L_{S_2R_2}) + \min(L_{R_2D_1}, L_{R_1D_2}) \), \( i = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, N \), for both primary and secondary transmissions, and a low-complexity decoupling at destinations. Furthermore, the PEP performance has been analyzed for various scenarios of cognitive relay location. The analysis and simulation results have validated the theoretically derived diversity order and have shown that the LOS component in Rician fading effectively improves the error rate performance at the destinations.
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